



February 15, 2018

VIA ELECTRONIC MAIL

Michael Passante
Chief Freedom of Information Act Officer
Office of Legal Counsel
Office of National Drug Control Policy
750 17th Street NW
Washington, DC 20503
FOIA@ondcp.eop.gov

Re: Freedom of Information Act Request

Dear Mr. Passante:

Pursuant to the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA), 5 U.S.C. § 552, and the implementing regulations for the Office of National Drug Control Policy (ONDCP), 21 C.F.R. Part 1401, American Oversight makes the following request for records.

On October 26, 2017 President Trump directed the Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) to declare the opioid crisis a public health emergency.¹ That same day, Acting HHS Secretary Eric D. Hargan declared a nationwide public health emergency as a result of the opioid crisis under section 319 of the Public Health Service Act.² Acting Secretary Hargan renewed the emergency declaration for an additional 90 days on January 19, 2018.³

Despite the Trump administration's public health emergency declaration, public reports suggest that little action has been taken under the authority of the declaration to address the opioid crisis.⁴ Reports suggest that the administration has only been passively involved in requesting

¹ Julie Hirschfield Davis, *Trump Declares Opioid Crisis a 'Health Emergency' but Requests No Funds*, N.Y. TIMES, Oct. 26, 2017, <https://www.nytimes.com/2017/10/26/us/politics/trump-opioid-crisis.html>.

² U.S. DEP'T OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES, DETERMINATION THAT A PUBLIC HEALTH EMERGENCY EXISTS, Oct. 26, 2017, <https://www.phe.gov/emergency/news/healthactions/phe/Pages/opioids.aspx>.

³ U.S. DEP'T OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES, RENEWAL OF DETERMINATION THAT A PUBLIC HEALTH EMERGENCY EXISTS, Jan. 19, 2018, <https://www.phe.gov/emergency/news/healthactions/phe/Pages/opioid-24Jan2018.aspx>.

⁴ Brianna Ehley, *Trump Declared an Opioids Emergency. Then Nothing Changed.*, POLITICO, Jan. 11, 2018, <https://www.politico.com/story/2018/01/11/opioids-epidemic-trump-addiction-emergency-order-335848>.



congressional appropriations to address the crisis despite the dearth of funds in the Public Health Emergency Fund, and that HHS has not employed emergency personnel powers to address the crisis.⁵ This apparent inaction comes as the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention has reported record increases in deaths involving opioids.⁶

Other reports have noted that the White House's opioid response, led by Counselor to the President Kellyanne Conway, has sidelined career experts at ONDCP while the administration is again proposing drastic cuts to the agency's budget.⁷

American Oversight seeks to determine whether the administration has taken appropriate action to address the opioid crisis.

Requested Records

American Oversight requests that ONDCP produce the following within twenty business days:

All records reflecting communications (including emails, email attachments, text messages, telephone call logs, calendar invitations/entries, meeting notices, meeting agendas, informational material, talking points, any handwritten or electronic notes taken during any responsive communications, summaries of any responsive communications, or other materials reflecting communications) between ONDCP and the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services regarding actions taken under the authority granted by the Trump administration's October 26, 2017 declaration of a public health emergency resulting from the opioid crisis.

Please provide all responsive records from October 26, 2017, to the date the search is conducted.

In addition to the records requested above, American Oversight also requests records describing the processing of this request, including records sufficient to identify search terms used and locations and custodians searched and any tracking sheets used to track the processing of this request. If ONDCP uses FOIA questionnaires or certifications completed by individual custodians or components to determine whether they possess responsive materials or to describe how they conducted searches, we also request any such records prepared in connection with the processing of this request.

⁵ *Id.*, Rachel Rouben, *Dems Push for More Money for Opioid Fight*, THE HILL, Dec. 5, 2017, <http://thehill.com/policy/healthcare/363420-dems-push-for-more-money-for-opioid-fight>.

⁶ CENTERS FOR DISEASE CONTROL AND PREVENTION, *Drug Overdose Deaths in the United States Continue to Increase in 2016*, Aug. 30, 2017, <https://www.cdc.gov/drugoverdose/epidemic/index.html>.

⁷ Brianna Ehley & Sarah Karlin-Smith, *Kellyanne Conway's 'Opioid Cabinet' Sidelines Drug Czar's Experts*, POLITICO, Feb. 6, 2018, <https://www.politico.com/story/2018/02/06/kellyanne-conway-opioid-drug-czar-325457>; Rachel Rouben, *Trump Budget Proposes Big Changes to Anti-Drug Office*, THE HILL, Feb. 12, 2018, <http://thehill.com/policy/healthcare/373431-trump-budget-proposes-big-changes-to-anti-drug-office>.

