

October 29, 2018

VIA ELECTRONIC MAIL

Alexis R. Graves
Departmental FOIA Officer
U.S. Department of Agriculture
1400 Independence Avenue SW
South Building, Room 4104
Washington, DC 20250-0706
USDAFOIA@ocio.usda.gov

Re: Freedom of Information Act Request

Dear Ms. Graves:

Pursuant to the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA), 5 U.S.C. § 552, and the implementing regulations of the U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA), 7 C.F.R. Part 1, American Oversight makes the following request for records.

The calendar of Heidi Green, the former Chief of Staff to USDA Secretary Sonny Perdue, shows meetings with Department of Homeland Security (DHS) and White House personnel concerning immigration policy. American Oversight seeks records to shed light on USDA's role with respect to the administration's immigration policy, which has been a matter of great and ongoing public interest.

Requested Records

American Oversight requests that USDA produce the following within twenty business days:

- 1) All communications—including emails, email attachments, calendar entries/invitations, any handwritten or electronic notes taken during any oral communications, and summaries of any oral communications—between former Chief of Staff to Secretary Perdue, Heidi Green, and any of the individuals listed below:
 - i. Gene Hamilton DHS Office of the Secretary \square gene.hamilton@hq.dhs.gov \rangle and DOJ Office of the Attorney General²

² It is American Oversight's understanding that Mr. Hamilton held his role with DHS until approximately October 26, 2017, at which point he joined the U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ).



¹ USDA Calendar Records for Secretary Perdue's Chief of Staff Heidi Green, AMERICAN OVERSIGHT, https://www.americanoversight.org/document/usda-calendar-records-for-secretary-perdues-chief-of-staff-heidi-green.

- ii. Michael Dougherty DHS Office of Policy <michael.dougherty@hq.dhs.gov>
- iii. Joe Maher DHS Office of the General Counsel \(\sqrt{Joseph.Maher@HQ.DHS.GOV} \)
- v. James McCament DHS USCIS \(\sqrt{James.W.McCament@uscis.dhs.gov}\)
- vi. Craig Symons DHS USCIS <Craig.M.Symons@uscis.dhs.gov>
- vii. Kathy Nuebel Kovarik DHS USCIS <kathy.nuebelkovarik@uscis.dhs.gov>
- viii. John Zadrozny White House Domestic Policy Council
- ix. Ray Starling White House National Economic Council
- x. Stephen Miller White House Office
- xi. Andrew Veprek White House Office
- xii. Melissa Bishop National Security Council

For this portion of the request, please provide all responsive records from Ms. Green's start date at USDA, through June 1, 2018.

- 2) All communications—including emails, email attachments, calendar entries/invitations, any handwritten or electronic notes taken during any oral communications, and summaries of any oral communications—between Chief of Staff to Secretary Perdue, Ray Starling, and any of the individuals listed below:
 - i. Gene Hamilton DHS Office of the Secretary <gene.hamilton@hq.dhs.gov> and DOJ Office of the Attorney General
 - ii. Michael Dougherty DHS Office of Policy <michael.dougherty@hq.dhs.gov>
- iii. Joe Maher DHS Office of the General Counsel \Joseph.Maher@HQ.DHS.GOV>
- v. James McCament DHS USCIS \(\sqrt{James.W.McCament@uscis.dhs.gov} \)
- vi. Craig Symons DHS USCIS <Craig.M.Symons@uscis.dhs.gov>
- vii. Kathy Nuebel Kovarik DHS USCIS <kathy.nuebelkovarik@uscis.dhs.gov>
- viii. John Zadrozny White House Domestic Policy Council
- ix. Stephen Miller White House Office
- x. Andrew Veprek White House Office
- xi. Melissa Bishop National Security Council

For this portion of the request, please provide all responsive records from June 1, 2018, through the date the search is conducted.

