July 17, 2020

VIA EMAIL

FOIA Officer
Council of the Inspectors General on Integrity and Efficiency
1717 H Street NW, Suite 825
Washington, DC 20006
FOIASTAFF@cigie.gov

Re: Freedom of Information Act Request

Dear FOIA Officer:


President Trump has recently removed or replaced several inspectors general (IGs), raising questions as to whether the administration is attempting to threaten the independence of these offices. CIGIE, an independent agency in part composed of IGs, was reportedly contacted on matters involving former State Department IG Steve Linick’s actions.

American Oversight seeks records with the potential to shed light on CIGIE’s involvement and interest in these issues.

Requested Records

American Oversight requests that CIGIE produce the following records within twenty business days:

---

1 See Aaron Blake, Trump’s Slow-Motion Friday Night Massacre of Inspectors General, Wash. Post (May 18, 2020, 8:35 AM), https://www.washingtonpost.com/politics/2020/05/16/trumps-slow-moving-friday-night-massacre-inspectors-general/.
3 Laura Kelly, Top Pompeo Aide Emerges as Key Figure Amid Watchdog Scrutiny, The Hill (June 12, 2020, 6:00 AM), https://thehill.com/policy/international/502352-top-pompeo-aide-emerges-as-key-figure-amid-watchdog-scrutiny.
All calendars or calendar entries for the following specified CIGIE officials, including any calendars maintained on behalf of those officials, from April 1, 2020, to the date the search is conducted.

CIGIE Officials

i. Michael Horowitz, Chair
ii. Alan Boehm, Executive Director
iii. Atticus Reaser, General Counsel

American Oversight requests that the calendars be produced in a format that includes all invitees, any notes, and all attachments. Please do not limit your search to Outlook calendars; we request the production of any calendar—paper or electronic, whether on government-issued or personal devices—used to track or coordinate how the specified officials allocate their time on agency business.

Fee Waiver Request

In accordance with 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(A)(iii) and your agency’s regulations, American Oversight requests a waiver of fees associated with processing this request for records. The subject of this request concerns the operations of the federal government, and the disclosures will likely contribute to a better understanding of relevant government procedures by the general public in a significant way. Moreover, the request is primarily and fundamentally for non-commercial purposes.

American Oversight requests a waiver of fees because disclosure of the requested information is “in the public interest because it is likely to contribute significantly to public understanding of operations or activities of the government.” The public has a significant interest in the independence of watchdog offices within the federal government and how CIGIE is handling the recent IG removals. Records with the potential to shed light on these issues would contribute significantly to public understanding of operations of the federal government, including whether and to what extent CIGIE is discussing potential threats to IG independence. American Oversight is committed to transparency and makes the responses agencies provide to FOIA requests publicly available, and the public’s understanding of the government’s activities would be enhanced through American Oversight’s analysis and publication of these records.

This request is primarily and fundamentally for non-commercial purposes. As a 501(c)(3) nonprofit, American Oversight does not have a commercial purpose and the release of the information requested is not in American Oversight’s financial interest. American Oversight’s mission is to promote transparency in government, to educate the public about government activities, and to ensure the accountability of government officials. American Oversight uses the information gathered, and its analysis of it, to educate the

5 See id.
public through reports, press releases, or other media. American Oversight also makes
materials it gathers available on its public website and promotes their availability on social
media platforms, such as Facebook and Twitter.6

American Oversight has also demonstrated its commitment to the public disclosure of
documents and creation of editorial content through numerous substantive analyses
posted to its website.7 Examples reflecting this commitment to the public disclosure of
documents and the creation of editorial content include the posting of records related to
an ethics waiver received by a senior Department of Justice attorney and an analysis of
what those records demonstrated regarding the Department’s process for issuing such
waivers;8 posting records received as part of American Oversight’s “Audit the Wall”
project to gather and analyze information related to the administration’s proposed
construction of a barrier along the U.S.-Mexico border, and analyses of what those records
reveal;9 posting records regarding potential self-dealing at the Department of Housing &
Urban Development and related analysis;10 posting records and analysis relating to the
federal government’s efforts to sell nuclear technology to Saudi Arabia;11 and posting
records and analysis regarding the Department of Justice’s decision in response to
demands from Congress to direct a U.S. Attorney to undertake a wide-ranging review and
make recommendations regarding criminal investigations relating to the President’s

