



July 17, 2018

**VIA ONLINE PORTAL**

U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development  
Freedom of Information Act Office  
451 7th Street SW, Room 10139  
Washington, DC 20410-3000  
Submitted via Online Portal

**Re: Freedom of Information Act Request**

Dear Freedom of Information Act Officer:

Pursuant to the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA), 5 U.S.C. § 552 and the implementing regulations for the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD), 24 C.F.R. Part 15, American Oversight makes the following request for records.

Public reports and public records have suggested that HUD resources have been diverted for the private benefit of the Carson family and their associates. For example, government documents obtained by American Oversight and by the press have revealed that Ben “BJ” Carson, Jr. has played an expansive role at HUD.<sup>1</sup> He orchestrated Secretary Carson’s tour of Baltimore last year and used the opportunity to cultivate business relationships and opportunities by doing the bidding of representatives of private businesses.<sup>2</sup> Moreover, records released to American Oversight show that that Ben Carson Jr. repeatedly connected private interests, including many of those enumerated in the request below, with officials at HUD.

American Oversight seeks records to shed light on whether favoritism and undue private influence are distorting HUD operations.

**Requested Records**

American Oversight requests that HUD produce the following within twenty business days:

---

<sup>1</sup> Alec MacGillis, *Is Anybody Home at HUD*, N.Y. MAG. (Aug. 22, 2017, 8:00 am), <http://nymag.com/daily/intelligencer/2017/08/ben-carson-hud-secretary.html>; Juana Summers *et al.*, *Emails Show Carson Family Fingerprints at HUD Despite Warnings*, CNN (Feb. 5, 2018, 12:44 PM) <https://www.cnn.com/2018/02/02/politics/ben-carson-family-hud/index.html>; Juliet Eilperin & Jack Gillum, *Using His Position for Private Gain? Ben Carson Was Warned He Might Run Afoul of Ethics Rules by Enlisting His Son*, WASH. POST, Jan. 31, 2018, [https://www.washingtonpost.com/politics/using-his-position-for-private-gain-hud-lawyers-warned-ben-carson-risked-running-afoul-of-ethics-rules-by-enlisting-son/2018/01/31/bb20c48e-0532-11e8-8777-2a059f168dd2\\_story.html?utm\\_term=.0f7a4a2533fb](https://www.washingtonpost.com/politics/using-his-position-for-private-gain-hud-lawyers-warned-ben-carson-risked-running-afoul-of-ethics-rules-by-enlisting-son/2018/01/31/bb20c48e-0532-11e8-8777-2a059f168dd2_story.html?utm_term=.0f7a4a2533fb).

<sup>2</sup> Summers *et al.*, *supra* note 2; Eilperin & Gillum, *supra* note 2.



All records reflecting communications (including emails, email attachments, text messages, calendar invitations/entries, meeting notices, meeting agendas, informational material, talking points, any handwritten or electronic notes taken during any oral communications, summaries of any oral communications, or other materials) between Secretary Benjamin Carson; his chief of staff; or any political appointees\* in the Office of the Secretary or the Office of Public Affairs and individuals at any of the following entities:

1. Liberty University (@liberty.edu)
2. Divine Mercy University (@divinemercury.edu)
3. Heritage Christian School (visitheritage.org)
4. Catholic Charities of Maryland (@cc-md.org)
5. Philadelphia School District (@philasd.org)
6. The City of Philadelphia Mayor's Office and Housing Authority (@phila.gov)
7. Kathryn Wachsmann (Kathryn@JMDBP.com; or hfwachsmann@gmail.com)
8. Winn Companies, including President Lawrence Curtis (@winnco.com)
9. Genesis Healthcare Inc. (@genesishcc.com)
10. Eagle Bank Corp., including Senior Vice President Anthony Washington (@eaglebankcorp.com)
11. Under Armour (@underarmour.com)
12. Sagamore Development (@sagamoreddevelopment.com)
13. Plank Industries (@plankindustries.com)
14. Monument Policy Group (@monumentpolicy.com)
15. Baltimore Development Corporation (@baltimoredevelopment.com)
16. Favara LLC, including John Bannardo and Wendy Craft
17. Legacy Builders, including President and CEO John Bannardo (@legacybd.com)
18. The Baltimore City government, including the Mayor's Office and Baltimore Housing and Community Development (@baltimorehousing.org and @baltimorecity.gov)
19. The New York City Housing Authority (@nyc.gov) \* \*
20. The Carson Scholars (carsonscholars.org)
21. Pathways to Housing PA (@pathwaystohousing.org)
22. Vaux High School (@bigpicturephiladelphia.org)
23. Helping Up Mission Baltimore (@helpingup.org)

Please provide all responsive records from March 2, 2017, to the date the search is conducted.