3) All records reflecting communications—including emails, email attachments, calendar entries/invitations, any handwritten or electronic notes taken during any oral

This request seeks all communications between the specified USDA personnel and Mr. Hamilton, whether conducted in his role with DHS or in his role with DOJ.

communications, and summaries of any oral communications—between Senior Advisor to Secretary Perdue, Kristi Boswell, and any of the individuals listed below:

- i. Gene Hamilton DHS Office of the Secretary \(\square\) and DOJ Office of the Attorney General
- ii. Michael Dougherty DHS Office of Policy <michael.dougherty@hq.dhs.gov>
- iii. Joe Maher DHS Office of the General Counsel Soseph.Maher@HQ.DHS.GOV>
- v. James McCament DHS USCIS \(\sqrt{James.W.McCament@uscis.dhs.gov} \)
- vi. Craig Symons DHS USCIS <Craig.M.Symons@uscis.dhs.gov>
- vii. Kathy Nuebel Kovarik DHS USCIS <kathy.nuebelkovarik@uscis.dhs.gov>
- viii. John Zadrozny White House Domestic Policy Council
- ix. Ray Starling White House National Economic Council
- x. Stephen Miller White House Office
- xi. Andrew Veprek White House Office
- xii. Melissa Bishop National Security Council

For this portion of the request, please provide all responsive records from Ms. Boswell's start date at USDA through the date the search is conducted.

In addition to the records requested above, American Oversight also requests records describing the processing of this request, including records sufficient to identify search terms used and locations and custodians searched and any tracking sheets used to track the processing of this request. If your agency uses FOIA questionnaires or certifications completed by individual custodians or components to determine whether they possess responsive materials or to describe how they conducted searches, we also request any such records prepared in connection with the processing of this request.

American Oversight seeks all responsive records regardless of format, medium, or physical characteristics. In conducting your search, please understand the terms "record," "document," and "information" in their broadest sense, to include any written, typed, recorded, graphic, printed, or audio material of any kind. We seek records of any kind, including electronic records, audiotapes, videotapes, and photographs, as well as letters, emails, facsimiles, telephone messages, voice mail messages and transcripts, notes, or minutes of any meetings, telephone conversations or discussions. Our request includes any attachments to these records. No category of material should be omitted from search, collection, and production.

Please search all records regarding agency business. You may not exclude searches of files or emails in the personal custody of your officials, such as personal email accounts. Records of official business conducted using unofficial systems or stored outside of official files are subject to

the Federal Records Act and FOIA.³ It is not adequate to rely on policies and procedures that require officials to move such information to official systems within a certain period of time; American Oversight has a right to records contained in those files even if material has not yet been moved to official systems or if officials have, through negligence or willfulness, failed to meet their obligations.⁴

In addition, please note that in conducting a "reasonable search" as required by law, you must employ the most up-to-date technologies and tools available, in addition to searches by individual custodians likely to have responsive information. Recent technology may have rendered your agency's prior FOIA practices unreasonable. In light of the government-wide requirements to manage information electronically by the end of 2016, it is no longer reasonable to rely exclusively on custodian-driven searches. Furthermore, agencies that have adopted the National Archives and Records Administration (NARA) Capstone program, or similar policies, now maintain emails in a form that is reasonably likely to be more complete than individual custodians' files. For example, a custodian may have deleted a responsive email from his or her email program, but your agency's archiving tools would capture that email under Capstone. Accordingly, American Oversight insists that your agency use the most up-to-date technologies to search for responsive information and take steps to ensure that the most complete repositories of information are searched. American Oversight is available to work with you to craft appropriate search terms. However, custodian searches are still required; agencies may not have direct access to files stored in .PST files, outside of network drives, in paper format, or in personal email accounts.

Under the FOIA Improvement Act of 2016, agencies must adopt a presumption of disclosure, withholding information "only if . . . disclosure would harm an interest protected by an exemption" or "disclosure is prohibited by law." If it is your position that any portion of the requested records

⁻

³ See Competitive Enter. Inst. v. Office of Sci. & Tech. Policy, 827 F.3d 145, 149–50 (D.C. Cir. 2016); cf. Judicial Watch, Inc. v. Kerry, 844 F.3d 952, 955–56 (D.C. Cir. 2016).

⁴ See Competitive Enter. Inst. v. Office of Sci. & Tech. Policy, No. 14-cv-765, slip op. at 8 (D.D.C. Dec. 12, 2016) ("The Government argues that because the agency had a policy requiring [the official] to forward all of his emails from his [personal] account to his business email, the [personal] account only contains duplicate agency records at best. Therefore, the Government claims that any hypothetical deletion of the [personal account] emails would still leave a copy of those records intact in [the official's] work email. However, policies are rarely followed to perfection by anyone. At this stage of the case, the Court cannot assume that each and every work-related email in the [personal] account was duplicated in [the official's] work email account." (citations omitted)).

