



July 10, 2019

VIA EMAIL & ONLINE PORTAL

Tink Cooper
Acting Chief, FOI/PA Branch
Civil Rights Division
U.S. Department of Justice
950 Pennsylvania Avenue NW
PHB Bldg., Room 9152
Washington, DC 20530
CRT.FOIARequests@usdoj.gov

Kevin Krebs, Assistant Director
FOIA/Privacy Staff
Executive Office for United States
Attorneys
U.S. Department of Justice
175 N Street NE
Suite 5.400
Washington, DC 20530-0001
Via FOIAOnline

Re: Freedom of Information Act Request

Dear FOIA officers:

Pursuant to the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA), 5 U.S.C. § 552, and the implementing regulations of your agency, 28 C.F.R. Part 16, American Oversight makes the following request for records.

Requested Records

American Oversight requests that the Department of Justice (DOJ) produce the following record within twenty business days:

The declaration of John Gore (Principal Deputy Assistant Attorney General and former Acting Assistant Attorney General of the Civil Rights Division) relied on by DOJ in support of its May 28, 2019, Motion for Reconsideration in *Brennan Center for Justice et al. v. U.S. Department of Justice et al.*, Case No. 17 Civ. 6335 (AKH) (S.D.N.Y.), ECF No. 105 at 8 (the memorandum in support of the motion refers to this document as “Declaration of John Gore”). A copy of that memorandum is attached as Exhibit A for ease of reference.

American Oversight believes this request should be assigned to the Simple processing track and should result in a prompt release of the requested record for the following reasons: First, the requested record was recently created and is readily identifiable. Both John Gore of the Civil Rights Division and Casey K. Lee of the U.S. Attorney’s Office for the Southern District of New York (the attorney who signed the brief relying on the declaration) should be able to locate this record with ease. Second, DOJ sought leave of court to file the requested



declaration on a public docket—though the Court refused to grant leave to file—and relied on and quoted from the declaration in its brief. *See Mot. for Reconsideration at 8, Brennan Center for Justice et al. v. U.S. Dep’t of Justice et al.*, Case No. 17 Civ. 6335 (AKH) (S.D.N.Y.), ECF No. 105; Mot. for Leave to File Document, ECF No. 102. Consequently, the requested document cannot plausibly be exempt from disclosure or require intensive review before release.

Please provide all responsive records from January 1, 2019, through the date of the search.

Fee Waiver Request

In accordance with 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(A)(iii) and your agency’s regulations, American Oversight requests a waiver of fees associated with processing this request for records. The subject of this request concerns the operations of the federal government, and the disclosures will likely contribute to a better understanding of relevant government procedures by the general public in a significant way. Moreover, the request is primarily and fundamentally for non-commercial purposes.

American Oversight requests a waiver of fees because disclosure of the requested information is “in the public interest because it is likely to contribute significantly to public understanding of operations or activities of the government.”¹ The public has a significant interest in understanding whether and to what extent a high-ranking official responsible for civil rights enforcement and protections across the country used private email accounts to shield communications about government activities, including communications regarding voting rights, from public disclosure. Records with the potential to shed light on this matter would contribute significantly to public understanding of operations of the federal government, including the representations that a high-ranking official has made under penalty of perjury in support of a motion that sought to prevent a search for agency records within his personal email accounts.² American Oversight is committed to transparency and makes the responses agencies provide to FOIA requests publicly available, and the public’s understanding of the government’s activities would be enhanced through American Oversight’s analysis and publication of these records.

This request is primarily and fundamentally for non-commercial purposes.³ As a 501(c)(3) nonprofit, American Oversight does not have a commercial purpose and the release of the information requested is not in American Oversight’s financial interest. American Oversight’s mission is to promote transparency in government, to educate the public about government activities, and to ensure the accountability of government officials.

¹ 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(A)(iii).

² Additionally, given the limited nature of this request for a single, readily identifiable document, it is likely that no fees would be incurred even in the absence of a fee waiver.

³ See 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(A)(iii).

American Oversight uses the information gathered, and its analysis of it, to educate the public through reports, press releases, or other media. American Oversight also makes materials it gathers available on its public website and promotes their availability on social media platforms, such as Facebook and Twitter.⁴

American Oversight has also demonstrated its commitment to the public disclosure of documents and creation of editorial content through numerous substantive analyses posted to its website.⁵ Examples reflecting this commitment to the public disclosure of documents and the creation of editorial content include the posting of records related to an ethics waiver received by a senior Department of Justice attorney and an analysis of what those records demonstrated regarding the Department's process for issuing such waivers;⁶ posting records received as part of American Oversight's "Audit the Wall" project to gather and analyze information related to the administration's proposed construction of a barrier along the U.S.-Mexico border, and analyses of what those records reveal;⁷ posting records regarding potential self-dealing at the Department of Housing & Urban Development and related analysis;⁸ posting records and analysis relating to the federal government's efforts to sell nuclear technology to Saudi Arabia;⁹ posting records and analysis regarding the Department of Justice's decision in response to demands from Congress to direct a U.S. Attorney to undertake a wide-ranging review and make recommendations regarding criminal investigations relating to the President's political

⁴ American Oversight currently has approximately 12,200 page likes on Facebook and 54,200 followers on Twitter. American Oversight, FACEBOOK, <https://www.facebook.com/weareoversight/> (last visited May 29, 2019); American Oversight (@weareoversight), TWITTER, <https://twitter.com/weareoversight> (last visited May 29, 2019).

