



March 3, 2020

VIA ELECTRONIC MAIL

District & County Clerk  
Tawnee Irene Blodgett  
100 Trice St. Claude, TX  
79019  
P.O. Box 309, Claude  
79019  
[clerk@co.armstrong.tx.us](mailto:clerk@co.armstrong.tx.us)

District & County Clerk  
Bena Hester  
415 Main Street Silverton,  
TX 79257  
P.O. Box 555, Silverton  
79257  
[coclerk@co.briscoe.tx.us](mailto:coclerk@co.briscoe.tx.us)

District and County Clerk  
Vickey Wederski  
811 9th Street, Paducah, TX  
79248  
P.O. Box 717, Paducah  
79248  
[dcclerk@co.cottle.tx.us](mailto:dcclerk@co.cottle.tx.us)

County Clerk  
Linda Jarvis  
118 East Commerce Street  
Fairfield, TX 75840  
P.O. Box 1010, Fairfield  
75840  
[linda.jarvis@co.freestone.tx.us](mailto:linda.jarvis@co.freestone.tx.us)

District & County Clerk  
Olivia M. Duran  
512 Main Street, Ste. 8,  
Memphis 79245  
[hallcoclerk@gmail.com](mailto:hallcoclerk@gmail.com)

Elections Administrator  
Aaron Torres  
1 N Waco Street, Hillsboro,  
TX 76645  
P.O. Box 725, Hillsboro  
76645  
[atorres@co.hill.tx.us](mailto:atorres@co.hill.tx.us)

Elections Administrator  
Jenise Miller  
1410 W. Pearl St., Granbury  
76048  
[jmmiller@co.hood.tx.us](mailto:jmmiller@co.hood.tx.us)

County Clerk  
LeeAnn Jennings  
1100 12th Street, Anson,  
TX 79501  
P.O. Box 552, Anson 79501  
[leeann.jennings@co.jones.tx.us](mailto:leeann.jennings@co.jones.tx.us)

Elections Administrator  
Staci L. Decker  
221 Fawn Valley Drive,  
Suite 100, P.O. Box 2384,  
Boerne 78006  
[elections@co.kendall.tx.us](mailto:elections@co.kendall.tx.us)

Elections Administrator  
Gwen Holt  
412 N. Texana,  
Hallettsville, TX 77964  
P.O. Box 727, Hallettsville  
77964  
[elections@co.lavaca.tx.us](mailto:elections@co.lavaca.tx.us)

Elections Administrator  
Donna Golden  
155 N. Cass Street,  
Centerville, TX 75833  
P.O. Box 1239, Centerville  
75833  
[donna.golden@co.leon.tx.us](mailto:donna.golden@co.leon.tx.us)

Elections Administrator  
Jennifer Southard  
200 W State St, Room G5,  
Groesbeck, TX 76642  
[jennifer.southard@co.limestone.tx.us](mailto:jennifer.southard@co.limestone.tx.us)

District & County Clerk  
Mary Mozelle Carr  
Courthouse, 100 Bell St.,  
Mentone, Texas 79754  
P.O. Box 194, Mentone  
79754  
[cclerk@co.loving.tx.us](mailto:cclerk@co.loving.tx.us)

County Clerk  
Scott Sartain  
500 Broadnax St., Ste. D.,  
Daingerfield 75638  
[scott.sartain@co.morris.tx.us](mailto:scott.sartain@co.morris.tx.us)

County Clerk  
Melody Appleton  
1806 25th St., Ste. 300,  
Snyder 79549  
[melody.appleton@co.scurry.tx.us](mailto:melody.appleton@co.scurry.tx.us)



Interim Elections  
Administrator  
John Lee Rodriguez  
100 N. FM 3167, Ste. 206,  
Rio Grande City 78582  
[starr.elections@co.starr.tx.us](mailto:starr.elections@co.starr.tx.us)

Elections Administrator  
Richelle Culifer  
Courthouse 119 S.  
Maxwell, Basement, Tulia  
79088  
[r.culifer@swisher-tx.org](mailto:r.culifer@swisher-tx.org)

Elections Administrator  
Margetta Hill  
2805 N. Navarro St., Ste  
500, Victoria 77901-3947  
[elections@vctx.org](mailto:elections@vctx.org)

**Re: Public Information Request**

Dear Public Information Officers:

Pursuant to the Texas Public Information Act, as codified at Tex. Code ch. 552, American Oversight makes the following request for public records.

