

June 6, 2019

VIA ELECTRONIC MAIL

U.S. Department of State
Office of Information Programs and Services
A/GIS/IPS/RL
SA-2, Suite 8100
Washington, DC 20522-0208
FOIArequest@state.gov

Re: Freedom of Information Act Request

Dear Freedom of Information Officer:

Pursuant to the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA), 5 U.S.C. § 552, and the implementing regulations of the Department of State (State), 22 C.F.R. Part 171, American Oversight makes the following request for records.

The U.S. Ambassador to Canada, Kelly Knight Craft, was nominated by President Trump to serve as the U.S. Ambassador to the United Nations. In this role, she will represent U.S. interests related to climate change and international accords such as the Paris Agreement.

Despite established scientific consensus on the fact that human activity is driving climate change, Ambassador Craft has stated that she believes "both sides" of climate science. Furthermore, Ambassador Craft's financial disclosures reveal significant financial interests in the oil, gas, and coal industries; she has over \$63 million invested in oil, gas, and coal assets, she holds rights to coal mining royalties in six states, and her husband controls shares of a major coal producer worth more than \$900 million.³

https://www.markey.senate.gov/imo/media/doc/Letter%20to%20Kelly%20Knight%20Craft%20from%20Senators%20Markey,%20Merkley%20and%20Whitehouse.pdf.



¹ Philip Rucker & Anne Gearan, *Trump Announces Nomination of Kelly Knight Craft to Be Ambassador to United Nations*, WASH. POST, Feb. 22, 2019,

 $[\]frac{https://www.washingtonpost.com/politics/trump-announces-nomination-of-kelly-craft-as-us-ambassador-to-united-nations/2019/02/22/7ec4022a-36f6-11e9-854a-7a14d7fec96a_story.html?utm_term=.b43a8d86b424.$

² Kathleen Harris et al., *New U.S. Ambassador to Canada Kelly Craft Says She Believes 'Both Sides' of Climate Science*, CBC (Oct. 23 2017, 9:52 AM), https://www.cbc.ca/news/politics/us-ambassador-knight-craft-1.4366936.

³ Kelly Knight Craft Financial Disclosure, PROPUBLICA, https://www.documentcloud.org/documents/4388227-Kelly-Knight-Craft-Financial-Disclosure.html; Letter from Senators Markey, Merkley, and Whitehouse to Kelly Knight Craft, U.S. Ambassador to Canada, May 3, 2019,

American Oversight seeks records to shed light on Ambassador Craft's views on international climate policy and potential conflicts of interest, including whether and to what extent external interests are influencing her positions.

Requested Records

American Oversight requests that State produce the following records within twenty business days:

- 1. All email communications (including email messages, email attachments, or calendar invitations) between (1) Kelly Knight Craft, U.S. Ambassador to Canada and nominee to be U.S. Ambassador to the United Nations, and anyone communicating on her behalf such as a Chief of Staff, executive assistant, or scheduler, and (2) any of the following external organizations or individuals:
 - i. Alliance Resource Partners and Alliance Coal, including anyone with an email address ending in @arlp.com;
 - ii. Concho Resources Inc., including anyone with an email address ending in @concho.com;
 - iii. Continental Resources Inc., including anyone with an email address ending in @contres.com or @clr.com;
 - iv. WKY CoalPlay;
 - v. Pioneer Natural Resources Company, including anyone with an email address ending in @pxd.com;
 - vi. Magellan Midstream Partners, including anyone with an email address ending in @magellanlp.com;
 - vii. Williams Companies, including anyone with an email address ending in @williams.com;
 - viii. WPX Energy, including anyone with an email address ending in @wpxenergy.com;
 - ix. DCP Midstream, including anyone with an email address ending in @dcpmidstream.com;
 - x. Energy Transfer Equity, including anyone with an email address ending in @energytransfer.com;
 - xi. EQT Midstream Partners, including anyone with an email address ending in @eqm-midstreampartners.com;
 - xii. Kinder Morgan Inc., including anyone with an email address ending in @kindermorgan.com;
 - xiii. Martin Midstream Partners, including anyone with an email address ending in @martinmidstream.com;
 - xiv. Natural Resource Partners, including anyone with an email address ending in @nrplp.com;
 - xv. Noble Energy Inc., including anyone with an email address ending in @nblenergy.com;
 - xvi. NuStar Energy, L.P., including anyone with an email address ending in nustarenergy.com;

