

February 6, 2019

VIA EMAIL

Clarice Julka Office of the Secretary U.S. Department of the Interior MS-7328, MIB 1849 C Street NW Washington, DC 20240 os_foia@ios.doi.gov

National FOIA Office Bureau of Land Management Attn: FOIA Office (WO-640) 1849 C Street NW Washington, DC 20240 <u>blm_wo_foia@blm.gov</u>

Dele Awoniyi, Bureau FOIA Officer Main Interior Building 1849 C Street NW MS 1200W-MIB Washington, DC 20240 <u>foia@osmre.gov</u> BSEE FOIA Officer Bureau of Safety and Environmental Enforcement Mail Stop: VAE-BSEE FOIA 45600 Woodland Road Sterling, VA 20166 bseefoia@bsee.gov

BOEM FOIA Officer 45600 Woodland Road, Sterling, Virginia 20166 <u>boemfoia@boem.gov</u>

Re: Freedom of Information Act Request

Dear Freedom of Information Officers:

Pursuant to the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA), 5 U.S.C. § 552, and the implementing regulations of the U.S. Department of the Interior (DOI), American Oversight makes the following request for records.

In October 2018, Jeffrey Bossert Clark—critic of climate change policy and former British Petroleum attorney—was confirmed to serve as Assistant Attorney General of the Department of Justice's Environment and Natural Resources Division (ENRD).¹ He replaced Jeffrey Wood, a

¹ Marianne Lavelle, *Senate Confirms BP Oil Spill Lawyer, Climate Policy Foe as Government's Top Environmental Attorney*, INSIDE CLIMATE NEWS, Oct. 11, 2018, https://insideclimatenews.org/news/11102018/oil-spill-lawyer-jeffrey-bossert-clark-confirmed-top-environment-attorney-climate-change-policy-chamber-commerce.



former coal lobbyist who ran ENRD as Acting Assistant Attorney General since January 2017² and who now serves as Principal Deputy Assistant Attorney General.

American Oversight requests records to shed light on the past lobbying efforts of senior ENRD officials in relation to DOI.

Requested Records

American Oversight requests that your agency produce the following within twenty business days:

1) All email communications (including email messages, attachments, calendar entries, or calendar invitations) between political appointees* in the offices listed below and Jeffrey Clark in his position at Kirkland & Ellis LLP (jeffrey.clark@kirkland.com).

Please provide all responsive records from September 1, 2005, through January 20, 2009, and January 20, 2017, through October 31, 2018.

2) All email communications (including email messages, attachments, calendar entries, or calendar invitations) between political appointees* in the offices listed below and Jeffrey Wood in his position at Balch & Bingham LLP (jhwood@balch.com).

Please provide all responsive records from June 1, 2014, through January 21, 2017.

We request that the search be limited to the following offices:

- i. Department of the Interior
 - a. The Immediate Office of the Secretary
 - b. The Office of Environmental Policy and Compliance
- ii. Bureau of Land Management
 - a. The Immediate Office of the Director
- iii. Bureau of Ocean Energy Management
 - a. The Immediate Office of the Director
- iv. Bureau of Safety and Environmental Enforcement a. The Immediate Office of the Director
- v. Office of Surface Mining Reclamation and Enforcement
 - a. The Immediate Office of the Director

*"Political appointee" should be understood as any person who is a Presidential Appointee with Senate Confirmation (PAS), a Presidential Appointee (PA), a Non-career SES, any Schedule C employees, or any persons hired under Temporary Non-career SES Appointments, Limited Term SES Appointments, or Temporary Transitional Schedule C Appointments.

² Lee Fang, *Donald Trump Puts Coal Lobbyist in Charge of Prosecuting Environmental Crimes,* THE INTERCEPT, Jan. 27, 2017, <u>https://theintercept.com/2017/01/27/coal-doj-trump/</u>.

In addition to the records requested above, American Oversight also requests records describing the processing of this request, including records sufficient to identify search terms used and locations and custodians searched and any tracking sheets used to track the processing of this request. If your agency uses FOIA questionnaires or certifications completed by individual custodians or components to determine whether they possess responsive materials or to describe how they conducted searches, we also request any such records prepared in connection with the processing of this request.

