



September 21, 2020

VIA ONLINE PORTAL

Douglas Hibbard
Chief, Initial Request Staff
Office of Information Policy
Department of Justice
6th Floor
441 G St. NW
Washington, DC 20530
Via Online Portal

Re: Expedited Freedom of Information Act Request

Dear Mr. Hibbard:

Pursuant to the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA), 5 U.S.C. § 552, and the implementing regulations of your agency, 28 C.F.R. Part 16, American Oversight makes the following request for records.

Soon after the death of Supreme Court Justice Ruth Bader Ginsburg, President Trump signaled his intention to name a new nominee to the high court, saying that he intends the nomination and vetting process to “move very quickly.”¹ Numerous news reports have indicated that Judge Barbara Lagoa of the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Eleventh Circuit, is among the most likely potential nominees.²

¹ Veronica Stracqualursi & Jim Acosta, *Trump on Supreme Court Nominee ‘It Will Be a Woman’*, CNN (Sept. 19, 2020, 8:31 PM), <https://www.cnn.com/2020/09/19/politics/trump-ruth-bader-ginsburg-vacant-seat-fill/index.html>.

² *Id.*; Geoff Bennett et al., *Trump Vows to Choose a Woman to Fill Ginsburg’s Supreme Court Seat*, NBC News (Sept. 19, 2020, 8:05 PM), <https://www.nbcnews.com/politics/supreme-court/amy-coney-barrett-emerging-front-runner-fill-ginsburg-s-supreme-n1240547>; Peter Baker & Maggie Haberman, *Trump Presses for New Justice ‘Without Delay’ as Election-Season Battle Looms*, N.Y. Times, Sept. 19, 2020, <https://www.nytimes.com/2020/09/19/us/politics/supreme-court-trump.html>; Josh Wingrove et al., *Barrett Has Supreme Court Edge as List Widens to Lagoa, Thapar*, Bloomberg (Sept. 19, 2020, 2:18 PM), <https://www.bloomberg.com/news/articles/2020-09-19/amy-coney-barrett-emerges-as-frontrunner-for-trump-court-pick>; Carrie Johnson & Tamara Keith, *Sources: Trump Considers Barrett, Lagoa, Rushing for Supreme Court Spot*, NPR (Sept. 19, 2020 3:26 PM), <https://www.npr.org/sections/death-of-ruth-bader-ginsburg/2020/09/19/914829456/sources-trump-considers-coney-barrett-lagoa-thapar-for-supreme-court-spot>.



Given the enormous national importance of and public interest in the record of the next nominee to the Supreme Court, American Oversight seeks records shedding light on the process of Judge Lagoa's 2019 nomination and confirmation to the Eleventh Circuit Court of Appeals.

Requested Records

American Oversight seeks expedited review of this request for the reasons identified below and requests that the U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ) produce the following records as soon as practicable, and at least within twenty business days:

All records reflecting communications (including emails, email attachments, notes, hard copy correspondence, text messages, messages on messaging platforms (such as Slack, GChat or Google Hangouts, Lync, Skype, or WhatsApp), telephone call logs, calendar invitations/entries, meeting notices, meeting agendas, talking points, any handwritten or electronic notes taken during any responsive communications, and summaries of any responsive communications) regarding the potential or actual nomination of Barbara Lagoa to be a U.S. Circuit Judge for the Eleventh Circuit or a Justice of the U.S. Supreme Court, between (a) the DOJ officials listed below, and (b) any individual or entity listed below (or anyone acting on behalf of anyone on that list), including but not limited to at the email addresses provided.