6 American Oversight currently has approximately 15,500 page likes on Facebook and
104,100 followers on Twitter. American Oversight, Facebook,
https://www.facebook.com/weareoversight/ (last visited July 13, 2020); American
Oversight (@weareoversight), Twitter, https://twitter.com/weareoversight (last visited
July 13, 2020).
8 DOJ Records Relating to Solicitor General Noel Francisco’s Recusal, American Oversight,
https://www.americanoversight.org/document/doj-civil-division-response-noel-francisco-
compliance; Francisco & the Travel Ban: What We Learned from the DOJ Documents, American
Oversight, https://www.americanoversight.org/francisco-the-travel-ban-what-we-learned-
from-the-doj-documents.
9 See generally Audit the Wall, American Oversight,
https://www.americanoversight.org/investigation/audit-the-wall; see, e.g., Border Wall
Investigation Report: No Plans, No Funding, No Timeline, No Wall, American Oversight,
https://www.americanoversight.org/border-wall-investigation-report-no-plans-no-
funding-no-timeline-no-wall.
10 Documents Reveal Ben Carson Jr.’s Attempts to Use His Influence at HUD to Help His Business,
American Oversight, https://www.americanoversight.org/documents-reveal-ben-carson-jr-
s-attempts-to-use-his-influence-at-hud-to-help-his-business.
11 Investigating the Trump Administration’s Efforts to Sell Nuclear Technology to Saudi Arabia,
American Oversight, https://www.americanoversight.org/investigating-the-trump-
administrations-efforts-to-sell-nuclear-technology-to-saudi-arabia.
political opponents and allegations of misconduct by the Department of Justice itself and the Federal Bureau of Investigation.\footnote{Sessions’ Letter Shows DOJ Acted on Trump’s Authoritarian Demand to Investigate Clinton, American Oversight, \url{https://www.americanoversight.org/sessions-letter}.}

Accordingly, American Oversight qualifies for a fee waiver.

**Guidance Regarding the Search & Processing of Requested Records**

In connection with its request for records, American Oversight provides the following guidance regarding the scope of the records sought and the search and processing of records:

- Please search all locations and systems likely to have responsive records, regardless of format, medium, or physical characteristics.

- Our request for records includes any attachments to those records or other materials enclosed with those records when they were previously transmitted. To the extent that an email is responsive to our request, our request includes all prior messages sent or received in that email chain, as well as any attachments to the email.

- Please search all relevant records or systems containing records regarding agency business. Do not exclude records regarding agency business contained in files, email accounts, or devices in the personal custody of your officials, such as personal email accounts or text messages. Records of official business conducted using unofficial systems or stored outside of official files are subject to the Federal Records Act and FOIA.\footnote{See Competitive Enter. Inst. v. Office of Sci. & Tech. Policy, 827 F.3d 145, 149–50 (D.C. Cir. 2016); cf. Judicial Watch, Inc. v. Kerry, 844 F.3d 952, 955–56 (D.C. Cir. 2016).} It is not adequate to rely on policies and procedures that require officials to move such information to official systems within a certain period of time; American Oversight has a right to records contained in those files even if material has not yet been moved to official systems or if officials have, by intent or through negligence, failed to meet their obligations.\footnote{See Competitive Enter. Inst. v. Office of Sci. & Tech. Policy, No. 14-cv-765, slip op. at 8 (D.D.C. Dec. 12, 2016).}

have adopted the National Archives and Records Administration (NARA) Capstone program, or similar policies. These systems provide options for searching emails and other electronic records in a manner that is reasonably likely to be more complete than just searching individual custodian files. For example, a custodian may have deleted a responsive email from his or her email program, but your agency’s archiving tools may capture that email under Capstone. At the same time, custodian searches are still necessary; agencies may not have direct access to files stored in .PST files, outside of network drives, in paper format, or in personal email accounts.

- In the event some portions of the requested records are properly exempt from disclosure, please disclose any reasonably segregable non-exempt portions of the requested records. If a request is denied in whole, please state specifically why it is not reasonable to segregate portions of the record for release.

- Please take appropriate steps to ensure that records responsive to this request are not deleted by the agency before the completion of processing for this request. If records potentially responsive to this request are likely to be located on systems where they are subject to potential deletion, including on a scheduled basis, please take steps to prevent that deletion, including, as appropriate, by instituting a litigation hold on those records.

**Conclusion**

If you have any questions regarding how to construe this request for records or believe that further discussions regarding search and processing would facilitate a more efficient production of records of interest to American Oversight, please do not hesitate to contact American Oversight to discuss this request. American Oversight welcomes an opportunity to discuss its request with you before you undertake your search or incur search or duplication costs. By working together at the outset, American Oversight and your agency can decrease the likelihood of costly and time-consuming litigation in the future.

Where possible, please provide responsive material in an electronic format by email. Alternatively, please provide responsive material in native format or in PDF format on a USB drive. Please send any responsive material being sent by mail to American Oversight, 1030 15th Street NW, Suite B255, Washington, DC 20005. If it will accelerate release of responsive records to American Oversight, please also provide responsive material on a rolling basis.

We share a common mission to promote transparency in government. American Oversight looks forward to working with your agency on this request. If you do not understand any part of this request, please contact Mehreen Rasheed at foia@americanoversight.org or

---

Also, if American Oversight's request for a fee waiver is not granted in full, please contact us immediately upon making such a determination.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

Austin R. Evers
Executive Director
American Oversight