- xvii. Plains All American Pipeline, including anyone with an email address ending in @plainsallamerican.com;
- xviii. USA Compression Partners L.P., including anyone with an email address ending in @usacompression.com;
- xix. BreitBurn Energy Partners L.P., including anyone with an email address ending in @mavresources.com or @breitburn.com;
- xx. Enbridge Energy Partners, including anyone with an email address ending in @enbridge.com or @enbridgepartners.com;
- xxi. SunCoke Energy, Inc., including anyone with an email address ending in @suncoke.com:
- xxii. Anadarko, including anyone with an email address ending in @anadarko.com;
- xxiii. Apachecorp.com, including anyone with an email address ending in @apachecorp.com;
- xxiv. Cabot Oil & Gas Corp., including anyone with an email address ending in @cabotog.com;
- xxv. Cobalt International Energy Inc., including anyone with an email address ending in @cobaltintl.com;
- xxvi. ConocoPhillips, including anyone with an email address ending in @conocophillips.com;
- xxvii. Enterprise Products Partners L.P., including anyone with an email address ending in @enterpriseproducts.com;
- xxviii. EOG Resources Inc., including anyone with an email address ending in @eogresources.com;
- xxix. Schlumberger, including anyone with an email address ending in @slb.com;
- xxx. Targa Resources, including anyone with an email address ending in @targaresources.com;
- xxxi. Teekay Offshore Partners, including anyone with an email address ending in @teekay.com;
- xxxii. Whiting Petroleum Corp., including anyone with an email address ending in @whiting.com;
- xxxiii. Atlas Energy Group LLC, including anyone with an email address ending in @atlasenergy.com;
- xxxiv. Legacy Reserves LP, including anyone with an email address ending in @legacyreserves.com;
- xxxv. Western Gas Partners LP, including anyone with an email address ending in @westernmidstream.com;
- xxxvi. British Petroleum, including anyone with an email address ending in @bp.com; or
- xxxvii. Antero Resources Corporation, including anyone with an email address ending in @anteroresources.com.

Please provide all responsive records from October 23, 2017, through the date the search is conducted.

2. All email communications (including email messages, email attachments, or calendar invitations) between (1) Kelly Knight Craft, U.S. Ambassador to Canada and nominee to

be U.S. Ambassador to the United Nations, and anyone communicating on her behalf such as a Chief of Staff, executive assistant, or scheduler, and (2) any of the following external organizations or individuals:

- i. American Legislative Exchange Council, including anyone with an email address ending in @alec.org;
- ii. American Petroleum Institute, including anyone with an email address ending in @api.org;
- iii. Americans for Prosperity, including anyone with an email address ending in @americansforprosperity.org;
- iv. Beacon Hill Institute, including anyone with an email address ending in @beaconhill.org;
- v. Caelus Energy, including anyone with an email address ending in @caelusenergy.com;
- vi. Competitive Enterprise Institute, including anyone with an email address ending in @cei.org;
- vii. CO2 Coalition, including anyone with an email address ending in @co2coalition.org;
- viii. Committee for a Constructive Tomorrow, including anyone with an email address ending in @cfact.org;
- ix. Koch Industries, including anyone with an email address ending in @kochind.com;
- x. Energy and Environmental Legal Institute, including anyone with an email address ending in @eelegal.org;
- xi. The Heartland Institute, including anyone with an email address ending in @heartland.org;
- xii. Manhattan Institute for Policy Research, including anyone with an email address ending in @manhattan-institute.org;
- xiii. National Mining Association, including anyone with an email address ending in @nma.org;
- xiv. Institute for Energy Research, including anyone with an email address ending in @instituteforenergyresearch.org; or
- xv. The Right Climate Stuff, including anyone with an email address ending in @therightclimatestuff.com.

Please provide all responsive records from October 23, 2017, through the date the search is conducted.

- 3. All email communications (including email messages, email attachments, or calendar invitations) between (1) Kelly Knight Craft, U.S. Ambassador to Canada and nominee to be U.S. Ambassador to the United Nations, and anyone communicating on her behalf such as a Chief of Staff, executive assistant, or scheduler, and (2) any of the following external organizations or individuals, *regarding the topic of climate change*:
 - i. U.S. Chamber of Commerce, including anyone with an email address ending in @uschamber.com;

- ii. National Association of Manufacturers, including anyone with an email address ending in @nam.org;
- iii. American Enterprise Institute, including anyone with an email address ending in @aei.org;
- iv. Heritage Foundation, including anyone with an email address ending in @heritage.org; or
- v. Cato Institute, including anyone with an email address ending in @cato.org.