Specified DOJ Officials

- i. **Office of the Attorney General:**
 - A. Anyone serving as Attorney General and anyone communicating on his behalf, such as an assistant or scheduler
 - B. Anyone serving as Chief of Staff to the Attorney General
 - C. Anyone serving as White House Liaison
 - D. Anyone serving as Counselor to the Attorney General
- ii. **Office of the Deputy Attorney General:**
 - A. Anyone serving as Deputy Attorney General and anyone communicating on his behalf, such as an assistant or scheduler
 - B. Anyone serving as Chief of Staff to the Deputy Attorney General
 - C. Anyone serving as Principal Associate Deputy Attorney General
- iii. **Office of the Associate Attorney General:**
 - A. Anyone serving as Associate Attorney General
 - B. Anyone serving as Principal Deputy Associate Attorney General
 - C. Anyone serving as Chief of Staff to the Associate Attorney General
 - D. Anyone serving as Deputy Associate Attorney General
- iv. **Office of Legal Policy:**
 - A. Anyone serving as Assistant Attorney General for the Office of Legal Policy
 - B. Anyone serving as Chief of Staff for the Office of Legal Policy
 - C. Anyone serving as Principal Deputy Assistant Attorney General for the Office of Legal Policy
 - D. Any Deputy Assistant Attorney General responsible for judicial nominations work

E. Anyone serving as Senior Nominations Counsel

Specified Outside Individuals and Groups

- i. Alliance Defending Freedom (adflegal.org)
- ii. American Center for Law and Justice (aclj.org)
- iii. American Family Association (afa.net)
- iv. Americans for Prosperity (americansforprosperity.org)
- v. Americans United for Life (aul.org)
- vi. Becket Fund for Religious Liberty (becketlaw.org)
- vii. Cato Institute (cato.org)
- viii. Concerned Women for America (cwfa.org, concernedwomen.org)
- ix. Concerned Veterans for America (cv4a.org)
- x. Creative Response Concepts/CRC Advisors (crcadvisors.com, crcpublicrelations.com)
- xi. Family Policy Alliance (familypolicyalliance.com)
- xii. Family Research Council (frc.org)
- xiii. Federalist Society (fed-soc.org)
- xiv. First Liberty Institute (firstliberty.org)
- xv. Florida Family Policy Council (flfamily.org, floridafamilyaction.org)
- xvi. Florida Justice Reform Institute (fljustice.org)
- xvii. Heritage Foundation (heritage.org)
- xviii. Heritage Action for American (heritageaction.com)
- xix. Indiana Right to Life (irtl.org)
- xx. Independent Women's Forum (iwf.org)
- xxi. Judicial Crisis Network (judicialnetwork.com)
- xxii. Judicial Education Project
- xxiii. Liberty Counsel (lc.org)
- xxiv. National Rifle Association (nra.org, nrahq.org, nraila.org, am.com)
- xxv. National Right to Life Committee (nrlc.org)
- xxvi. Susan B. Anthony List (sba-list.org)
- xxvii. U.S. Chamber of Commerce (uschamber.com)
- xxviii. U.S. Conference of Catholic Bishops (usccb.org)
- xxix. Robert George (rgeorge@princeton.edu)
- xxx. C. Boyden Gray (cbg@cboydengray.com)
- xxxi. Leonard Leo (leonard.leo@fed-soc.org, lleo@fed-soc.org, ll@leonardleo.com, leonard.anthony.leo@gmail.com, leonard.leo@hotmail.com, leonard.leo@mindspring.com)
- xxxii. Carrie Severino (carrie@judicialnetwork.com, carrie.severino@gmail.com)
- xxxiii. Roger Severino
- xxxiv. Gary Marx (gary@madisonstrategiesllc.com)
- xxxv. Jonathan Bunch (jonathan.bunch@fed-soc.org)
- xxxvi. Senator Mitch McConnell (mccconnell.senate.gov, teammitch.com)
- xxxvii. Senate Judiciary Committee (judiciary-rep.senate.gov)
- xxxviii. The Office of the Vice President (ovp.eop.gov)
- xxxix. The Department of Health and Human Services (hhs.gov)
- xl. Sean Sandolowski, White House Counsel's Office
- xli. Kate Todd, White House Counsel's Office

- xlii. Robert Luther, White House Counsel's Office
- xliii. Dino LaVerghetta, White House Counsel's Office
- xliv. Anyone serving as White House Counsel's points of contact for judicial nominations

Please provide all responsive records from August 1, 2019, through the date the search is conducted.

