October 2, 2019



VIA ELECTRONIC MAIL

Jaime Montes City Administrator, El Cenizo 507 Cadena El Cenizo, TX 78046 JMontes@ElCenizoTX.gov

Re: Public Information Request

Dear Public Information Officer:

Pursuant to the Texas Public Information Act, as codified at Tex. Code ch. 552, American Oversight makes the following request for public records.

On August 19, 2019, the Laredo Morning Times published an article highlighting the El Cenizo City Council meeting during which commissioners voted to meet with We Build the Wall to discuss potential construction of a barrier in the city.¹ We Build the Wall is a group seeking to build privately funded segments of President Trump's proposed border wall.

Accordingly, American Oversight seeks communications to shed light on the nature of the proposed relationship between El Cenizo and We Build the Wall.

Requested Records

American Oversight requests that El Cenizo promptly produce the following records:

All email communications (including emails, email attachments, calendar invitations, and attachments thereto) between (a) any of the El Cenizo officials listed in Column A below and (b) any of the entities or representatives of any of the entities listed in Column B below:

Column A: El Cenizo Officials		Column B: External Entities	
i.	Mayor Elsa Degollado	i.	Brian Kolfage (including but not
ii.	Commissioner Salvador Hernandez		limited to the email addresses
iii.	Commissioner Maximiliano Zapata		bkolfage@gmail.com or
			bkolfage@yahoo.com)

¹ Maria Salas, *El Cenizo Council to Meet With Crowdfunded 'Build the Wall' Group, Paving Way to Border Barrier*, LAREDO MORNING TIMES (Aug. 19, 2019, 11:01 AM), <u>https://www.lmtonline.com/local/article/El-Cenizo-council-to-meet-with-crowdfounded-</u> 14350475.php.

iv.	City Secretary Jesus Rodriguez, or	ii.	Anyone with an email address
	anybody serving as City Secretary		ending in @webuildthewall.us
v.	City Administrator Jaime Montes,	iii.	Anyone with an email address
	or anybody serving as City		ending in @briankolfage.com
	Administrator	iv.	Stephen Bannon (including anyone
			communicating on his behalf, such
			as spokesperson Alexandra Preate)
		v.	Kris Kobach (including but not
			limited to the email addresses
			kkobach@gmail.com,
			kris@kriskobach.com, or any emails
			Kris Kobach sent from an address
			ending in @ks.gov)
		vi.	Robert S. Spalding III
		vii.	John Daniel Moran, Jr.
		viii.	Erik Prince
		ix.	David Alexander Clark, Jr.
		х.	Sara Carter
		xi.	Tom Tancredo
		xii.	Curt Schilling
		xiii.	Mary Ann Mendoza
		xiv.	Steve Ronnebeck
		xv.	Amanda Shea
		xvi.	Jennifer Lawrence
		xvii.	Dustin Stockton
		xviii.	Tiffiny Ruegner
		xix.	Anyone communicating from an
			email address ending in
			@fisherind.com or
			@fishersandandgravel.com
		XX.	Tommy Fisher
		xxi.	Grant Fisher
		xxii.	Ryan Fisher
		xxiii.	Scott Sleight (including but not
			limited to the email address
			scott.sleight@acslawyers.com)
		xxiv.	Sen. Kevin Cramer and any of his
			staff (including but not limited to
			email addresses ending in
			@cramer.senate.gov or
			@kevincramer.org)

Please provide all responsive records from June 1, 2019 through the date the search is conducted.

American Oversight seeks all responsive records regardless of format, medium, or physical characteristics. In conducting your search, please understand the term "record" in its broadest sense, to include any written, typed, recorded, graphic, printed, or audio material of any kind. We seek records of any kind, including electronic records, audiotapes, videotapes, and photographs, as well as letters, emails, facsimiles, telephone messages, voice mail messages and transcripts, notes, or minutes of any meetings, telephone conversations or discussions. Our request includes any attachments to these records. **No category of material should be omitted from search, collection, and production.**

In addition, American Oversight insists that El Cenizo use the most up-to-date technologies to search for responsive information and take steps to ensure that the most complete repositories of information are searched. American Oversight is available to work with you to craft appropriate search terms. However, custodian searches are still required; governmental authorities may not have direct access to files stored in .PST files, outside of network drives, in paper format, or in personal email accounts.

Please search all records regarding official business. You may not exclude searches of files or emails in the personal custody of your officials, such as personal email accounts. Emails conducting government business sent or received on the personal account of a governmental authority's officer or employee constitutes a record for purposes of the Texas Public Information Act.²

In the event some portions of the requested records are properly exempt from disclosure, please disclose any reasonably segregable non-exempt portions of the requested records. If it is your position that a document contains non-exempt segments, but that those non-exempt segments are so dispersed throughout the document as to make segregation impossible, please state what portion of the document is non-exempt, and how the material is dispersed throughout the document. If a request is denied in whole, please state specifically that it is not reasonable to segregate portions of the record for release.