\* "Political appointee" should be understood as any person who is a Presidential Appointee with Senate Confirmation (PAS), a Presidential Appointee (PA), a Non-career SES, any Schedule C employees, or any persons hired under Temporary Non-career SES Appointments, Limited Term SES Appointments, or Temporary Transitional Schedule C Appointments.

\* \* For communications with the New York City Housing Authority, HUD may limit the applicable date range to the following ranges: May 1, 2017 to June 30, 2017; and November 15, 2017 to December 31, 2017, and June 1, 2018, to the date the search is conducted.

In addition to the records requested above, American Oversight also requests records describing the processing of this request, including records sufficient to identify search terms used and locations and custodians searched and any tracking sheets used to track the processing of this

request. If HUD uses FOIA questionnaires or certifications completed by individual custodians or components to determine whether they possess responsive materials or to describe how they conducted searches, we also request any such records prepared in connection with the processing of this request.

American Oversight seeks all responsive records regardless of format, medium, or physical characteristics. In conducting your search, please understand the terms “record,” “document,” and “information” in their broadest sense, to include any written, typed, recorded, graphic, printed, or audio material of any kind. We seek records of any kind, including electronic records, audiotapes, videotapes, and photographs, as well as letters, emails, facsimiles, telephone messages, voice mail messages and transcripts, notes, or minutes of any meetings, telephone conversations or discussions. Our request includes any attachments to these records. **No category of material should be omitted from search, collection, and production.**

Please search all records regarding agency business. **You may not exclude searches of files or emails in the personal custody of your officials, such as personal email accounts.** Records of official business conducted using unofficial systems or stored outside of official files is subject to the Federal Records Act and FOIA.<sup>3</sup> **It is not adequate to rely on policies and procedures that require officials to move such information to official systems within a certain period of time; American Oversight has a right to records contained in those files even if material has not yet been moved to official systems or if officials have, through negligence or willfulness, failed to meet their obligations.**<sup>4</sup>

In addition, please note that in conducting a “reasonable search” as required by law, you must employ the most up-to-date technologies and tools available, in addition to searches by individual custodians likely to have responsive information. Recent technology may have rendered HUD’s prior FOIA practices unreasonable. **In light of the government-wide requirements to manage information electronically by the end of 2016, it is no longer reasonable to rely exclusively on custodian-driven searches.**<sup>5</sup> Furthermore, agencies that have adopted the National Archives and Records Agency (NARA) Capstone program, or similar policies, now maintain emails in a form

---

<sup>3</sup> See *Competitive Enter. Inst. v. Office of Sci. & Tech. Policy*, 827 F.3d 145, 149–50 (D.C. Cir. 2016); cf. *Judicial Watch, Inc. v. Kerry*, 844 F.3d 952, 955–56 (D.C. Cir. 2016).

<sup>4</sup> See *Competitive Enter. Inst. v. Office of Sci. & Tech. Policy*, No. 14-cv-765, slip op. at 8 (D.D.C. Dec. 12, 2016) (“The Government argues that because the agency had a policy requiring [the official] to forward all of his emails from his [personal] account to his business email, the [personal] account only contains duplicate agency records at best. Therefore, the Government claims that any hypothetical deletion of the [personal account] emails would still leave a copy of those records intact in [the official’s] work email. However, policies are rarely followed to perfection by anyone. At this stage of the case, the Court cannot assume that each and every work-related email in the [personal] account was duplicated in [the official’s] work email account.” (citations omitted)).