Fee Waiver Request

In accordance with 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(A)(iii) and your agency's regulations, American Oversight requests a waiver of fees associated with processing this request for records. The subject of this request concerns the operations of the federal government, and the disclosures will likely contribute to a better understanding of relevant government procedures by the general public in a significant way. Moreover, the request is primarily and fundamentally for non-commercial purposes.

American Oversight requests a waiver of fees because disclosure of the requested information is "in the public interest because it is likely to contribute significantly to public understanding of operations or activities of the government."³ Given Judge Lagoa's position as a potential Supreme Court nominee, the public has a significant interest in her background.⁴ Records with the potential to shed light on the influence of outside interest groups on her nomination to her current position would contribute significantly to public understanding of the background of a person who may be nominated to one of the most influential positions in our government. American Oversight is committed to transparency and makes the responses agencies provide to FOIA requests publicly available, and the public's understanding of the government's activities would be enhanced through American Oversight's analysis and publication of these records.

This request is primarily and fundamentally for non-commercial purposes.⁵ As a 501(c)(3) nonprofit, American Oversight does not have a commercial purpose and the release of the information requested is not in American Oversight's financial interest. American Oversight's mission is to promote transparency in government, to educate the public about government activities, and to ensure the accountability of government officials. American Oversight uses the information gathered, and its analysis of it, to

³ 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(A)(iii).

⁴ See, e.g., Evan Semones, *What You Need to Know About Barbara Lagoa*, Politico (Sept. 19, 2020, 6:15 PM), <https://www.politico.com/news/2020/09/19/what-you-need-to-know-about-barbara-lagoa-418437>; Martin Vassolo, *Trump Considers Miami-Born Judge Barbara Lagoa for Ginsburg's Seat on Supreme Court*, Miami Herald (Sept. 19, 2020, 5:44 PM), <https://www.miamiherald.com/news/politics-government/article245861735.html>; John Kennedy & Richard Wolf, *Trump Eyes Cuban American Judge from Florida for Supreme Court*, USA Today (Sept. 19, 2020, 7:21 PM), <https://www.usatoday.com/story/news/politics/2020/09/19/supreme-court-latina-judge-barbara-lagoa-eyed-possible-nominee/5840189002/>.

⁵ See 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(A)(iii).

educate the public through reports, press releases, or other media. American Oversight also makes materials it gathers available on its public website and promotes their availability on social media platforms, such as Facebook and Twitter.⁶

American Oversight has also demonstrated its commitment to the public disclosure of documents and creation of editorial content through regular substantive analyses posted to its website.⁷ Examples reflecting this commitment to the public disclosure of documents and the creation of editorial content include the posting of records related to the Trump Administration's contacts with Ukraine and analyses of those contacts;⁸ posting records and editorial content about the federal government's response to the Coronavirus pandemic;⁹ posting records received as part of American Oversight's "Audit the Wall" project to gather and analyze information related to the administration's proposed construction of a barrier along the U.S.-Mexico border, and analyses of what those records reveal;¹⁰ the posting of records related to an ethics waiver received by a senior Department of Justice attorney and an analysis of what those records demonstrated regarding the Department's process for issuing such waivers;¹¹ and posting records and analysis of federal officials' use of taxpayer dollars to charter private aircraft or use government planes for unofficial business.¹²

⁶ American Oversight currently has approximately 15,600 page likes on Facebook and 105,400 followers on Twitter. American Oversight, Facebook, <https://www.facebook.com/weareoversight/> (last visited Sept. 21, 2020); American Oversight (@weareoversight), Twitter, <https://twitter.com/weareoversight> (last visited Sept. 21, 2020).

⁷ See generally *News*, American Oversight, <https://www.americanoversight.org/blog>.

⁸ *Trump Administration's Contacts with Ukraine*, American Oversight, <https://www.americanoversight.org/investigation/the-trump-administrations-contacts-with-ukraine>.

⁹ See generally *The Trump Administration's Response to Coronavirus*, American Oversight, <https://www.americanoversight.org/investigation/the-trump-administrations-response-to-coronavirus>; see, e.g., *CDC Calendars from 2018 and 2019: Pandemic-Related Briefings and Meetings*, American Oversight, <https://www.americanoversight.org/cdc-calendars-from-2018-and-2019-pandemic-related-briefings-and-meetings>.

