VIA EMAIL

Karen McFadden
FOIA Contact
Justice Management Division
U.S. Department of Justice
Room 1111 RFK, 950 Pennsylvania Avenue NW
Washington, DC 20530-0001
JMDFOIA@usdoj.gov

Re: Freedom of Information Act Request

Dear FOIA Officer:

Pursuant to the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA), 5 U.S.C. § 552, and the implementing regulations of your agency, 28 C.F.R. Part 16, American Oversight makes the following request for records.

Reporting has indicated that President Trump’s personal lawyer, Rudolph Giuliani, aided by the president’s former personal attorneys Victoria Toensing and Joseph E. diGenova, and others, took significant efforts to urge the Ukrainian government to pursue an investigation related to the son of former Vice President Biden—a potential electoral opponent of the president.¹

The efforts of Mr. Giuliani and his allies, now central to the U.S. House of Representatives’ impeachment inquiry and the Senate’s impeachment trial, have proven to be extensive and far-reaching—even beyond matters directly related to encouraging the Ukrainian government to investigate the Bidens. Press reporting indicates that Mr. Giuliani attended a meeting at the Department of Justice (DOJ) in September 2019 with both Assistant Attorney General of the Criminal Division Brian Benczkowski and Attorney General William Barr regarding a Venezuelan client facing money-laundering charges.² Reporting also indicates Ms. Toensing and Mr. diGenova attended a meeting at DOJ in

August 2019 with Attorney General William Barr, regarding their client Dmytro Firtash, a Ukrainian oligarch facing extradition to the U.S. on bribery charges.\(^3\)

American Oversight seeks records to shed light on whether and to what extent the President’s personal attorneys and their allies are influencing DOJ decisionmaking.

**Requested Records**

American Oversight requests that DOJ’s Justice Management Division (JMD) produce the following records within twenty business days:

All records maintained by JMD to track visitor requests and appointments, including records identifying potential visitors, times of visits, DOJ officials organizing, coordinating, or sponsoring such visits, and/or the purpose of the visit—such as (but not limited to) visitor logs, emails to JMD’s Visitor Center or Security staff, or similar records maintained in any DOJ processing system by JMD to manage, coordinate, and clear visitors—reflecting any visit to the Robert F. Kennedy Department of Justice Building (“Main Justice”) or 1400 New York Avenue NW (the “Bond Building”) by Rudolph Giuliani, Victoria Toensing, Joseph DiGenova, Lev Parnas, Igor Fruman, Robert Hyde, Representative Devin Nunes and/or Derek Harvey.\(^4\)

Please provide all responsive records from November 1, 2018, through the date the search is conducted.

**Fee Waiver Request**

In accordance with 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(A)(iii) and your agency’s regulations, American Oversight requests a waiver of fees associated with processing this request for records. The subject of this request concerns the operations of the federal government, and the disclosures will likely contribute to a better understanding of relevant government procedures by the general public in a significant way. Moreover, the request is primarily and fundamentally for non-commercial purposes.

---

\(^3\) *Id.*

\(^4\) Public reporting indicates that Mr. Giuliani attended a meeting at DOJ in September 2019 with both Assistant Attorney General of the Criminal Division Brian Benczkowski and Attorney General William Barr. Reporting also indicates Ms. Toensing and Mr. diGenova attended a meeting at DOJ in August 2019 with Attorney General William Barr. Therefore, at a minimum, responsive records should include records reflecting these visits to DOJ. See Evan Perez & David Shortell, *Barr Dropped into Giuliani Meeting at Justice Department in Previously Undisclosed Encounter*, CNN POLITICS (Jan. 17, 2020, 9:01 PM), [https://www.cnn.com/2020/01/17/politics/barr-giuliani-justice-department-meeting/index.html](https://www.cnn.com/2020/01/17/politics/barr-giuliani-justice-department-meeting/index.html).
American Oversight requests a waiver of fees because disclosure of the requested information is “in the public interest because it is likely to contribute significantly to public understanding of operations or activities of the government.” The public has a significant interest in understanding whether the personal attorneys of the president, including Rudy Giuliani, and their associates had significant access to DOJ leadership or sought to influence DOJ investigations or policy. Records with the potential to shed light on these matters would contribute significantly to public understanding of operations of the federal government, including whether those with personal connections to the president are influencing our nation’s law enforcement policies or politicizing DOJ investigations. American Oversight is committed to transparency and makes the responses agencies provide to FOIA requests publicly available, and the public’s understanding of the government’s activities would be enhanced through American Oversight’s analysis and publication of these records.

This request is primarily and fundamentally for non-commercial purposes. As a 501(c)(3) nonprofit, American Oversight does not have a commercial purpose and the release of the information requested is not in American Oversight’s financial interest. American Oversight’s mission is to promote transparency in government, to educate the public about government activities, and to ensure the accountability of government officials. American Oversight uses the information gathered, and its analysis of it, to educate the public through reports, press releases, or other media. American Oversight also makes materials it gathers available on its public website and promotes their availability on social media platforms, such as Facebook and Twitter.

American Oversight has also demonstrated its commitment to the public disclosure of documents and creation of editorial content through numerous substantive analyses posted to its website. Examples reflecting this commitment to the public disclosure of documents and the creation of editorial content include the posting of records related to an ethics waiver received by a senior Department of Justice attorney and an analysis of what those records demonstrated regarding the Department’s process for issuing such waivers; posting records received as part of American Oversight’s “Audit the Wall” project.

