VIA FACSIMILE

U.S. Department of State
Office of Information Programs and Services
A/GIS/IPS/RL
SA-2, Suite 8100
Washington, DC 20522-0208
(202) 261-8579

Re: Freedom of Information Act Request

Dear Freedom of Information Act Officer:

Pursuant to the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA), 5 U.S.C. § 552 et seq. and the implementing regulations of the Department of State (State), 22 C.F.R. Part 171, American Oversight makes the following request for records.

Building a “wall” along the entire U.S.–Mexico border was a central promise of President Donald Trump’s 2016 campaign.¹ On February 8, 2017, Mr. Trump told law enforcement officials that design of the wall was already underway.² In prepared remarks for his joint address to Congress on February 28, Mr. Trump indicated that a schedule had been established for starting and completing the wall, and stated, “We will soon begin the construction of a great wall along our southern border. It will be started ahead of schedule and, when finished, it will be a very effective

¹ Donald J. Trump (@realdonaldtrump), TWITTER (May 20, 2015, 4:22 PM),
https://twitter.com/realdonaldTrump/status/601120861882621955; Donald J. Trump
(@realdonaldtrump), TWITTER (Apr. 1, 2016, 3:49 PM),
https://twitter.com/realdonaldTrump/status/716019755682045952; see also Amanda Holpuch,
Trump Re-ups Controversial Muslim Ban and Mexico Wall in First Campaign Ad, THE
again-first-campaign-ad-isis-mexico-wall-muslim-ban; Seema Mehta & Noah Bierman, Campaign
2016 Updates: Donald Trump Continues Vow to Build Wall and Have Mexico Pay for It, L.A.
08312016-htmlstory.html; Donald J. Trump (@realdonaldtrump), TWITTER (Oct. 8, 2014, 5:26
PM), https://twitter.com/realdonaldTrump/status/519962130859429888; Bob Woodward &
Robert Costa, Trump Reveals How He Would Force Mexico to Pay for Border Wall, WASH.
POST, Apr. 5, 2016, https://www.washingtonpost.com/politics/trump-would-seek-to-block-money-
transfers-to-force-mexico-to-fund-border-wall/2016/04/05/c0196314-4a7c-11e5-80c4-
c381214de1a3_story.html?utm_term=.7d66d9e7a934.
² Julia Edwards Ainsley, Exclusive – Trump Border ‘Wall’ to Cost $21.6 Billion, Take 3.5 Years to
Build: Internal Report, REUTERS.COM (Feb. 9, 2017, 10:05 PM),
weapon against drugs and crime.” Throughout the campaign—and even since taking office—Mr. Trump has repeatedly pledged that he would make Mexico pay for the wall. American Oversight seeks to understand whether and how the State Department has attempted to accomplish that significant diplomatic undertaking.

**Requested Records**

American Oversight requests that State produce the following within twenty business days:

All records reflecting any preparations by the State Department to seek or collect funding, reimbursement, or other remuneration from Mexico to pay for the cost of constructing a wall, fence, or other physical or virtual barrier along the U.S.-Mexico border, including but not limited to communications within the government regarding strategies for getting Mexico to pay (directly or indirectly) for the wall; communications within the government regarding potential alternative forms of compensation for the wall; any analyses of the likely success of any such efforts; or any other preparations for seeking or receiving funds from Mexico. This request includes but is not limited to cables, telegrams, emails, information memoranda, and action memoranda.

The search for responsive records should include all individuals and locations where records are likely to exist, including but not limited to the Office of the Secretary, the Office of the Deputy Secretary (including the Deputy Secretary and the Deputy Secretary for Management and Resources), the White House Liaison’s Office, the Executive Secretariat, Policy Planning Staff, the Bureau of Western Hemisphere Affairs, the Office of the Undersecretary for Management, and the U.S. embassy in Mexico.

Please provide all responsive records from November 8, 2016, to the date the search is conducted.