Please provide all responsive records from October 23, 2017, through the date the search is conducted.

- 4. To the extent not responsive to parts 1, 2, or 3, all email communications (including email messages, complete email chains, email attachments, or calendar invitations) <u>sent</u> by Kelly Knight Craft, U.S. Ambassador to Canada and nominee to be U.S. Ambassador to the United Nations, to any email address ending in .com, .net, .org, .edu, .co, or .mail (including any messages on which such email addresses were copied or blind copied) containing any of the following key terms:
 - i. "Climate change"
 - ii. "Paris Agreement"
 - iii. "global warming"
 - iv. "global cooling"
 - v. IPCC
 - vi. "Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change"
 - vii. "Carbon dioxide"
 - viii. CO2
 - ix. Greenhouse

In an effort to accommodate State and reduce the number of responsive records to be processed and produced, American Oversight has limited part 4 of its request to emails sent by Ambassador Craft. To be clear, American Oversight still requests that complete email chains be produced, displaying both the responsive sent messages and the prior received messages in each email chain. In other words, for example, both Ambassador Craft's response containing the term "Paris Agreement" to an email from a .com domain and the initial received message are responsive to this request and should be produced.

Please provide all responsive records from October 23, 2017, through the date the search is conducted.

In addition to the records requested above, American Oversight also requests records describing the processing of this request, including records sufficient to identify search terms used and locations and custodians searched and any tracking sheets used to track the processing of this request. If State uses FOIA questionnaires or certifications completed by individual custodians or components to determine whether they possess responsive materials or to describe how they

conducted searches, we also request any such records prepared in connection with the processing of this request.

American Oversight seeks all responsive records regardless of format, medium, or physical characteristics. In conducting your search, please understand the terms "record," "document," and "information" in their broadest sense, to include any written, typed, recorded, graphic, printed, or audio material of any kind. We seek records of any kind, including electronic records, audiotapes, videotapes, and photographs, as well as letters, emails, facsimiles, telephone messages, voice mail messages and transcripts, notes, or minutes of any meetings, telephone conversations or discussions. Our request includes any attachments to these records. No category of material should be omitted from search, collection, and production.

Please search all records regarding agency business. You may not exclude searches of files or emails in the personal custody of your officials, such as personal email accounts. Records of official business conducted using unofficial systems or stored outside of official files are subject to the Federal Records Act and FOIA. It is not adequate to rely on policies and procedures that require officials to move such information to official systems within a certain period of time; American Oversight has a right to records contained in those files even if material has not yet been moved to official systems or if officials have, through negligence or willfulness, failed to meet their obligations.⁵

In addition, please note that in conducting a "reasonable search" as required by law, you must employ the most up-to-date technologies and tools available, in addition to searches by individual custodians likely to have responsive information. Recent technology may have rendered State's prior FOIA practices unreasonable. In light of the government-wide requirements to manage information electronically by the end of 2016, it is no longer reasonable to rely exclusively on custodian-driven searches.⁶ Furthermore, agencies that have adopted the National Archives and

⁴ See Competitive Enter. Inst. v. Office of Sci. & Tech. Policy, 827 F.3d 145, 149–50 (D.C. Cir. 2016); cf. Judicial Watch, Inc. v. Kerry, 844 F.3d 952, 955–56 (D.C. Cir. 2016).

⁵ See Competitive Enter. Inst. v. Office of Sci. & Tech. Policy, No. 14-cv-765, slip op. at 8 (D.D.C. Dec. 12, 2016) ("The Government argues that because the agency had a policy requiring [the official] to forward all of his emails from his [personal] account to his business email, the [personal] account only contains duplicate agency records at best. Therefore, the Government claims that any hypothetical deletion of the [personal account] emails would still leave a copy of those records intact in [the official's] work email. However, policies are rarely followed to perfection by anyone. At this stage of the case, the Court cannot assume that each and every work related email in the [personal] account was duplicated in [the official's] work email account." (citations omitted)).

⁶ Presidential Memorandum—Managing Government Records, 76 Fed. Reg. 75,423 (Nov. 28, 2011), https://obamawhitehouse.archives.gov/the-press-office/2011/11/28/presidential-memorandum-managing-government-records; Office of Mgmt. & Budget, Exec. Office of the President, Memorandum for the Heads of Executive Departments & Independent Agencies, "Managing Government Records Directive," M-12-18 (Aug. 24, 2012), https://www.archives.gov/files/records-mgmt/m-12-18.pdf.