¹⁰ See generally *Audit the Wall*, American Oversight, <https://www.americanoversight.org/investigation/audit-the-wall>; see, e.g., *Border Wall Investigation Report: No Plans, No Funding, No Timeline, No Wall*, American Oversight, <https://www.americanoversight.org/border-wall-investigation-report-no-plans-no-funding-no-timeline-no-wall>.

¹¹ *DOJ Records Relating to Solicitor General Noel Francisco's Recusal*, American Oversight, <https://www.americanoversight.org/document/doj-civil-division-response-noel-francisco-compliance>; *Francisco & the Travel Ban: What We Learned from the DOJ Documents*, American Oversight, <https://www.americanoversight.org/francisco-the-travel-ban-what-we-learned-from-the-doj-documents>.

¹² See generally *Swamp Airlines: Chartered Jets at Taxpayer Expense*, American Oversight, <https://www.americanoversight.org/investigation/swamp-airlines-private-jets-taxpayer-expense>; see, e.g., *New Information on Pompeo's 2017 Trips to His Home State*, American Oversight, <https://www.americanoversight.org/new-information-on-pompeos-2017-trips-to-his-home-state>.

Accordingly, American Oversight qualifies for a fee waiver.

Application for Expedited Processing

Pursuant to 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(6)(E)(1) and 45 C.F.R. § 5.27, American Oversight requests that your agency expedite the processing of this request.

I certify to be true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief that the information requested is urgently needed in order to inform the public concerning actual or alleged government activity. Immediately following the death of Justice Ruth Bader Ginsburg, President Trump signaled that he intends to “move very quickly” in naming a new nominee to the Supreme Court.¹³ In addition, the morning after Justice Ginsburg’s death was announced, the president also tweeted to the Republican National Committee that they have an “obligation, without delay,” “to make decisions for the people who so proudly elected us, the most important of which has long been considered to be the selection of the United States Supreme Court Justices.”¹⁴ Senate Majority Leader Mitch McConnell has also stated that whomever President Trump nominates “will receive a vote on the floor of the United States.”¹⁵ Indeed, it is possible President Trump’s pick could receive a vote before the November election, less than 1.5 months away.¹⁶

There is an urgent need to inform the public about whomever President Trump may pick to fill the open Supreme Court seat. There is currently widespread media speculation regarding who the nominee may be, with numerous news articles pointing to the subject of this request, Judge Barbara Lagoa, as a leading contender.¹⁷ Accordingly, within a matter of hours, news reports began discussing Judge Lagoa’s background and legal record.¹⁸ Records responsive to this request will shed additional light on this topic, which is urgently needed in order to inform the public concerning Judge Lagoa’s potential nomination in advance of a confirmation hearing that could take place imminently. Indeed, if a request for records intended to inform the public regarding a potential lifetime appointment to the Supreme Court fails to qualify for expedited processing, it is difficult to imagine what would.

¹³ Stracqualursi & Acosta, *supra* note 1.

¹⁴ Donald J. Trump (@realDonaldTrump), Twitter (Sept. 19, 2020, 10:10 AM), <https://twitter.com/realDonaldTrump/status/1307321159113936896>.

¹⁵ Brooke Singman, *McConnell: Trump’s Supreme Court Nominee ‘Will Receive a Vote on the Floor of the United States Senate*, Fox News (Sept. 19, 2020), <https://www.foxnews.com/politics/mcconnell-supreme-court-nominee-vote-floor>.

¹⁶ Emily Cochran, *How Mitch McConnell Can Quickly Push Through Trump’s Supreme Court Nominee*, N.Y. Times (Sept. 20, 2020, 1:39 AM), <https://www.nytimes.com/2020/09/19/us/politics/mitch-mcconnell-trump-supreme-court.html>.