6 See id.
to gather and analyze information related to the administration’s proposed construction of a barrier along the U.S.-Mexico border, and analyses of what those records reveal;\(^\text{10}\) posting records regarding potential self-dealing at the Department of Housing & Urban Development and related analysis;\(^\text{11}\) posting records and analysis relating to the federal government’s efforts to sell nuclear technology to Saudi Arabia;\(^\text{12}\) and posting records and analysis regarding the Department of Justice’s decision in response to demands from Congress to direct a U.S. Attorney to undertake a wide-ranging review and make recommendations regarding criminal investigations relating to the President’s political opponents and allegations of misconduct by the Department of Justice itself and the Federal Bureau of Investigation.\(^\text{13}\)

Accordingly, American Oversight qualifies for a fee waiver.

**Guidance Regarding the Search & Processing of Requested Records**

In connection with its request for records, American Oversight provides the following guidance regarding the scope of the records sought and the search and processing of records:

- In conducting your search, please understand the terms “record,” “document,” and “information” in their broadest sense, to include any written, typed, recorded, graphic, printed, or audio material of any kind. We seek records of any kind, including electronic records, audiotapes, videotapes, and photographs, as well as letters, emails, facsimiles, telephone messages, voice mail messages and transcripts, notes, or minutes of any meetings, telephone conversations or discussions.

- Our request for records includes any attachments to those records or other materials enclosed with those records when they were previously transmitted. To the extent that an email is responsive to our request, our request includes all prior

---

\(^\text{10}\) See generally Audit the Wall, AMERICAN OVERSIGHT, [https://www.americanoversight.org/investigation/audit-the-wall](https://www.americanoversight.org/investigation/audit-the-wall); see, e.g., Border Wall Investigation Report: No Plans, No Funding, No Timeline, No Wall, AMERICAN OVERSIGHT, [https://www.americanoversight.org/border-wall-investigation-report-no-plans-no-funding-no-timeline-no-wall](https://www.americanoversight.org/border-wall-investigation-report-no-plans-no-funding-no-timeline-no-wall).


\(^\text{13}\) Sessions’ Letter Shows DOJ Acted on Trump’s Authoritarian Demand to Investigate Clinton, AMERICAN OVERSIGHT, [https://www.americanoversight.org/sessions-letter](https://www.americanoversight.org/sessions-letter).
messages sent or received in that email chain, as well as any attachments to the email.

- Please search all relevant records or systems containing records regarding agency business. Do not exclude records regarding agency business contained in files, email accounts, or devices in the personal custody of your officials, such as personal email accounts or text messages. Records of official business conducted using unofficial systems or stored outside of official files are subject to the Federal Records Act and FOIA. It is not adequate to rely on policies and procedures that require officials to move such information to official systems within a certain period of time; American Oversight has a right to records contained in those files even if material has not yet been moved to official systems or if officials have, by intent or through negligence, failed to meet their obligations.

- Please use all tools available to your agency to conduct a complete and efficient search for potentially responsive records. Agencies are subject to government-wide requirements to manage agency information electronically, and many agencies have adopted the National Archives and Records Administration (NARA) Capstone program, or similar policies. These systems provide options for searching emails and other electronic records in a manner that is reasonably likely to be more complete than just searching individual custodian files. For example, a custodian may have deleted a responsive email from his or her email program, but your agency’s archiving tools may capture that email under Capstone. At the same time, custodian searches are still necessary; agencies may not have direct access to files stored in .PST files, outside of network drives, in paper format, or in personal email accounts.

- In the event some portions of the requested records are properly exempt from disclosure, please disclose any reasonably segregable non-exempt portions of the requested records. If a request is denied in whole, please state specifically why it is not reasonable to segregate portions of the record for release.

- Please take appropriate steps to ensure that records responsive to this request are not deleted by the agency before the completion of processing for this request.

---

records potentially responsive to this request are likely to be located on systems where they are subject to potential deletion, including on a scheduled basis, please take steps to prevent that deletion, including, as appropriate, by instituting a litigation hold on those records.

**Conclusion**

If you have any questions regarding how to construe this request for records or believe that further discussions regarding search and processing would facilitate a more efficient production of records of interest to American Oversight, please do not hesitate to contact American Oversight to discuss this request. American Oversight welcomes an opportunity to discuss its request with you before you undertake your search or incur search or duplication costs. By working together at the outset, American Oversight and your agency can decrease the likelihood of costly and time-consuming litigation in the future.

Where possible, please provide responsive material in an electronic format by email. Alternatively, please provide responsive material in native format or in PDF format on a USB drive. Please send any responsive material being sent by mail to American Oversight, 1030 15th Street NW, Suite B255, Washington, DC 20005. If it will accelerate release of responsive records to American Oversight, please also provide responsive material on a rolling basis.

We share a common mission to promote transparency in government. American Oversight looks forward to working with your agency on this request. If you do not understand any part of this request, please contact Dan McGrath at foia@americanoversight.org or 202.897.4213. Also, if American Oversight’s request for a fee waiver is not granted in full, please contact us immediately upon making such a determination.

Sincerely,

Austin R. Evers
Executive Director
American Oversight