Records Administration (NARA) Capstone program, or similar policies, now maintain emails in a form that is reasonably likely to be more complete than individual custodians' files. For example, a custodian may have deleted a responsive email from his or her email program, but State's archiving tools would capture that email under Capstone. Accordingly, American Oversight insists that State use the most up-to-date technologies to search for responsive information and take steps to ensure that the most complete repositories of information are searched. American Oversight is available to work with you to craft appropriate search terms. However, custodian searches are still required; agencies may not have direct access to files stored in .PST files, outside of network drives, in paper format, or in personal email accounts.

Under the FOIA Improvement Act of 2016, agencies must adopt a presumption of disclosure, withholding information "only if . . . disclosure would harm an interest protected by an exemption" or "disclosure is prohibited by law." If it is your position that any portion of the requested records is exempt from disclosure, American Oversight requests that you provide an index of those documents as required under *Vaughn v. Rosen*, 484 F.2d 820 (D.C. Cir. 1973), *cert. denied*, 415 U.S. 977 (1974). As you are aware, a *Vaughn* index must describe each document claimed as exempt with sufficient specificity "to permit a reasoned judgment as to whether the material is actually exempt under FOIA." Moreover, the *Vaughn* index "must describe *each* document or portion thereof withheld, and for *each* withholding it must discuss the consequences of disclosing the sought-after information." Further, "the withholding agency must supply 'a relatively detailed justification, specifically identifying the reasons why a particular exemption is relevant and correlating those claims with the particular part of a withheld document to which they apply." "

In the event some portions of the requested records are properly exempt from disclosure, please disclose any reasonably segregable non-exempt portions of the requested records. If it is your position that a document contains non-exempt segments, but that those non-exempt segments are so dispersed throughout the document as to make segregation impossible, please state what portion of the document is non-exempt, and how the material is dispersed throughout the document. Claims of nonsegregability must be made with the same degree of detail as required for claims of exemptions in a *Vaughn* index. If a request is denied in whole, please state specifically that it is not reasonable to segregate portions of the record for release.

You should institute a preservation hold on information responsive to this request. American Oversight intends to pursue all legal avenues to enforce its right of access under FOIA, including litigation if necessary. Accordingly, State is on notice that litigation is reasonably foreseeable.

To ensure that this request is properly construed, that searches are conducted in an adequate but efficient manner, and that extraneous costs are not incurred, American Oversight welcomes an

⁷ FOIA Improvement Act of 2016 § 2 (Pub. L. No. 114–185).

⁸ Founding Church of Scientology v. Bell, 603 F.2d 945, 949 (D.C. Cir. 1979).

⁹ King v. U.S. Dep't of Justice, 830 F.2d 210, 223–24 (D.C. Cir. 1987) (emphases in original).

¹⁰ *Id.* at 224 (citing *Mead Data Central, Inc. v. U.S. Dep't of the Air Force*, 566 F.2d 242, 251 (D.C. Cir. 1977)).

¹¹ Mead Data Central, 566 F.2d at 261.

opportunity to discuss its request with you before you undertake your search or incur search or duplication costs. By working together at the outset, American Oversight and State can decrease the likelihood of costly and time-consuming litigation in the future.

Where possible, please provide responsive material in electronic format by email or in PDF or TIF format on a USB drive. Please send any responsive material being sent by mail to American Oversight, 1030 15th Street NW, Suite B255, Washington, DC 20005. If it will accelerate release of responsive records to American Oversight, please also provide responsive material on a rolling basis.

Fee Waiver Request

In accordance with 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(A)(iii) and 22 C.F.R. § 171.16(a), American Oversight requests a waiver of fees associated with processing this request for records. First, the subject of this request concerns the operations of the federal government, and the disclosures will likely contribute to a better understanding of relevant government procedures by the general public in a significant way. ¹² Second, the request is primarily and fundamentally for non-commercial purposes. ¹³

Under the public interest requirement, FOIA requesters must satisfy four factors. American Oversight has met these four factors for the reasons set forth below. The subject matter of the requested records specifically relates to the operations or activities of the government, as it concerns whether and to what extent senior State Department officials have taken positions opposed to scientific consensus, as well as whether and to what extent private energy interests have influenced State policies and actions. Specifically, this request seeks Ambassador Craft's communications with businesses and non-governmental groups as well as Ambassador Craft's communications about the risks of climate change. The subject of this request is a matter of public interest, and the public's understanding of the government's activities and use of resources would be enhanced through American Oversight's analysis and publication of these records.