American Oversight seeks all responsive records regardless of format, medium, or physical characteristics. In conducting your search, please understand the terms “record,” “document,” and “information” in their broadest sense, to include any written, typed, recorded, graphic, printed, or audio material of any kind. We seek records of any kind, including electronic records, audiotapes, videotapes, and photographs, as well as letters, emails, facsimiles, telephone messages, voice mail messages and transcripts, notes, or minutes of any meetings, telephone conversations or discussions. Our request includes any attachments to these records. **No category of material should be omitted from search, collection, and production.**

Please search all records regarding agency business. **You may not exclude searches of files or emails in the personal custody of your officials, such as personal email accounts.** Records of official business conducted using unofficial systems or stored outside of official files is subject to the Federal Records Act and FOIA.⁸ **It is not adequate to rely on policies and procedures that require officials to move such information to official systems within a certain period of time; American Oversight has a right to records contained in those files even if material has not yet been moved to official systems or if officials have, through negligence or willfulness, failed to meet their obligations.**⁹

In addition, please note that in conducting a “reasonable search” as required by law, you must employ the most up-to-date technologies and tools available, in addition to searches by individual custodians likely to have responsive information. Recent technology may have rendered ONDCP’s prior FOIA practices unreasonable. **In light of the government-wide requirements to manage information electronically by the end of 2016, it is no longer reasonable to rely exclusively on custodian-driven searches.**¹⁰ Furthermore, agencies that have adopted the National Archives and Records Agency (NARA) Capstone program, or similar policies, now maintain emails in a form that is reasonably likely to be more complete than individual custodians’ files. For example, a custodian may have deleted a responsive email from his or her email program, but ONDCP’s

⁸ See *Competitive Enter. Inst. v. Office of Sci. & Tech. Policy*, 827 F.3d 145, 149–50 (D.C. Cir. 2016); cf. *Judicial Watch, Inc. v. Kerry*, 844 F.3d 952, 955–56 (D.C. Cir. 2016).

⁹ See *Competitive Enter. Inst. v. Office of Sci. & Tech. Policy*, No. 14-cv-765, slip op. at 8 (D.D.C. Dec. 12, 2016) (“The Government argues that because the agency had a policy requiring [the official] to forward all of his emails from his [personal] account to his business email, the [personal] account only contains duplicate agency records at best. Therefore, the Government claims that any hypothetical deletion of the [personal account] emails would still leave a copy of those records intact in [the official’s] work email. However, policies are rarely followed to perfection by anyone. At this stage of the case, the Court cannot assume that each and every work related email in the [personal] account was duplicated in [the official’s] work email account.” (citations omitted)).

¹⁰ Presidential Memorandum—Managing Government Records, 76 Fed. Reg. 75,423 (Nov. 28, 2011), <https://obamawhitehouse.archives.gov/the-press-office/2011/11/28/presidential-memorandum-managing-government-records>; Office of Mgmt. & Budget, Exec. Office of the President, Memorandum for the Heads of Executive Departments & Independent Agencies, “Managing Government Records Directive,” M-12-18 (Aug. 24, 2012), <https://www.archives.gov/files/records-mgmt/m-12-18.pdf>.

archiving tools would capture that email under Capstone. Accordingly, American Oversight insists that ONDCP use the most up-to-date technologies to search for responsive information and take steps to ensure that the most complete repositories of information are searched. American Oversight is available to work with you to craft appropriate search terms. **However, custodian searches are still required; agencies may not have direct access to files stored in .PST files, outside of network drives, in paper format, or in personal email accounts.**

Under the FOIA Improvement Act of 2016, agencies must adopt a presumption of disclosure, withholding information “only if . . . disclosure would harm an interest protected by an exemption” or “disclosure is prohibited by law.”¹¹ If it is your position that any portion of the requested records is exempt from disclosure, American Oversight requests that you provide an index of those documents as required under *Vaughn v. Rosen*, 484 F.2d 820 (D.C. Cir. 1973), *cert. denied*, 415 U.S. 977 (1974). As you are aware, a *Vaughn* index must describe each document claimed as exempt with sufficient specificity “to permit a reasoned judgment as to whether the material is actually exempt under FOIA.”¹² Moreover, the *Vaughn* index “must describe *each* document or portion thereof withheld, and for *each* withholding it must discuss the consequences of disclosing the sought-after information.”¹³ Further, “the withholding agency must supply ‘a relatively detailed justification, specifically identifying the reasons why a particular exemption is relevant and correlating those claims with the particular part of a withheld document to which they apply.’”¹⁴

In the event some portions of the requested records are properly exempt from disclosure, please disclose any reasonably segregable non-exempt portions of the requested records. If it is your position that a document contains non-exempt segments, but that those non-exempt segments are so dispersed throughout the document as to make segregation impossible, please state what portion of the document is non-exempt, and how the material is dispersed throughout the document.¹⁵ Claims of nonsegregability must be made with the same degree of detail as required for claims of exemptions in a *Vaughn* index. If a request is denied in whole, please state specifically that it is not reasonable to segregate portions of the record for release.