In addition to the records requested above, American Oversight also requests records describing the processing of this request, including records sufficient to identify search terms used and locations and custodians searched and any tracking sheets used to track the processing of this request. If State uses FOIA questionnaires or certifications completed by individual custodians or components to determine whether they possess responsive materials or to describe how they

---


conducted searches, we also request any such records prepared in connection with the processing of this request.

American Oversight seeks all responsive records regardless of format, medium, or physical characteristics. In conducting your search, please understand the terms “record,” “document,” and “information” in their broadest sense, to include any written, typed, recorded, graphic, printed, or audio material of any kind. We seek records of any kind, including electronic records, audiotapes, videotapes, and photographs, as well as letters, emails, facsimiles, telephone messages, voice mail messages and transcripts, notes, or minutes of any meetings, telephone conversations or discussions. Our request includes any attachments to these records. **No category of material should be omitted from search, collection, and production.**

Please search all records regarding agency business. **You may not exclude searches of files or emails in the personal custody of your officials, such as personal email accounts.** Records of official business conducted using unofficial systems or stored outside of official files is subject to the Federal Records Act and FOIA.\(^5\) **It is not adequate to rely on policies and procedures that require officials to move such information to official systems within a certain period of time; American Oversight has a right to records contained in those files even if material has not yet been moved to official systems or if officials have, through negligence or willfulness, failed to meet their obligations.**\(^6\)

In addition, please note that in conducting a “reasonable search” as required by law, you must employ the most up-to-date technologies and tools available, in addition to searches by individual custodians likely to have responsive information. Recent technology may have rendered State’s prior FOIA practices unreasonable. **In light of the government-wide requirements to manage information electronically by the end of 2016, it is no longer reasonable to rely exclusively on custodian-driven searches.** Furthermore, agencies that have adopted the National Archives and Records Agency (NARA) Capstone program, or similar policies, now maintain emails in a form


\(^6\) See *Competitive Enter. Inst. v. Office of Sci. & Tech. Policy*, No. 14-cv-765, slip op. at 8 (D.D.C. Dec. 12, 2016) (“The Government argues that because the agency had a policy requiring [the official] to forward all of his emails from his [personal] account to his business email, the [personal] account only contains duplicate agency records at best. Therefore, the Government claims that any hypothetical deletion of the [personal account] emails would still leave a copy of those records intact in [the official’s] work email. However, policies are rarely followed to perfection by anyone. At this stage of the case, the Court cannot assume that each and every work-related email in the [personal] account was duplicated in [the official’s] work email account.” (citations omitted)).

that is reasonably likely to be more complete than individual custodians’ files. For example, a custodian may have deleted a responsive email from his or her email program, but State’s archiving tools would capture that email under Capstone. Accordingly, American Oversight insists that State use the most up-to-date technologies to search for responsive information and take steps to ensure that the most complete repositories of information are searched. American Oversight is available to work with you to craft appropriate search terms. However, custodian searches are still required; agencies may not have direct access to files stored in .PST files, outside of network drives, in paper format, or in personal email accounts.

Under the FOIA Improvement Act of 2016, agencies must adopt a presumption of disclosure, withholding information “only if . . . disclosure would harm an interest protected by an exemption” or “disclosure is prohibited by law.” If it is your position that any portion of the requested records is exempt from disclosure, American Oversight requests that you provide an index of those documents as required under Vaughn v. Rosen, 484 F.2d 820 (D.C. Cir. 1973), cert. denied, 415 U.S. 977 (1974). As you are aware, a Vaughn index must describe each document claimed as exempt with sufficient specificity “to permit a reasoned judgment as to whether the material is actually exempt under FOIA.” Moreover, the Vaughn index “must describe each document or portion thereof withheld, and for each withholding it must discuss the consequences of disclosing the sought-after information.” Further, “the withholding agency must supply ‘a relatively detailed justification, specifically identifying the reasons why a particular exemption is relevant and correlating those claims with the particular part of a withheld document to which they apply.’”