¹⁷ Stracqualursi & Acosta, *supra* note 1; Bennett et al., *supra* note 2; Baker & Haberman, *supra* note 2; Wingrove et al., *supra* note 2; Johnson & Keith, *supra* note 2.

¹⁸ *See, e.g.*, Semones, *supra* note 4; Vassolo, *supra* note 4; Kennedy & Wolf, *supra* note 4.

I further certify that American Oversight is primarily engaged in disseminating information to the public. American Oversight’s mission is to promote transparency in government, to educate the public about government activities, and to ensure the accountability of government officials. Similar to other organizations that have been found to satisfy the criteria necessary to qualify for expedition,¹⁹ American Oversight “gathers information of potential interest to a segment of the public, uses its editorial skills to turn the raw material into a distinct work, and distributes that work to an audience.”²⁰ American Oversight uses the information gathered, and its analysis of it, to educate the public through reports, press releases, and other media. American Oversight also makes materials it gathers available on its public website and promotes their availability on social media platforms, such as Facebook and Twitter.²¹ As discussed previously, American Oversight has demonstrated its commitment to the public disclosure of documents and creation of editorial content.²²

Accordingly, American Oversight’s request satisfies the criteria for expedition.

Guidance Regarding the Search & Processing of Requested Records

In connection with its request for records, American Oversight provides the following guidance regarding the scope of the records sought and the search and processing of records:

- Please search all locations and systems likely to have responsive records, regardless of format, medium, or physical characteristics. For instance, because the request seeks “communications,” please search all locations likely to contain communications, including relevant hard-copy files, correspondence files,

¹⁹ See *ACLU v. U.S. Dep’t of Justice*, 321 F. Supp. 2d 24, 30–31 (D.D.C. 2004); *EPIC v. Dep’t of Defense*, 241 F. Supp. 2d 5, 15 (D.D.C. 2003).

²⁰ *ACLU*, 321 F. Supp. 2d at 29 n.5 (quoting *EPIC*, 241 F. Supp. 2d at 11).

²¹ See *supra* note 6.

²² See generally *News*, American Oversight, <https://www.americanoversight.org/blog>; see, e.g., *Emails and Resume of Trump’s Pick to Head Government Personnel Office*, American Oversight, <https://www.americanoversight.org/emails-and-resume-of-trumps-pick-to-head-government-personnel-office>; *CDC Calendars from 2018 and 2019: Pandemic-Related Briefings and Meetings*, American Oversight, <https://www.americanoversight.org/cdc-calendars-from-2018-and-2019-pandemic-related-briefings-and-meetings>; *State Department Releases Ukraine Documents to American Oversight*, American Oversight, <https://www.americanoversight.org/state-department-releases-ukraine-documents-to-american-oversight>; *Documents Reveal Ben Carson Jr.’s Attempts to Use His Influence at HUD to Help His Business*, American Oversight, <https://www.americanoversight.org/documents-reveal-ben-carson-jr-s-attempts-to-use-his-influence-at-hud-to-help-his-business>; *Investigating the Trump Administration’s Efforts to Sell Nuclear Technology to Saudi Arabia*, American Oversight, <https://www.americanoversight.org/investigating-the-trump-administrations-efforts-to-sell-nuclear-technology-to-saudi-arabia>; *Sessions’ Letter Shows DOJ Acted On Trump’s Authoritarian Demand to Investigate Clinton*, American Oversight, <https://www.americanoversight.org/sessions-letter>.

appropriate locations on hard drives and shared drives, emails, text messages or other direct messaging systems (such as iMessage, WhatsApp, Signal, or Twitter direct messages), voicemail messages, instant messaging systems such as Lync or ICQ, and shared messages systems such as Slack.