**Requested Records**

American Oversight requests that your office promptly produce the following records:

1. All records reflecting any data, descriptions, assessments, reports, or summaries of any ES&S iVotronic voting machine errors.
2. All communications (including sent and received emails, email attachments, calendar invitations/entries, letters, memoranda, or other communications) regarding the performance of any ES&S iVotronic voting machines.

Please provide all responsive records from October 1, 2018, to the date the search is conducted.

**American Oversight seeks records related to the performance, accuracy, or inaccuracy of iVotronic voting machines. We do not seek publicly available records or summaries of the outcomes of any elections, nor do we seek any ES&S manuals, instructional materials, or other technical guides related to the operation or troubleshooting of the iVotronic voting machines. Accordingly, these materials should not be considered responsive to this request.**

American Oversight seeks all responsive records regardless of format, medium, or physical characteristics. In conducting your search, please understand the term “record” in its broadest sense, to include any written, typed, recorded, graphic, printed, or audio material of any kind. We seek records of any kind, including electronic records, audiotapes, videotapes, and photographs, as well as letters, emails, facsimiles, telephone messages, voice mail messages and transcripts, notes, or minutes of any meetings, telephone conversations or discussions. Our request includes any attachments to these records. **No category of material should be omitted from search, collection, and production.**

In addition, American Oversight insists that your county use the most up-to-date technologies to search for responsive information and take steps to ensure that the most complete repositories of information are searched. American Oversight is available to work with you to craft appropriate search terms. **However, custodian searches are still required; governmental authorities may not have direct access to files stored in .PST files, outside of network drives, in paper format, or in personal email accounts.**

Please search all records regarding official business. **You may not exclude searches of files or emails in the personal custody of your officials, such as personal email accounts.** Emails conducting government business sent or received on the personal account of a governmental authority's officer or employee constitutes a record for purposes of the Texas Public Information Act.<sup>1</sup>

In the event some portions of the requested records are properly exempt from disclosure, please disclose any reasonably segregable non-exempt portions of the requested records. If it is your position that a document contains non-exempt segments, but that those non-exempt segments are so dispersed throughout the document as to make segregation impossible, please state what portion of the document is non-exempt, and how the material is dispersed throughout the document. If a request is denied in whole, please state specifically that it is not reasonable to segregate portions of the record for release.

Please take appropriate steps to ensure that records responsive to this request are not deleted by your office before the completion of processing for this request. If records potentially responsive to this request are likely to be located on systems where they are subject to potential deletion, including on a scheduled basis, please take steps to prevent that deletion, including, as appropriate, by instituting a litigation hold on those records.

To ensure that this request is properly construed, that searches are conducted in an adequate but efficient manner, and that extraneous costs are not incurred, American Oversight welcomes an opportunity to discuss its request with you before you undertake your search or incur search or duplication costs. By working together at the outset, American Oversight and your county can decrease the likelihood of costly and time-consuming litigation in the future.

Where possible, please provide responsive material in electronic format by email or in PDF or TIF format on a USB drive. Please send any responsive material being sent by mail to American Oversight, 1030 15th Street NW, Suite B255, Washington, DC 20005. If it will accelerate release of responsive records to American Oversight, please also provide responsive material on a rolling basis.

### **Fee Waiver Request**

In accordance with Tex. Code § 552.267(a), American Oversight requests a waiver of fees associated with processing this request for records because such a waiver “is in the public interest

---

<sup>1</sup> Tex. Code § 552.002(a-2); *see also Adkisson v. Paxton*, 459 S.W.3d 761, 773 (Tex. App. 2015).

because providing the copy of the information primarily benefits the general public.” The requested records are directly related to the reliability of equipment used to determine the outcome of county elections. These records have the potential to shed light on whether and to what extent the known issues regarding the reliability of touchscreen voting machines persist and whether and to what extent said problems have been addressed by local officials.<sup>2</sup> Election integrity, voting rights, and allegations of voter fraud are subjects of substantial and increasing public interest, both in Texas and nationally.<sup>3</sup> Accordingly, release of records that may help the public to better understand the operations and activities of local election administrators is in the public interest.