<sup>5</sup> Presidential Memorandum—Managing Government Records, 76 Fed. Reg. 75,423 (Nov. 28, 2011), <https://obamawhitehouse.archives.gov/the-press-office/2011/11/28/presidential-memorandum-managing-government-records>; Office of Mgmt. & Budget, Exec. Office of the President, Memorandum for the Heads of Executive Departments & Independent Agencies, “Managing Government Records Directive,” M-12-18 (Aug. 24, 2012), <https://www.archives.gov/files/records-mgmt/m-12-18.pdf>.

**that is reasonably likely to be more complete than individual custodians' files.** For example, a custodian may have deleted a responsive email from his or her email program, but HUD's archiving tools would capture that email under Capstone. Accordingly, American Oversight insists that your agency use the most up-to-date technologies to search for responsive information and take steps to ensure that the most complete repositories of information are searched. American Oversight is available to work with you to craft appropriate search terms. **However, custodian searches are still required; agencies may not have direct access to files stored in .PST files, outside of network drives, in paper format, or in personal email accounts.**

Under the FOIA Improvement Act of 2016, agencies must adopt a presumption of disclosure, withholding information “only if . . . disclosure would harm an interest protected by an exemption” or “disclosure is prohibited by law.”<sup>6</sup> If it is your position that any portion of the requested records is exempt from disclosure, American Oversight requests that you provide an index of those documents as required under *Vaughn v. Rosen*, 484 F.2d 820 (D.C. Cir. 1973), *cert. denied*, 415 U.S. 977 (1974). As you are aware, a *Vaughn* index must describe each document claimed as exempt with sufficient specificity “to permit a reasoned judgment as to whether the material is actually exempt under FOIA.”<sup>7</sup> Moreover, the *Vaughn* index “must describe *each* document or portion thereof withheld, and for *each* withholding it must discuss the consequences of disclosing the sought-after information.”<sup>8</sup> Further, “the withholding agency must supply ‘a relatively detailed justification, specifically identifying the reasons why a particular exemption is relevant and correlating those claims with the particular part of a withheld document to which they apply.’”<sup>9</sup>

In the event some portions of the requested records are properly exempt from disclosure, please disclose any reasonably segregable non-exempt portions of the requested records. If it is your position that a document contains non-exempt segments, but that those non-exempt segments are so dispersed throughout the document as to make segregation impossible, please state what portion of the document is non-exempt, and how the material is dispersed throughout the document.<sup>10</sup> Claims of nonsegregability must be made with the same degree of detail as required for claims of exemptions in a *Vaughn* index. If a request is denied in whole, please state specifically that it is not reasonable to segregate portions of the record for release.

**You should institute a preservation hold on information responsive to this request.** American Oversight intends to pursue all legal avenues to enforce its right of access under FOIA, including litigation if necessary. Accordingly, HUD is on notice that litigation is reasonably foreseeable.

To ensure that this request is properly construed, that searches are conducted in an adequate but efficient manner, and that extraneous costs are not incurred, American Oversight welcomes an opportunity to discuss its request with you before you undertake your search or incur search or duplication costs. By working together at the outset, American Oversight and HUD can decrease the likelihood of costly and time-consuming litigation in the future.

---

<sup>6</sup> FOIA Improvement Act of 2016 § 2 (Pub. L. No. 114-185).

<sup>7</sup> *Founding Church of Scientology v. Bell*, 603 F.2d 945, 949 (D.C. Cir. 1979).

<sup>8</sup> *King v. U.S. Dep't of Justice*, 830 F.2d 210, 223-24 (D.C. Cir. 1987) (emphasis in original).

<sup>9</sup> *Id.* at 224 (citing *Mead Data Central, Inc. v. U.S. Dep't of the Air Force*, 566 F.2d 242, 251 (D.C. Cir. 1977)).

<sup>10</sup> *Mead Data Central*, 566 F.2d at 261.

Where possible, please provide responsive material in electronic format by email or in PDF or TIF format on a USB drive. Please send any responsive material being sent by mail to American Oversight, 1030 15th Street NW, Suite B255, Washington, DC 20005. If it will accelerate release of responsive records to American Oversight, please also provide responsive material on a rolling basis.