⁵ Presidential Memorandum—Managing Government Records, 76 Fed. Reg. 75,423 (Nov. 28, 2011), https://obamawhitehouse.archives.gov/the-press-office/2011/11/28/presidential-memorandum-managing-government-records; Office of Mgmt. & Budget, Exec. Office of the President, Memorandum for the Heads of Executive Departments & Independent Agencies, "Managing Government Records Directive," M-12-18 (Aug. 24, 2012), https://www.archives.gov/files/records-mgmt/m-12-18.pdf.

⁶ FOIA Improvement Act of 2016 § 2 (Pub. L. No. 114-185).

is exempt from disclosure, American Oversight requests that you provide an index of those documents as required under *Vaughn v. Rosen*, 484 F.2d 820 (D.C. Cir. 1973), *cert. denied*, 415 U.S. 977 (1974). As you are aware, a *Vaughn* index must describe each document claimed as exempt with sufficient specificity "to permit a reasoned judgment as to whether the material is actually exempt under FOIA." Moreover, the *Vaughn* index "must describe *each* document or portion thereof withheld, and for *each* withholding it must discuss the consequences of disclosing the sought-after information." Further, "the withholding agency must supply 'a relatively detailed justification, specifically identifying the reasons why a particular exemption is relevant and correlating those claims with the particular part of a withheld document to which they apply."

In the event some portions of the requested records are properly exempt from disclosure, please disclose any reasonably segregable non-exempt portions of the requested records. If it is your position that a document contains non-exempt segments, but that those non-exempt segments are so dispersed throughout the document as to make segregation impossible, please state what portion of the document is non-exempt, and how the material is dispersed throughout the document. Claims of nonsegregability must be made with the same degree of detail as required for claims of exemptions in a *Vaughn* index. If a request is denied in whole, please state specifically that it is not reasonable to segregate portions of the record for release.

You should institute a preservation hold on information responsive to this request. American Oversight intends to pursue all legal avenues to enforce its right of access under FOIA, including litigation if necessary. Accordingly, you are on notice that litigation is reasonably foreseeable.

To ensure that this request is properly construed, that searches are conducted in an adequate but efficient manner, and that extraneous costs are not incurred, American Oversight welcomes an opportunity to discuss its request with you before you undertake your search or incur search or duplication costs. By working together at the outset, American Oversight hopes to decrease the likelihood of costly and time-consuming litigation in the future.

Where possible, please provide responsive material in electronic format by email or in PDF or TIF format on a USB drive. Please send any responsive material being sent by mail to American Oversight, 1030 15th Street NW, Suite B255, Washington, DC 20005. If it will accelerate release of responsive records to American Oversight, please also provide responsive material on a rolling basis.

_

⁷ Founding Church of Scientology v. Bell, 603 F.2d 945, 949 (D.C. Cir. 1979).

⁸ King v. U.S. Dep't of Justice, 830 F.2d 210, 223-24 (D.C. Cir. 1987) (emphases in original).

⁹ *Id.* at 224 (citing *Mead Data Central, Inc. v. U.S. Dep't of the Air Force*, 566 F.2d 242, 251 (D.C. Cir. 1977)).

¹⁰ Mead Data Central, 566 F.2d at 261.

Fee Waiver Request

In accordance with 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(A)(iii) and 7 C.F.R. Part 1, Subpart A, Appendix A, Section 6, American Oversight requests a waiver of fees associated with processing this request for records. Disclosure of the requested information is in the public interest as it is likely to contribute significantly to public understanding of government operations and activities. The disclosure of information sought under this request will document and reveal the operations of the federal government, including the methods by which important, wide-reaching policy is created, implemented, and administered. There has been great public interest and media coverage regarding various facets of federal immigration policy, including the administration's ban on travel from majority-Muslim countries, harsh family separation policies, and drastic cuts to legal immigration. The American people have a right to understand the role of various agencies, including USDA, in implementing these policies. As described below, American Oversight has the intention and ability to disseminate the records it receives to a broad audience, allowing for greater transparency.

_

https://www.cnn.com/2018/08/27/politics/will-hurd-donald-trump-border-wall-central-america/index.html; Lawmakers Question Trump Officials on Family Separation Policy, NPR (July 31, 2018, 7:12 PM), https://www.npr.org/2018/07/31/634396006/lawmakers-question-trump-officials-on-family-separation-policy; Dara Lind, Beyond Family Separation: Trump's Ongoing War on Asylum, Explained, VOX (Aug. 6, 2018, 9:30 AM),

https://www.vox.com/explainers/2018/8/6/17501404/trump-asylum-separate-legal-definition; Alan Gomez, *All the Ways President Trump Is Cutting Legal Immigration*, USA TODAY (June 12, 2018, 11:47 AM), https://www.usatoday.com/story/news/world/2018/06/12/donald-trump-cutting-legal-immigration/692447002/; Abigail Hauslohner & Andrew Ba Tran, *How Trump Is Changing the Face of Legal Immigration*, WASH. POST, July 2, 2018,