⁵ News, AMERICAN OVERSIGHT, <https://www.americanoversight.org/blog>.

⁶ DOJ Records Relating to Solicitor General Noel Francisco's Recusal, AMERICAN OVERSIGHT, <https://www.americanoversight.org/document/doj-civil-division-response-noel-francisco-compliance>; Francisco & the Travel Ban: What We Learned from the DOJ Documents, AMERICAN OVERSIGHT, <https://www.americanoversight.org/francisco-the-travel-ban-what-we-learned-from-the-doj-documents>.

⁷ See generally Audit the Wall, AMERICAN OVERSIGHT, <https://www.americanoversight.org/investigation/audit-the-wall>; see, e.g., Border Wall Investigation Report: No Plans, No Funding, No Timeline, No Wall, AMERICAN OVERSIGHT, <https://www.americanoversight.org/border-wall-investigation-report-no-plans-no-funding-no-timeline-no-wall>.

⁸ Documents Reveal Ben Carson Jr.'s Attempts to Use His Influence at HUD to Help His Business, AMERICAN OVERSIGHT, <https://www.americanoversight.org/documents-reveal-ben-carson-jr-s-attempts-to-use-his-influence-at-hud-to-help-his-business>.

⁹ Investigating the Trump Administration's Efforts to Sell Nuclear Technology to Saudi Arabia, AMERICAN OVERSIGHT, <https://www.americanoversight.org/investigating-the-trump-administrations-efforts-to-sell-nuclear-technology-to-saudi-arabia>.

opponents and allegations of misconduct by the Department of Justice itself and the Federal Bureau of Investigation.¹⁰

Accordingly, American Oversight qualifies for a fee waiver.

Guidance Regarding the Search & Processing of Requested Records

In connection with its request for records, American Oversight provides the following guidance regarding the scope of the records sought and the search and processing of records:

- Please search all relevant records or systems containing records regarding agency business. Do not exclude records regarding agency business contained in files, email accounts, or devices in the personal custody of your officials, such as personal email accounts or text messages. Records of official business conducted using unofficial systems or stored outside of official files are subject to the Federal Records Act and FOIA.¹¹ It is not adequate to rely on policies and procedures that require officials to move such information to official systems within a certain period of time; American Oversight has a right to records contained in those files even if material has not yet been moved to official systems or if officials have, by intent or through negligence, failed to meet their obligations.¹²
- In the event some portions of the requested records are properly exempt from disclosure, please disclose any reasonably segregable non-exempt portions of the requested records. If a request is denied in whole, please state specifically why it is not reasonable to segregate portions of the record for release.
- Please take appropriate steps to ensure that records responsive to this request are not deleted by the agency before the completion of processing for this request. If records potentially responsive to this request are likely to be located on systems where they are subject to potential deletion, including on a scheduled basis, please take steps to prevent that deletion, including, as appropriate, by instituting a litigation hold on those records.

Conclusion

If you have any questions regarding how to construe this request for records or believe that further discussions regarding search and processing would facilitate a more efficient

¹⁰ Sessions' Letter Shows DOJ Acted On Trump's Authoritarian Demand to Investigate Clinton, AMERICAN OVERSIGHT, <https://www.americanoversight.org/sessions-letter>.

¹¹ See *Competitive Enter. Inst. v. Office of Sci. & Tech. Policy*, 827 F.3d 145, 149–50 (D.C. Cir. 2016); cf. *Judicial Watch, Inc. v. Kerry*, 844 F.3d 952, 955–56 (D.C. Cir. 2016).

¹² See *Competitive Enter. Inst. v. Office of Sci. & Tech. Policy*, No. 14-cv-765, slip op. at 8 (D.D.C. Dec. 12, 2016).

production of records of interest to American Oversight, please do not hesitate to contact American Oversight to discuss this request. American Oversight welcomes an opportunity to discuss its request with you before you undertake your search or incur search or duplication costs. By working together at the outset, American Oversight and your agency can decrease the likelihood of costly and time-consuming litigation in the future.

Where possible, please provide responsive material in an electronic format by email. Alternatively, please provide responsive material in native format or in PDF format on a USB drive. Please send any responsive material being sent by mail to American Oversight, 1030 15th Street NW, Suite B255, Washington, DC 20005. If it will accelerate release of responsive records to American Oversight, please also provide responsive material on a rolling basis.

We share a common mission to promote transparency in government. American Oversight looks forward to working with your agency on this request. If you do not understand any part of this request, please contact Dan McGrath at foia@americanoversight.org or 202.897.4213. Also, if American Oversight's request for a fee waiver is not granted in full, please contact us immediately upon making such a determination.

Sincerely,



Austin R. Evers
Executive Director
American Oversight