



August 5, 2020

VIA EMAIL/ ONLINE PORTAL

Douglas Hibbard
Chief, Initial Request Staff
Office of Information Policy
Department of Justice
6th Floor
441 G St NW
Washington, DC 20530
Via Online Portal

Amanda M. Jones
Chief, FOIA/PA Unit
Criminal Division
Department of Justice
Suite 1127, Keeney Building
Washington, DC 20530-0001
crm.foia@usdoj.gov

Angela Hertel, Acting Chief
Freedom of Information/Privacy Act Unit
FOI/Records Management Section
Drug Enforcement Administration
8701 Morrisette Drive
Springfield, VA 22152
DEA.FOIA@usdoj.gov

Adam C. Siple
Chief, Disclosure Division
Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms,
and Explosives
Department of Justice
Room 4.E-301
99 New York Avenue NE
Washington, DC 20226
foiamail@atf.gov

David M. Hardy, Chief
Record/Information Dissemination
Section
Records Management Division
Federal Bureau of Investigation
Department of Justice
170 Marcel Drive
Winchester, VA 22602-4843
Via Online Portal

Charlotte M. Luckstone
FOIA Officer
U.S. Marshals Service
Office of General Counsel
CG-3 15th Floor
Washington, DC 20530-0001
usms.foia@usdoj.gov

Kevin Krebs
Assistant Director
FOIA/Privacy Unit
Executive Office for United States
Attorneys
Department of Justice
175 N Street NE
Suite 5.400
Washington, DC 20530-0001
Via Online Portal

Fernando Pineiro
FOIA Officer
Freedom of Information Act Office
500 12th Street, SW, Stop 5009
Washington, DC 20536-5009
ice-foia@dhs.gov



Re: Freedom of Information Act Request

Dear FOIA Officer:

Pursuant to the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA), 5 U.S.C. § 552, and the implementing regulations of your agency, American Oversight makes the following request for records.

On July 8, 2020, Attorney General William Barr announced the launch of Operation Legend, an effort to send federal law enforcement officers to help state and local officials “fight the sudden surge of violent crime” in certain cities.¹ After an initial deployment to Kansas City, Missouri, the Attorney General announced that he would expand the operation to Chicago, Albuquerque, Cleveland, Detroit, and Milwaukee.² The Department of Justice has stated that these operations will involve investigators and agents from the Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI), the Drug Enforcement Administration (DEA), the Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms, and Explosives (ATF), the U.S. Marshals Service (USMS), and the Department of Homeland Security’s Homeland Security Investigations (HSI), aided by additional funding from the Bureau of Justice Assistance.³

American Oversight seeks records with the potential to shed light on the strategy behind these deployments and the activities of agency personnel deployed in American cities under Operation Legend.

Requested Records

American Oversight requests that your agency produce the following records within twenty business days:

Records sufficient to identify the following information about the individuals who have been arrested by agents of your agency as part of Operation Legend:

1. The number of individuals arrested;

¹ Press release, *Attorney General William P. Barr Announces Launch of Operation Legend*, Dep’t of Justice (July 8, 2020), available at <https://www.justice.gov/opa/pr/attorney-general-william-p-barr-announces-launch-operation-legend>.

² Press release, *Attorney General William P. Barr Joins President Donald J. Trump to Announce Expansion of Operation Legend*, Dep’t of Justice (July 22, 2020), available at <https://www.justice.gov/opa/pr/attorney-general-william-p-barr-joins-president-donald-j-trump-announce-expansion-operation>; Press release, *Operation Legend Expanded to Cleveland, Detroit, and Milwaukee*, Dep’t of Justice (July 29, 2020), available at <https://www.justice.gov/opa/pr/operation-legend-expanded-cleveland-detroit-and-milwaukee>.

³ *Id.*

2. The city in which each individual was arrested;
3. The unit or component by which each individual was arrested;
4. The duration of each individual's time in federal custody;
5. With what offense, if any, each individual has been charged.

To the extent your agency maintains this data in aggregated form, such as in a spreadsheet or within a database or other format that can be readily exported to a spreadsheet, American Oversight requests responsive data in such format. In addition to records in the form of spreadsheets and tables, this request encompasses records in the form of final memoranda, reports, and talking points. To be clear, American Oversight is not requesting details about individual cases and does not object to the redaction of such details (e.g., names or other identifying information) from records responsive to this request. American Oversight further notes that, while your agency is best positioned to identify the location of responsive records, your agency's leadership offices are likely to possess responsive records in light of the extraordinary threat of this national emergency.

Please provide all responsive records from July 1, 2020, through the date of the search.

Fee Waiver Request

In accordance with 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(A)(iii) and your agency's regulations, American Oversight requests a waiver of fees associated with processing this request for records. The subject of this request concerns the operations of the federal government, and the disclosures will likely contribute to a better understanding of relevant government procedures by the general public in a significant way. Moreover, the request is primarily and fundamentally for non-commercial purposes.