Increasing the likelihood that disclosure of these records will contribute significantly to public understanding, American Oversight's objective is to reveal to the public at large any information it receives related to this FOIA request, and little information is currently available regarding the subject matter of this request. American Oversight has the capacity to disseminate this information as it posts all records to its public websites and publishes analyses of its records. In the past, the organization has successfully informed the public of specific government activities and operations. As an example, American Oversight obtained Education Secretary DeVos's calendar entries, which revealed Secretary DeVos's frequent absences from office and the influence of charter

¹² 22 C.F.R. § 171.16(a)(1).

¹³ 22 C.F.R. § 171.16(a)(2).

¹⁴ D.C. Technical Assistance Org. Inc. v. U.S. Dep't of Hous. and Urban Dev. (D.C. Technical Assistance), 85 F.Supp.2d 46, 48-49 (D.D.C. 2000) (requested documents will contribute to "greater understanding of government activities").

schools and for-profit colleges on the Education Department.¹⁵ The *New York Times* and CNN relied on American Oversight's analyses to report on Secretary DeVos's priorities within the Department of Education.¹⁶

American Oversight's request is also primarily and fundamentally for non-commercial purposes.¹⁷ As a 501(c)(3) nonprofit, American Oversight does not have a commercial purpose and the release of the information requested is not in American Oversight's financial interest. American Oversight's mission is to promote transparency in government, to educate the public about government activities, and to ensure the accountability of government officials. American Oversight uses the information gathered, and its analysis of it, to educate the public through reports, press releases, or other media. American Oversight also makes materials it gathers available on our public website and promotes their availability on social media platforms, such as Facebook and Twitter. 18 American Oversight has demonstrated its commitment to the public disclosure of documents and creation of editorial content. For example, after receiving records regarding an ethics waiver received by a senior DOJ attorney, American Oversight promptly posted the records to its website¹⁹ and published an analysis of what the records reflected about DOJ's process for ethics waivers.²⁰ As an additional example, American Oversight has a project called "Audit the Wall," where the organization is gathering and analyzing information and commenting on public releases of information related to the administration's proposed construction of a barrier along the U.S.-Mexico border.²¹

Accordingly, American Oversight qualifies for a fee waiver.

See Influence & Access at the Department of Education, AMERICAN OVERSIGHT (Oct. 27, 2017), https://www.americanoversight.org/investigation/influence-access-at-the-department-of-education; Unexcused Absences: De Vos Calendars Show Frequent Days Off, AMERICAN OVERSIGHT (Oct. 27, 2017), https://www.americanoversight.org/unexcused-absences-devos.
 Eric Lipton, Betsy De Vos's School Schedule Shows Focus on Religious and Nontraditional Schools, N.Y. TIMES, Oct. 27, 2017, https://www.nytimes.com/2017/10/27/us/politics/betsy-devos-work-schedule-education.html; Gregory Wallace et. al., What Betsy De Vos's Schedule Tells Us About Her Agenda, CNN (Oct. 29, 2017, 12:22 PM), http://www.cnn.com/2017/10/28/politics/devos-schedules-education/index.html.
 ¹⁷ 22 C.F.R. § 171.16(a)(2)(i)-(iii).

American Oversight currently has approximately 12,200 page likes on Facebook and 54,200 followers on Twitter. American Oversight, FACEBOOK, https://www.facebook.com/weareoversight (last visited May 28, 2019); American Oversight (@weareoversight), TWITTER, https://twitter.com/weareoversight (last visited May 28, 2019).

¹⁹ DOJ Records Relating to Solicitor General Noel Francisco's Recusal, AMERICAN OVERSIGHT, https://www.americanoversight.org/document/doj-civil-division-response-noel-francisco-compliance.

²⁰ Francisco & the Travel Ban: What We Learned from the DOJ Documents, AMERICAN OVERSIGHT, https://www.americanoversight.org/francisco-the-travel-ban-what-we-learned-from-the-doj-documents.

²¹ Audit the Wall, AMERICAN OVERSIGHT, https://www.americanoversight.org/investigation/audit-the-wall.

Conclusion

We share a common mission to promote transparency in government. American Oversight looks forward to working with State on this request. If you do not understand any part of this request, have any questions, or foresee any problems in fully releasing the requested records, please contact Dan McGrath at foia@americanoversight.org or 202.897.4213. Also, if American Oversight's request for a fee waiver is not granted in full, please contact us immediately upon making such a determination.

Sincerely,

Melanie Sloan Senior Advisor American Oversight