Release of the requested records will primarily benefit the public.<sup>4</sup> As a 501(c)(3) nonprofit, American Oversight does not have a commercial purpose and the release of the requested records is not in American Oversight’s financial interest, but is rather in the public interest. American Oversight is committed to transparency and makes the responses governmental authorities provide to public records requests publicly available. As noted, the subject of this request is a matter of public interest, and the public would benefit from an enhanced understanding of the government’s activities through American Oversight’s analysis and publication of these records. American Oversight’s mission is to promote transparency in government, to educate the public about government activities, and to ensure the accountability of government officials. American Oversight uses the information gathered, and its analysis of it, to educate the public through reports, press releases, or other media. American Oversight also makes materials it gathers available on its public website and promotes their availability on social media platforms, such as Facebook and Twitter.<sup>5</sup> American Oversight has demonstrated its commitment to the public disclosure of documents and creation of editorial content. For example, after receiving records regarding an ethics waiver received by a senior United States Department of Justice (DOJ) attorney,<sup>6</sup> American Oversight promptly posted the records to its website and published an analysis of what the records reflected

---

<sup>2</sup> Sue Halpern, *How Voting-Machine Errors Reflect a Wider Crisis for American Democracy*, NEW YORKER, Oct. 31, 2018, <https://www.newyorker.com/news/news-desk/how-voting-machine-errors-reflect-a-wider-crisis-for-american-democracy>.

<sup>3</sup> See, e.g., Robert T. Garrett, *From Choirboy to ‘Threat?’ Texas Secretary of State David Whitley Defends Scrutiny of Possible Noncitizen Voters*, DALLAS NEWS, Mar. 3, 2019, <https://www.dallasnews.com/news/texas-politics/2019/03/03/choirboy-threat-texas-secretary-state-david-whitley-defends-scrutiny-possible-noncitizen-voters>; Editorial Board, *The GOP Finds Yet Another Way to Suppress the Vote*, WASH. POST, Sept. 10, 2018, [https://www.washingtonpost.com/opinions/the-gop-finds-yet-another-way-to-suppress-the-vote/2018/09/10/7cd2617e-b536-11e8-a7b5-adaaa5b2a57f\\_story.html?utm\\_term=.8321c34c5b87](https://www.washingtonpost.com/opinions/the-gop-finds-yet-another-way-to-suppress-the-vote/2018/09/10/7cd2617e-b536-11e8-a7b5-adaaa5b2a57f_story.html?utm_term=.8321c34c5b87).

<sup>4</sup> Tex. Code § 552.267(a).

<sup>5</sup> American Oversight currently has approximately 15,400 page likes on Facebook and 101,800 followers on Twitter. American Oversight, FACEBOOK, <https://www.facebook.com/weareoversight/> (last visited Feb. 26, 2020); American Oversight (@weareoversight), TWITTER, <https://twitter.com/weareoversight> (last visited Feb. 26, 2020).

<sup>6</sup> *DOJ Records Relating to Solicitor General Noel Francisco’s Recusal*, AMERICAN OVERSIGHT, <https://www.americanoversight.org/document/doj-civil-division-response-noel-francisco-compliance>.

about DOJ's process for ethics waivers.<sup>7</sup> As another example, American Oversight has a project called "Audit the Wall," where the organization is gathering and analyzing information and commenting on public releases of information related to the administration's proposed construction of a barrier along the U.S.-Mexico border.<sup>8</sup> American Oversight similarly intends to engage with media outlets in Texas to ensure that the general public within the state has access to the information American Oversight obtains.

Accordingly, American Oversight qualifies for a fee waiver. If your office denies a fee waiver, please notify American Oversight of any anticipated fees or costs in excess of \$100 prior to incurring such costs or fees.

### **Conclusion**

We share a common mission to promote transparency in government. American Oversight looks forward to working with your office on this request. If you do not understand any part of this request, have any questions, or foresee any problems in fully releasing the requested records, please contact Emma Lewis at [records@americanoversight.org](mailto:records@americanoversight.org) or 202.919.6303. Also, if American Oversight's request for a fee waiver is not granted in full, please contact us immediately upon making such a determination.

Sincerely,



Austin R. Evers  
Executive Director  
American Oversight

---

<sup>7</sup> *Francisco & the Travel Ban: What We Learned from the DOJ Documents*, AMERICAN OVERSIGHT, <https://www.americanoversight.org/francisco-the-travel-ban-what-we-learned-from-the-doj-documents>.

<sup>8</sup> *Audit the Wall*, AMERICAN OVERSIGHT, <https://www.americanoversight.org/investigation/audit-the-wall>.