### **Fee Waiver Request**

In accordance with 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(A)(iii) and 24 C.F.R. § 15.106(k), American Oversight requests a waiver of fees associated with processing this request for records. The subject of this request concerns the operations of the federal government, and the disclosures will likely contribute significantly to public understanding of those operations. Moreover, the request is primarily and fundamentally for non-commercial purposes.

American Oversight requests a waiver of fees because “disclosure of the requested information is in the public interest because it is likely to contribute significantly to public understanding of the operations or activities of the government.”<sup>11</sup> The disclosure of the information sought under this request will document and reveal the activities of the federal government, including how an agency is influenced by private interests, including those of for-profit companies and lobbyists. The request records have the potential to reveal conflicts of interest, nepotism, and diversion of government resources for private gain. Records disclosed to date reveal only a glimpse of the inappropriate activities at HUD, and the records requested have the potential to increase the public’s understanding of HUD’s operations and activities, especially as they intersect with the Carson family and their friends, as previously released public records show Benjamin Carson Jr. connected many of the individuals identified in this request with HUD officials. Sustained media interest in the Carson family’s role at HUD attests to the public’s desire for more information on this topic.<sup>12</sup> And, as described in more detail below, American Oversight’s website and social media accounts demonstrate its “ability and intention to effectively convey information to the public.”<sup>13</sup>

This request is primarily and fundamentally for non-commercial purposes.<sup>14</sup> As a 501(c)(3) nonprofit, American Oversight does not have a commercial purpose and the release of the information requested is not in American Oversight’s financial interest. American Oversight’s mission is to promote transparency in government, to educate the public about government activities, and to ensure the accountability of government officials. American Oversight uses the information gathered, and its analysis of it, to educate the public through reports, press releases, or other media. American Oversight also makes materials it gathers available on its public website and promotes their availability on social media platforms, such as Facebook and Twitter.<sup>15</sup> American Oversight has demonstrated its commitment to the public disclosure of documents and creation of

---

<sup>11</sup> 24 C.F.R. § 15.106(k)(1)(i).

<sup>12</sup> See Marsh and Stracqualursi, *supra* note 1; MacGillis, *supra* note 1; Summers, *supra* note 1; Eilperin & Gillum, *supra* note 2.

<sup>13</sup> *Id.*

<sup>14</sup> 24 C.F.R. § 15.106(k)(1)(ii), (k)(3).

<sup>15</sup> American Oversight currently has approximately 11,900 page likes on Facebook and 43,900 followers on Twitter. American Oversight, FACEBOOK, <https://www.facebook.com/weareoversight/> (last visited July 17, 2018); American Oversight (@weareoversight), TWITTER, <https://twitter.com/weareoversight> (last visited July 17, 2018).

editorial content. For example, after receiving records regarding an ethics waiver received by a senior DOJ attorney,<sup>16</sup> American Oversight promptly posted the records to its website and published an analysis of what the records reflected about DOJ's process for ethics waivers.<sup>17</sup> As another example, American Oversight has a project called "Audit the Wall," where the organization is gathering and analyzing information and commenting on public releases of information related to the administration's proposed construction of a barrier along the U.S.-Mexico border.<sup>18</sup>

Accordingly, American Oversight qualifies for a fee waiver.

### **Conclusion**

We share a common mission to promote transparency in government. American Oversight looks forward to working with HUD on this request. If you do not understand any part of this request, have any questions, or foresee any problems in fully releasing the requested records, please contact Dan McGrath at [foia@americanoversight.org](mailto:foia@americanoversight.org) or 202-897-4213. Also, if American Oversight's request for a fee waiver is not granted in full, please contact us immediately upon making such a determination.

Sincerely,



Austin R. Evers  
Executive Director  
American Oversight

---

<sup>16</sup> *DOJ Civil Division Response Noel Francisco Compliance*, AMERICAN OVERSIGHT, <https://www.americanoversight.org/document/doj-civil-division-response-noel-francisco-compliance>.

<sup>17</sup> *Francisco & the Travel Ban: What We Learned from the DOJ Documents*, AMERICAN OVERSIGHT, <https://www.americanoversight.org/francisco-the-travel-ban-what-we-learned-from-the-doj-documents>.

<sup>18</sup> *Audit the Wall*, AMERICAN OVERSIGHT, <https://www.americanoversight.org/investigation/audit-the-wall>.