American Oversight seeks all responsive records regardless of format, medium, or physical characteristics. In conducting your search, please understand the terms "record," "document," and "information" in their broadest sense, to include any written, typed, recorded, graphic, printed, or audio material of any kind. We seek records of any kind, including electronic records, audiotapes, videotapes, and photographs, as well as letters, emails, facsimiles, telephone messages, voice mail messages and transcripts, notes, or minutes of any meetings, telephone conversations or discussions. Our request includes any attachments to these records. **No category of material should be omitted from search, collection, and production.**

Please search all records regarding agency business. You may not exclude searches of files or emails in the personal custody of your officials, such as personal email accounts. Records of official business conducted using unofficial systems or stored outside of official files are subject to the Federal Records Act and FOIA.³ It is not adequate to rely on policies and procedures that require officials to move such information to official systems within a certain period of time; American Oversight has a right to records contained in those files even if material has not yet been moved to official systems or if officials have, through negligence or willfulness, failed to meet their obligations.⁴

In addition, please note that in conducting a "reasonable search" as required by law, you must employ the most up-to-date technologies and tools available, in addition to searches by individual custodians likely to have responsive information. Recent technology may have rendered your agency's prior FOIA practices unreasonable. In light of the government-wide requirements to manage information electronically by the end of 2016, it is no longer reasonable to rely exclusively

^a See Competitive Enter. Inst. v. Office of Sci. & Tech. Policy, 827 F.3d 145, 149–50 (D.C. Cir. 2016); cf. Judicial Watch, Inc. v. Kerry, 844 F.3d 952, 955–56 (D.C. Cir. 2016).

⁴ See Competitive Enter. Inst. v. Office of Sci. & Tech. Policy, No. 14-cv-765, slip op. at 8 (D.D.C. Dec. 12, 2016) ("The Government argues that because the agency had a policy requiring [the official] to forward all of his emails from his [personal] account to his business email, the [personal] account only contains duplicate agency records at best. Therefore, the Government claims that any hypothetical deletion of the [personal account] emails would still leave a copy of those records intact in [the official's] work email. However, policies are rarely followed to perfection by anyone. At this stage of the case, the Court cannot assume that each and every work-related email in the [personal] account was duplicated in [the official's] work email account." (citations omitted)).

on custodian-driven searches.⁵ Furthermore, agencies that have adopted the National Archives and Records Administration (NARA) Capstone program, or similar policies, now maintain emails in a form that is reasonably likely to be more complete than individual custodians' files. For example, a custodian may have deleted a responsive email from his or her email program, but your agency's archiving tools would capture that email under Capstone. Accordingly, American Oversight insists that your agency use the most up-to-date technologies to search for responsive information and take steps to ensure that the most complete repositories of information are searched. American Oversight is available to work with you to craft appropriate search terms. However, custodian searches are still required; agencies may not have direct access to files stored in .PST files, outside of network drives, in paper format, or in personal email accounts.

Under the FOIA Improvement Act of 2016, agencies must adopt a presumption of disclosure, withholding information "only if ... disclosure would harm an interest protected by an exemption" or "disclosure is prohibited by law." If it is your position that any portion of the requested records is exempt from disclosure, American Oversight requests that you provide an index of those documents as required under Vaughn v. Rosen, 484 F.2d 820 (D.C. Cir. 1973), cert. denied, 415 U.S. 977 (1974). As you are aware, a Vaughn index must describe each document claimed as exempt with sufficient specificity "to permit a reasoned judgment as to whether the material is actually exempt under FOIA."7 Moreover, the Vaughn index "must describe each document or portion thereof withheld, and for *each* withholding it must discuss the consequences of disclosing the sought-after information."⁸ Further, "the withholding agency must supply 'a relatively detailed justification, specifically identifying the reasons why a particular exemption is relevant and correlating those claims with the particular part of a withheld document to which they apply."" In the event some portions of the requested records are properly exempt from disclosure, please disclose any reasonably segregable non-exempt portions of the requested records. If it is your position that a document contains non-exempt segments, but that those non-exempt segments are so dispersed throughout the document as to make segregation impossible, please state what portion of the document is non-exempt, and how the material is dispersed throughout the document.¹⁰ Claims of nonsegregability must be made with the same degree of detail as required for claims of exemptions in a Vaughn index. If a request is denied in whole, please state specifically that it is not reasonable to segregate portions of the record for release.

https://www.archives.gov/files/records-mgmt/m-12-18.pdf.

⁵ Presidential Memorandum–Managing Government Records, 76 Fed. Reg. 75,423 (Nov. 28, 2011), <u>https://obamawhitehouse.archives.gov/the-press-office/2011/11/28/presidential-memorandum-managing-government-records</u>; Office of Mgmt. & Budget, Exec. Office of the President, Memorandum for the Heads of Executive Departments & Independent Agencies, "Managing Government Records Directive," M-12-18 (Aug. 24, 2012),

⁶ FOIA Improvement Act of 2016 § 2 (Pub. L. No. 114-185).

⁷ Founding Church of Scientology v. Bell, 603 F.2d 945, 949 (D.C. Cir. 1979).

⁸ King v. U.S. Dep't of Justice, 830 F.2d 210, 223-24 (D.C. Cir. 1987) (emphases in original).