- In conducting your search, please understand the terms “record,” “document,” and “information” in their broadest sense, to include any written, typed, recorded, graphic, printed, or audio material of any kind. We seek records of any kind, including electronic records, audiotapes, videotapes, and photographs, as well as letters, emails, facsimiles, telephone messages, voice mail messages, and transcripts, notes, or minutes of any meetings, telephone conversations, or discussions.
- Our request for records includes any attachments to those records or other materials enclosed with those records when they were previously transmitted. To the extent that an email is responsive to our request, our request includes all prior messages sent or received in that email chain, as well as any attachments to the email.
- Please search all relevant records or systems containing records regarding agency business. Do not exclude records regarding agency business contained in files, email accounts, or devices in the personal custody of your officials, such as personal email accounts or text messages. Records of official business conducted using unofficial systems or stored outside of official files are subject to the Federal Records Act and FOIA.²³ It is not adequate to rely on policies and procedures that require officials to move such information to official systems within a certain period of time; American Oversight has a right to records contained in those files even if material has not yet been moved to official systems or if officials have, by intent or through negligence, failed to meet their obligations.²⁴
- Please use all tools available to your agency to conduct a complete and efficient search for potentially responsive records. Agencies are subject to government-wide requirements to manage agency information electronically,²⁵ and many agencies have adopted the National Archives and Records Administration (NARA) Capstone program, or similar policies. These systems provide options

²³ See *Competitive Enter. Inst. v. Office of Sci. & Tech. Policy*, 827 F.3d 145, 149–50 (D.C. Cir. 2016); cf. *Judicial Watch, Inc. v. Kerry*, 844 F.3d 952, 955–56 (D.C. Cir. 2016).

²⁴ See *Competitive Enter. Inst. v. Office of Sci. & Tech. Policy*, No. 14-cv-765, slip op. at 8 (D.D.C. Dec. 12, 2016).

²⁵ Presidential Memorandum—Managing Government Records, 76 Fed. Reg. 75,423 (Nov. 28, 2011), <https://obamawhitehouse.archives.gov/the-press-office/2011/11/28/presidential-memorandum-managing-government-records>; Office of Mgmt. & Budget, Exec. Office of the President, Memorandum for the Heads of Executive Departments & Independent Agencies, “Managing Government Records Directive,” M-12-18 (Aug. 24, 2012), <https://www.archives.gov/files/records-mgmt/m-12-18.pdf>.

for searching emails and other electronic records in a manner that is reasonably likely to be more complete than just searching individual custodian files. For example, a custodian may have deleted a responsive email from his or her email program, but your agency's archiving tools may capture that email under Capstone. At the same time, custodian searches are still necessary; agencies may not have direct access to files stored in .PST files, outside of network drives, in paper format, or in personal email accounts.

- In the event some portions of the requested records are properly exempt from disclosure, please disclose any reasonably segregable non-exempt portions of the requested records. If a request is denied in whole, please state specifically why it is not reasonable to segregate portions of the record for release.
- Please take appropriate steps to ensure that records responsive to this request are not deleted by the agency before the completion of processing for this request. If records potentially responsive to this request are likely to be located on systems where they are subject to potential deletion, including on a scheduled basis, please take steps to prevent that deletion, including, as appropriate, by instituting a litigation hold on those records.

Conclusion

If you have any questions regarding how to construe this request for records or believe that further discussions regarding search and processing would facilitate a more efficient production of records of interest to American Oversight, please do not hesitate to contact American Oversight to discuss this request. American Oversight welcomes an opportunity to discuss its request with you before you undertake your search or incur search or duplication costs. By working together at the outset, American Oversight and your agency can decrease the likelihood of costly and time-consuming litigation in the future.

Where possible, please provide responsive material in an electronic format by email. Alternatively, please provide responsive material in native format or in PDF format on a USB drive. Please send any responsive material being sent by mail to American Oversight, 1030 15th Street NW, Suite B255, Washington, DC 20005. If it will accelerate release of responsive records to American Oversight, please also provide responsive material on a rolling basis.

We share a common mission to promote transparency in government. American Oversight looks forward to working with your agency on this request. If you do not understand any part of this request, please contact Katherine Anthony at foia@americanoversight.org or (202) 897-3918. Also, if American Oversight's request for expedition is not granted or its request for a fee waiver is not granted in full, please contact us immediately upon making such a determination.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink that reads "Austin R. Evers". The signature is fluid and cursive, with a long horizontal stroke extending to the left.

Austin R. Evers
Executive Director
American Oversight