0d678ec08c2f story.html?utm term=.74dd9da7301d; Nick Miroff et al., *Trump Considering Plan to Ban Entry of Migrants at Southern Border, Deny Asylum*, WASH. POST, Oct. 25, 2018, https://www.washingtonpost.com/politics/pentagon-plans-to-dispatch-800-more-troops-to-us-mexico-border-in-response-to-migrant-caravan/2018/10/25/6a121944-d868-11e8-83a2-d1c3da28d6b6_story.html?utm_term=.5942a69efc0f.

¹¹ 7 C.F.R. Part 1, Subpart A, Appendix A, Section 6(a)(1)(i)-(iv); see, e.g., McClellan Ecological Seepage Situation v. Carlucci, 835 F.2d 1282, 1285 (9th Cir. 1987).

¹² See, e.g., Lawrence Hurley, U.S. Top Court Upholds Trump Travel Ban Targeting Muslim-Majority Nations, REUTERS (June 26, 2018, 10:22 AM), https://www.reuters.com/article/us-usa-court-immigration/u-s-top-court-upholds-trump-travel-ban-targeting-muslim-majority-nations-idUSKBN1JM1U9; Steve Almasy & Darran Simon, A Timeline of President Trump's Travel Bans, CNN (Mar. 30, 2017, 4:01 AM), https://www.cnn.com/2017/02/10/us/trump-travel-ban-timeline/index.html; Tal Kopan, Republican Lawmaker: Border Wall, Family Separations Counterproductive to Security, CNN (Aug. 27, 2018, 4:11 PM),

In addition, this request is primarily and fundamentally not for commercial purposes, but rather the primary interest is in public disclosure of responsive records. As a 501(c)(3) nonprofit, American Oversight does not have a commercial purpose and the release of the information requested is not in American Oversight's financial interest. American Oversight's mission is to promote transparency in government, to educate the public about government activities, and to ensure the accountability of government officials. American Oversight uses the information gathered, and its analysis of it, to educate the public through reports, press releases, or other media. American Oversight also makes materials it gathers available on its public website and promotes their availability on social media platforms, such as Facebook and Twitter. American Oversight has demonstrated its commitment to the public disclosure of documents and creation of editorial content. For example, after receiving records regarding an ethics waiver received by a senior DOJ attorney, 15 American Oversight promptly posted the records to its website and published an analysis of what the records reflected about DOI's process for ethics waivers. ¹⁶ As another example, American Oversight has a project called "Audit the Wall," where the organization is gathering and analyzing information and commenting on public releases of information related to the administration's proposed construction of a barrier along the U.S.-Mexico border. 17

Accordingly, American Oversight qualifies for a fee waiver.

Conclusion

We share a common mission to promote transparency in government. American Oversight looks forward to working with your agency on this request. If you do not understand any part of this request, have any questions, or foresee any problems in fully releasing the requested records, please contact Katherine Anthony at foia@americanoversight.org or (202) 897-3918. Also, if American Oversight's request for a fee waiver is not granted in full, please contact us immediately upon making such a determination.

_

¹³ 7 C.F.R. Part 1, Subpart A, Appendix A, Section 6(a)(1)(v)-(vi).

¹⁴ American Oversight currently has approximately 11,900 page likes on Facebook and 45,600 followers on Twitter. American Oversight, FACEBOOK, https://www.facebook.com/weareoversight/ (last visited Oct. 29, 2018); American Oversight (@weareoversight), TWITTER, https://twitter.com/weareoversight (last visited Oct. 29, 2018).

¹⁵ DOJ Civil Division Response Noel Francisco Compliance, AMERICAN OVERSIGHT, https://www.americanoversight.org/document/doj-civil-division-response-noel-francisco-compliance.

¹⁶ Francisco & the Travel Ban: What We Learned from the DOJ Documents, AMERICAN OVERSIGHT, https://www.americanoversight.org/francisco-the-travel-ban-what-we-learned-from-the-doj-documents.

¹⁷ Audit the Wall, AMERICAN OVERSIGHT, https://www.americanoversight.org/investigation/audit-the-wall.

Sincerely,

Austin R. Evers

Executive Director

American Oversight