American Oversight requests a waiver of fees because disclosure of the requested information is "in the public interest because it is likely to contribute significantly to public understanding of operations or activities of the government."⁴ The public has a significant interest in the design and implementation of Operation Legend, including the process by which targeted cities were chosen and the strategy by which the Department of Justice intends to achieve the operation's stated goals.⁵ Records with the potential to shed

⁴ 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(A)(iii).

⁵ Alexander Mallin, *AG Barr Announces New Initiative 'Operation Legend' to Tackle Violent Crime*, ABC News (July 9, 2020, 3:35 PM), <https://abcnews.go.com/Politics/ag-barr-announces-initiative-operation-legend-tackle-violent/story?id=71695453>; Brian Lowry & Allison Kite, *Lucas Raises Concerns about Trump's 'Dog-Whistling' as Federal 'Operation Legend' Expands*, Kansas City Star, July 22, 2020, <https://www.kansascity.com/news/politics-government/article244409327.html>; Melissa Quinn, *Barr Rejects Claims DOJ Diverted Resources and Personnel to Boost Trump's Reelection*, CBS News (July 28, 2020, 12:02 PM),

light on this matter would contribute significantly to public understanding of operations of the federal government, including the actions of federal personnel deployed to American cities. American Oversight is committed to transparency and makes the responses agencies provide to FOIA requests publicly available, and the public's understanding of the government's activities would be enhanced through American Oversight's analysis and publication of these records.

This request is primarily and fundamentally for non-commercial purposes.⁶ As a 501(c)(3) nonprofit, American Oversight does not have a commercial purpose and the release of the information requested is not in American Oversight's financial interest. American Oversight's mission is to promote transparency in government, to educate the public about government activities, and to ensure the accountability of government officials. American Oversight uses the information gathered, and its analysis of it, to educate the public through reports, press releases, or other media. American Oversight also makes materials it gathers available on its public website and promotes their availability on social media platforms, such as Facebook and Twitter.⁷

American Oversight has also demonstrated its commitment to the public disclosure of documents and creation of editorial content through regular substantive analyses posted to its website.⁸ Examples reflecting this commitment to the public disclosure of documents and the creation of editorial content include the posting of records related to the Trump Administration's contacts with Ukraine and analyses of those contacts;⁹ posting records and editorial content about the federal government's response to the Coronavirus pandemic;¹⁰ posting records received as part of American Oversight's "Audit the Wall" project to gather and analyze information related to the administration's proposed construction of a barrier along the U.S.-Mexico border, and analyses of what

<https://www.cbsnews.com/live-updates/william-barr-house-judiciary-committee-testimony-contentious/#post-update-7dbcef5b>.

⁶ See 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(A)(iii).

⁷ American Oversight currently has approximately 15,500 page likes on Facebook and 104,300 followers on Twitter. American Oversight, Facebook, <https://www.facebook.com/weareoversight/> (last visited July 20, 2020); American Oversight (@weareoversight), Twitter, <https://twitter.com/weareoversight> (last visited July 20, 2020).

⁸ See generally *News*, American Oversight, <https://www.americanoversight.org/blog>.

⁹ *Trump Administration's Contacts with Ukraine*, American Oversight, <https://www.americanoversight.org/investigation/the-trump-administrations-contacts-with-ukraine>.

¹⁰ See generally *The Trump Administration's Response to Coronavirus*, American Oversight, <https://www.americanoversight.org/investigation/the-trump-administrations-response-to-coronavirus>; see, e.g., *CDC Calendars from 2018 and 2019: Pandemic-Related Briefings and Meetings*, American Oversight, <https://www.americanoversight.org/cdc-calendars-from-2018-and-2019-pandemic-related-briefings-and-meetings>.

those records reveal;¹¹ the posting of records related to an ethics waiver received by a senior Department of Justice attorney and an analysis of what those records demonstrated regarding the Department's process for issuing such waivers;¹² and posting records and analysis of federal officials' use of taxpayer dollars to charter private aircraft or use government planes for unofficial business.¹³

Accordingly, American Oversight qualifies for a fee waiver.

Guidance Regarding the Search & Processing of Requested Records

In connection with its request for records, American Oversight provides the following guidance regarding the scope of the records sought and the search and processing of records:

- Please search all locations and systems likely to have responsive records, regardless of format, medium, or physical characteristics. For instance, if the request seeks "communications," please search all locations likely to contain communications, including relevant hard-copy files, correspondence files, appropriate locations on hard drives and shared drives, emails, text messages or other direct messaging systems (such as iMessage, WhatsApp, Signal, or Twitter direct messages), voicemail messages, instant messaging systems such as Lync or ICQ, and shared messages systems such as Slack.
- In conducting your search, please understand the terms "record," "document," and "information" in their broadest sense, to include any written, typed, recorded, graphic, printed, or audio material of any kind. We seek records of any kind, including electronic records, audiotapes, videotapes, and photographs, as well as letters, emails, facsimiles, telephone messages, voice mail messages, and

¹¹ See generally *Audit the Wall*, American Oversight, <https://www.americanoversight.org/investigation/audit-the-wall>; see, e.g., *Border Wall Investigation Report: No Plans, No Funding, No Timeline, No Wall*, American Oversight, <https://www.americanoversight.org/border-wall-investigation-report-no-plans-no-funding-no-timeline-no-wall>.