⁹ *Id.* at 224 (citing *Mead Data Central, Inc. v. U.S. Dep't of the Air Force*, 566 F.2d 242, 251 (D.C. Cir. 1977)).

¹⁰ Mead Data Central, 566 F.2d at 261.

You should institute a preservation hold on information responsive to this request. American Oversight intends to pursue all legal avenues to enforce its right of access under FOIA, including litigation if necessary. Accordingly, you are on notice that litigation is reasonably foreseeable.

To ensure that this request is properly construed, that searches are conducted in an adequate but efficient manner, and that extraneous costs are not incurred, American Oversight welcomes an opportunity to discuss its request with you before you undertake your search or incur search or duplication costs. By working together at the outset, American Oversight hopes to decrease the likelihood of costly and time-consuming litigation in the future.

Where possible, please provide responsive material in electronic format by email or in PDF or TIF format on a USB drive. Please send any responsive material being sent by mail to American Oversight, 1030 15th Street NW, Suite B255, Washington, DC 20005. If it will accelerate release of responsive records to American Oversight, please also provide responsive material on a rolling basis.

Fee Waiver Request

In accordance with 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(A)(iii) and 43 CFR § 2.45(a), American Oversight requests a waiver of fees associated with processing this request for records. The subject of this request concerns the operations of the federal government, and the disclosures will likely contribute to public understanding of those operations in a significant way.¹¹ Moreover, the request is primarily and fundamentally for non-commercial purposes.¹²

The requested records are directly related to potential former DOI lobbying work of high-ranking administration officials. The requested records will help American Oversight and the general public understand the extent to which administration officials have previously lobbied DOI and for what policies or actions, and any decisions made by DOI on that basis. Moreover, this information will shed light on the possible influence former lobbying work has had on these officials' actions since taking office. American Oversight is committed to transparency and makes the responses agencies provide to FOIA requests publicly available. And, as described in more detail below, American Oversight "will disseminate the information to a reasonably broad audience of persons" through its social media accounts and its website.¹³

This request is primarily and fundamentally not for commercial purposes, but rather the primary interest is in public disclosure of responsive records. As a 501(c)(3) nonprofit, American Oversight does not have a commercial purpose and the release of the information requested is not in American Oversight's financial interest. American Oversight's mission is to promote transparency in government, to educate the public about government activities, and to ensure the accountability of government officials. American Oversight uses the information gathered, and its analysis of it, to educate the public through reports, press releases, or other media. American Oversight also makes

¹¹ 43 C.F.R § 2.45(a)(1).

¹² 43 C.F.R § 2.45(a)(2).

¹³ 43 C.F.R. § 2.48(a)(2)(iii)-(v).

materials it gathers available on its public website and promotes their availability on social media platforms, such as Facebook and Twitter.¹⁴ American Oversight has demonstrated its commitment to the public disclosure of documents and creation of editorial content. For example, after receiving records regarding an ethics waiver received by a senior DOJ attorney,¹⁵ American Oversight promptly posted the records to its website and published an analysis of what the records reflected about DOJ's process for ethics waivers.¹⁶ As another example, American Oversight has a project called "Audit the Wall," where the organization is gathering and analyzing information and commenting on public releases of information related to the administration's proposed construction of a barrier along the U.S.-Mexico border.¹⁷

Accordingly, American Oversight qualifies for a fee waiver.

Conclusion

We share a common mission to promote transparency in government. American Oversight looks forward to working with your agency on this request. If you do not understand any part of this request, Hart Wood at <u>foia@americanoversight.org</u> or 202.873.1743. Also, if American Oversight's request for a fee waiver is not granted in full, please contact us immediately upon making such a determination.

Sincerely,

Austin R. Evers Executive Director American Oversight

https://twitter.com/weareoversight (last visited Feb. 5, 2019).

¹⁴ American Oversight currently has approximately 12,100 page likes on Facebook and 49,700 followers on Twitter. American Oversight, FACEBOOK, <u>https://www.facebook.com/weareoversight/</u> (last visited Feb. 5, 2019); American Oversight (@weareoversight), TWITTER, https://twitten.com/weareoversight (betavisited Feb. 5, 2010)

¹⁵ DOJ Records Relating to Solicitor General Noel Francisco's Recusal, AMERICAN OVERSIGHT, <u>https://www.americanoversight.org/document/doj-civil-division-response-noel-francisco-</u> compliance.

¹⁶ Francisco & the Travel Ban: What We Learned from the DOJ Documents, AMERICAN OVERSIGHT, <u>https://www.americanoversight.org/francisco-the-travel-ban-what-we-learned-from-the-doj-documents</u>.

¹⁷ Audit the Wall, AMERICAN OVERSIGHT, <u>https://www.americanoversight.org/investigation/audit-the-wall</u>.