In the event some portions of the requested records are properly exempt from disclosure, please disclose any reasonably segregable non-exempt portions of the requested records. If it is your position that a document contains non-exempt segments, but that those non-exempt segments are so dispersed throughout the document as to make segregation impossible, please state what portion of the document is non-exempt, and how the material is dispersed throughout the document. Claims of nonsegregability must be made with the same degree of detail as required for claims of exemptions in a Vaughn index. If a request is denied in whole, please state specifically that it is not reasonable to segregate portions of the record for release.

You should institute a preservation hold on information responsive to this request. American Oversight intends to pursue all legal avenues to enforce its right of access under FOIA, including litigation if necessary. Accordingly, State is on notice that litigation is reasonably foreseeable.

To ensure that this request is properly construed, that searches are conducted in an adequate but efficient manner, and that extraneous costs are not incurred, American Oversight welcomes an opportunity to discuss its request with you before you undertake your search or incur search or

---

9 Founding Church of Scientology v. Bell, 603 F.2d 945, 949 (D.C. Cir. 1979).
11 Id. at 224 (citing Mead Data Central, Inc. v. U.S. Dep’t of the Air Force, 566 F.2d 242, 251 (D.C. Cir. 1977)).
12 Mead Data Central, 566 F.2d at 261.
duplication costs. By working together at the outset, American Oversight and State can decrease the likelihood of costly and time-consuming litigation in the future.

Where possible, please provide responsive material in electronic format by email or in PDF or TIF format on a USB drive. Please send any responsive material being sent by mail to American Oversight, 1030 15th Street NW, Suite B255, Washington, DC 20005. If it will accelerate release of responsive records to American Oversight, please also provide responsive material on rolling basis.

**Fee Waiver Request**

In accordance with 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(A)(iii) and 22 C.F.R. § 171.16(a), American Oversight requests a waiver of fees associated with processing this request for records. The subject of this request concerns the operations of the federal government, and the disclosures will likely contribute to a better understanding of relevant government procedures by the general public in a significant way. Moreover, the request is primarily and fundamentally for non-commercial purposes.

American Oversight requests a waiver of fees because disclosure of the requested information is “in the public interest because it is likely to contribute significantly to public understanding” of government activities. The requested records will help American Oversight and the general public understand the progress of this mammoth government project. An infrastructure project of this size—and efforts to fund or pay for such a project—are clear government activities. Mr. Trump has made no secret of his plans to make Mexico pay for the construction of the wall. This request seeks to understand what steps have been taken to put those plans into effect.

This request is primarily and fundamentally for non-commercial purposes. As a 501(c)(3) nonprofit, American Oversight does not have a commercial purpose and the release of the information requested is not in American Oversight’s financial interest. American Oversight’s mission is to promote transparency in government, to educate the public about government activities, and to ensure the accountability of government officials. American Oversight will use the information gathered, and its analysis of it, to educate the public through reports, press releases, or other media. American Oversight will also make materials it gathers available on our public website and promote the availability on social media platforms, such as Facebook and Twitter.

Accordingly, American Oversight qualifies for a fee waiver.

---

13 22 C.F.R. § 171.16(a)(1).
14 22 C.F.R. § 171.16(a)(2).
15 22 C.F.R. § 171.16(a)(1)(i)-(iv).
16 See supra note 4.
17 22 C.F.R. § 171.16(a)(2)(i)-(iii).
Conclusion

We share a common mission to promote transparency in government. American Oversight looks forward to working with State on this request. If you do not understand any part of this request, have any questions, or foresee any problems in fully releasing the requested records, please contact Cerissa Cafasso at foia@americanoversight.org or 202.869.5246. Also, if American Oversight’s request for a fee waiver is not granted in full, please contact us immediately upon making such a determination.

Sincerely,

Melanie Sloan
Senior Advisor
American Oversight