¹² *DOJ Records Relating to Solicitor General Noel Francisco's Recusal*, American Oversight, <https://www.americanoversight.org/document/doj-civil-division-response-noel-francisco-compliance>; *Francisco & the Travel Ban: What We Learned from the DOJ Documents*, American Oversight, <https://www.americanoversight.org/francisco-the-travel-ban-what-we-learned-from-the-doj-documents>.

¹³ See generally *Swamp Airlines: Chartered Jets at Taxpayer Expense*, American Oversight, <https://www.americanoversight.org/investigation/swamp-airlines-private-jets-taxpayer-expense>; see, e.g., *New Information on Pompeo's 2017 Trips to His Home State*, American Oversight, <https://www.americanoversight.org/new-information-on-pompeos-2017-trips-to-his-home-state>.

transcripts, notes, or minutes of any meetings, telephone conversations, or discussions.

- Our request for records includes any attachments to those records or other materials enclosed with those records when they were previously transmitted. To the extent that an email is responsive to our request, our request includes all prior messages sent or received in that email chain, as well as any attachments to the email.
- Please search all relevant records or systems containing records regarding agency business. Do not exclude records regarding agency business contained in files, email accounts, or devices in the personal custody of your officials, such as personal email accounts or text messages. Records of official business conducted using unofficial systems or stored outside of official files are subject to the Federal Records Act and FOIA.¹⁴ It is not adequate to rely on policies and procedures that require officials to move such information to official systems within a certain period of time; American Oversight has a right to records contained in those files even if material has not yet been moved to official systems or if officials have, by intent or through negligence, failed to meet their obligations.¹⁵
- Please use all tools available to your agency to conduct a complete and efficient search for potentially responsive records. Agencies are subject to government-wide requirements to manage agency information electronically,¹⁶ and many agencies have adopted the National Archives and Records Administration (NARA) Capstone program, or similar policies. These systems provide options for searching emails and other electronic records in a manner that is reasonably likely to be more complete than just searching individual custodian files. For example, a custodian may have deleted a responsive email from his or her email program, but your agency's archiving tools may capture that email under Capstone. At the same time, custodian searches are still necessary; agencies may not have direct access to files stored in .PST files, outside of network drives, in paper format, or in personal email accounts.

¹⁴ See *Competitive Enter. Inst. v. Office of Sci. & Tech. Policy*, 827 F.3d 145, 149–50 (D.C. Cir. 2016); cf. *Judicial Watch, Inc. v. Kerry*, 844 F.3d 952, 955–56 (D.C. Cir. 2016).

¹⁵ See *Competitive Enter. Inst. v. Office of Sci. & Tech. Policy*, No. 14-cv-765, slip op. at 8 (D.D.C. Dec. 12, 2016).

¹⁶ Presidential Memorandum—Managing Government Records, 76 Fed. Reg. 75,423 (Nov. 28, 2011), <https://obamawhitehouse.archives.gov/the-press-office/2011/11/28/presidential-memorandum-managing-government-records>; Office of Mgmt. & Budget, Exec. Office of the President, Memorandum for the Heads of Executive Departments & Independent Agencies, “Managing Government Records Directive,” M-12-18 (Aug. 24, 2012), <https://www.archives.gov/files/records-mgmt/m-12-18.pdf>.

- In the event some portions of the requested records are properly exempt from disclosure, please disclose any reasonably segregable non-exempt portions of the requested records. If a request is denied in whole, please state specifically why it is not reasonable to segregate portions of the record for release.
- Please take appropriate steps to ensure that records responsive to this request are not deleted by the agency before the completion of processing for this request. If records potentially responsive to this request are likely to be located on systems where they are subject to potential deletion, including on a scheduled basis, please take steps to prevent that deletion, including, as appropriate, by instituting a litigation hold on those records.

Conclusion

If you have any questions regarding how to construe this request for records or believe that further discussions regarding search and processing would facilitate a more efficient production of records of interest to American Oversight, please do not hesitate to contact American Oversight to discuss this request. American Oversight welcomes an opportunity to discuss its request with you before you undertake your search or incur search or duplication costs. By working together at the outset, American Oversight and your agency can decrease the likelihood of costly and time-consuming litigation in the future.

Where possible, please provide responsive material in an electronic format by email. Alternatively, please provide responsive material in native format or in PDF format on a USB drive. Please send any responsive material being sent by mail to American Oversight, 1030 15th Street NW, Suite B255, Washington, DC 20005. If it will accelerate release of responsive records to American Oversight, please also provide responsive material on a rolling basis.

We share a common mission to promote transparency in government. American Oversight looks forward to working with your agency on this request. If you do not understand any part of this request, please contact Daniel McGrath at foia@americanoversight.org or 202.897.4213. Also, if American Oversight's request for a fee waiver is not granted in full, please contact us immediately upon making such a determination.

Sincerely,



Austin R. Evers
Executive Director
American Oversight