Please take appropriate steps to ensure that records responsive to this request are not deleted by your office before the completion of processing for this request. If records potentially responsive to this request are likely to be located on systems where they are subject to potential deletion, including on a scheduled basis, please take steps to prevent that deletion, including, as appropriate, by instituting a litigation hold on those records.

To ensure that this request is properly construed, that searches are conducted in an adequate but efficient manner, and that extraneous costs are not incurred, American Oversight welcomes an opportunity to discuss its request with you before you undertake your search or incur search or duplication costs. By working together at the outset, American Oversight and El Cenizo can decrease the likelihood of costly and time-consuming litigation in the future.

² Tex. Code § 552.002(a-2); see also Adkisson v. Paxton, 459 S.W.3d 761, 773 (Tex. App. 2015).

Where possible, please provide responsive material in electronic format by email or in PDF or TIF format on a USB drive. Please send any responsive material being sent by mail to American Oversight, 1030 15th Street NW, Suite B255, Washington, DC 20005. If it will accelerate release of responsive records to American Oversight, please also provide responsive material on a rolling basis.

Fee Waiver Request

In accordance with Tex. Code § 552.267(a), American Oversight requests a waiver of fees associated with processing this request for records because such a waiver "is in the public interest because providing the copy of the information primarily benefits the general public." The requested records are directly related to work pertinent to border security and national immigration policy. Border security and immigration policy are subjects of substantial public interest, both in Texas and nationally.³ Accordingly, the release of records may help the public to better understand the operations and activities of local officials as they concern border security and immigration policy.

Release of the requested records will primarily benefit the public.⁴ As a 501(c) (3) nonprofit, American Oversight does not have a commercial purpose and the release of the requested records is not in American Oversight's financial interest, but is rather in the public interest. American Oversight is committed to transparency and makes the responses governmental authorities provide to public records requests publicly available. As noted, the subject of this request is a matter of public interest, and the public would benefit from an enhanced understanding of the government's activities through American Oversight's analysis and publication of these records. American Oversight's mission is to promote transparency in government, to educate the public about government activities, and to ensure the accountability of government officials. American Oversight uses the information gathered, and its analysis of it, to educate the public through reports, press releases, or other media. American Oversight also makes materials it gathers available on its public website and promotes their availability on social media platforms, such as Facebook and Twitter.⁵ American Oversight has demonstrated its commitment to the public disclosure of documents and creation of editorial content. For example, after receiving records regarding an ethics waiver received by a senior United States Department of Justice (DOJ) attorney,⁶ American Oversight

³ See, e.g., Poll: Immigration, border security and gun violence most important issues facing Texas, CBS AUSTIN, Sept. 5, 2019, <u>https://cbsaustin.com/news/local/poll-immigration-border-security-and-gun-violence-most-important-issues-facing-texas;</u> Max Greenwood, Poll: Immigration Overtakes Health Care as Top Issue for Voters, THE HILL, July 2, 2019, <u>https://thehill.com/homenews/campaign/451397-poll-immigration-overtakes-health-care-as-top-issue-for-voters.</u>

⁴ Tex. Code § 552.267(a).

⁵ American Oversight currently has approximately 12,250 page likes on Facebook and 54,500 followers on Twitter. American Oversight, FACEBOOK, <u>https://www.facebook.com/weareoversight/</u> (last visited Sept. 18, 2019); American Oversight (@weareoversight), TWITTER, https://twitter.com/weareoversight (last visited Sept. 18, 2019).

⁶ DOJ Records Relating to Solicitor General Noel Francisco's Recusal, AMERICAN OVERSIGHT, <u>https://www.americanoversight.org/document/doj-civil-division-response-noel-francisco-compliance</u>.

promptly posted the records to its website and published an analysis of what the records reflected about DOJ's process for ethics waivers.⁷ As another example, American Oversight has a project called "Audit the Wall," where the organization is gathering and analyzing information and commenting on public releases of information related to the administration's proposed construction of a barrier along the U.S.-Mexico border.⁸ American Oversight similarly intends to engage with media outlets in Texas to ensure that the general public within the state has access to the information American Oversight obtains.

Accordingly, American Oversight qualifies for a fee waiver. If El Cenizo denies a fee waiver, please notify American Oversight of any anticipated fees or costs in excess of \$100 prior to incurring such costs or fees.

Conclusion

We share a common mission to promote transparency in government. American Oversight looks forward to working with the Office of the Secretary of State on this request. If you do not understand any part of this request, have any questions, or foresee any problems in fully releasing the requested records, please contact Emma Lewis at records@americanoversight.org or (202) 919-6303. Also, if American Oversight's request for a fee waiver is not granted in full, please contact us immediately upon making such a determination.

Sincerely,

Austrikeus

Austin R. Evers Executive Director American Oversight

⁷ Francisco & the Travel Ban: What We Learned from the DOJ Documents, AMERICAN OVERSIGHT, <u>https://www.americanoversight.org/francisco-the-travel-ban-what-we-learned-from-the-doj-documents</u>.

⁸ *Audit the Wall,* AMERICAN OVERSIGHT, <u>https://www.americanoversight.org/investigation/audit-the-wall</u>.