You should institute a preservation hold on information responsive to this request. American Oversight intends to pursue all legal avenues to enforce its right of access under FOIA, including litigation if necessary. Accordingly, ONDCP is on notice that litigation is reasonably foreseeable.

To ensure that this request is properly construed, that searches are conducted in an adequate but efficient manner, and that extraneous costs are not incurred, American Oversight welcomes an opportunity to discuss its request with you before you undertake your search or incur search or duplication costs. By working together at the outset, American Oversight and ONDCP can decrease the likelihood of costly and time-consuming litigation in the future.

¹¹ FOIA Improvement Act of 2016 § 2 (Pub. L. No. 114-185).

¹² *Founding Church of Scientology v. Bell*, 603 F.2d 945, 949 (D.C. Cir. 1979).

¹³ *King v. U.S. Dep’t of Justice*, 830 F.2d 210, 223–24 (D.C. Cir. 1987) (emphasis in original).

¹⁴ *Id.* at 224 (citing *Mead Data Central, Inc. v. U.S. Dep’t of the Air Force*, 566 F.2d 242, 251 (D.C. Cir. 1977)).

¹⁵ *Mead Data Central*, 566 F.2d at 261.

Where possible, please provide responsive material in electronic format by email or in PDF or TIF format on a USB drive. Please send any responsive material being sent by mail to American Oversight, 1030 15th Street, NW, Suite B255, Washington, DC 20005. If it will accelerate release of responsive records to American Oversight, please also provide responsive material on a rolling basis.

Fee Waiver Request

In accordance with 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(A)(iii) and 21 C.F.R. § 1401.13, American Oversight requests a waiver of fees associated with processing this request for records. The subject of this request concerns the operations of the federal government, and the disclosures will likely contribute to public understanding of those operations. Moreover, the request is primarily and fundamentally for non-commercial purposes.

American Oversight requests a waiver of fees because disclosure of the requested information “is in the public interest because it is likely to contribute significantly to public understanding of the operations or activities of the government.”¹⁶ The disclosure of the information sought under this request will document and reveal the activities of the federal government, including how an agency charged with developing and coordinating federal drug control policies is involved in coordinating a response to the opioid crisis across the federal government.¹⁷ The American people deserve to know whether ONDCP is actively involved in responding to the nation’s severe opioid crisis.

This request is primarily and fundamentally for non-commercial purposes.¹⁸ As a 501(c)(3) nonprofit, American Oversight does not have a commercial purpose and the release of the information requested is not in American Oversight’s financial interest. American Oversight’s mission is to promote transparency in government, to educate the public about government activities, and to ensure the accountability of government officials. American Oversight uses the information gathered, and its analysis of it, to educate the public through reports, press releases, or other media. American Oversight also makes materials it gathers available on its public website and promotes their availability on social media platforms, such as Facebook and Twitter.¹⁹ American Oversight has demonstrated its commitment to the public disclosure of documents and creation of editorial content. For example, after receiving records regarding an ethics waiver received by a senior DOJ attorney,²⁰ American Oversight promptly posted the records to its website and

¹⁶ 21 C.F.R. § 1401.13.

¹⁷ 21 U.S.C. § 1702(a).

¹⁸ 21 C.F.R. § 1401.13.

¹⁹ American Oversight currently has approximately 11,800 page likes on Facebook and 39,200 followers on Twitter. American Oversight, FACEBOOK, <https://www.facebook.com/weareoversight/> (last visited Feb 12, 2018); American Oversight (@weareoversight), TWITTER, <https://twitter.com/weareoversight> (last visited Feb. 12, 2018).

²⁰ *DOJ Civil Division Response Noel Francisco Compliance*, AMERICAN OVERSIGHT, <https://www.americanoversight.org/document/doj-civil-division-response-noel-francisco-compliance>.

published an analysis of what the records reflected about DOJ's process for ethics waivers.²¹ As another example, American Oversight has a project called "Audit the Wall," where the organization is gathering and analyzing information and commenting on public releases of information related to the administration's proposed construction of a barrier along the U.S.-Mexico border.²²

Accordingly, American Oversight qualifies for a fee waiver.

Conclusion

We share a common mission to promote transparency in government. American Oversight looks forward to working with ONDCP on this request. If you do not understand any part of this request, have any questions, or foresee any problems in fully releasing the requested records, please contact Dan McGrath at foia@americanoversight.org or 202-897-4213. Also, if American Oversight's request for a fee waiver is not granted in full, please contact us immediately upon making such a determination.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink that reads "Austin R. Evers". The signature is fluid and cursive, with a long horizontal line extending to the left.

Austin R. Evers
Executive Director
American Oversight

²¹ *Francisco & the Travel Ban: What We Learned from the DOJ Documents*, AMERICAN OVERSIGHT, <https://www.americanoversight.org/francisco-the-travel-ban-what-we-learned-from-the-doj-documents>.

²² *Audit the Wall*, AMERICAN OVERSIGHT, www.auditthewall.org.