



September 9, 2020

VIA EMAIL

Alexis R. Graves
Departmental FOIA Officer
1400 Independence Avenue SW
South Building
Room 4104
Washington, DC 20250-0706
USDAFOIA@ocio.usda.gov

Mark R. Brook
FOIA Officer
1400 Independence Avenue SW
South Building
2095
Washington, DC 20250
AMS.FOIA@ams.usda.gov

Re: Freedom of Information Act Request

Dear Ms. Graves and Mr. Brook:

Pursuant to the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA), 5 U.S.C. § 552, and the implementing regulations of your agency, 7 C.F.R. Part 1, American Oversight and the Union of Concerned Scientists make the following request for records.

On July 31, 2020, Fox News reported that as part of the U.S. Department of Agriculture's (USDA's) Farmers to Families Food Box program, the Trump administration, at the behest of the president's daughter and advisor, Ivanka Trump, planned to include a signed letter from the president in parcels of fresh food intended for those affected by the economic consequences of the coronavirus pandemic.¹ The letter contains instructions regarding mitigating the spread of the coronavirus that were similar to, but less stringent than, the

¹ John Roberts & Alex Papas, *Trump to Include Letter on Coronavirus Guidelines in Food Delivery Program for Those in Need*, Fox News, July 31, 2020, <https://www.foxnews.com/politics/trump-to-include-letter-on-coronavirus-guidelines-in-food-delivery-program-for-those-in-need>.



recommendations of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention.² Amid concerns over the ethical implications of the letter’s inclusion, members of Congress issued a letter to Secretary of Agriculture Sonny Perdue seeking information regarding the purpose, planning, and execution of this decision.³

American Oversight and the Union of Concerned Scientists submit this request to shed light on whether and to what extent political considerations have influenced the USDA’s administration of a federal food and farm assistance program, including whether they have imposed additional costs.

Requested Records

American Oversight and the Union of Concerned Scientists request that the USDA produce the following records within 20 business days:

All email communications (including email messages, complete email chains, email attachments, calendar invitations, and calendar invitation attachments) between any of the USDA officials listed in Column A and any of the White House officials or other parties listed in Column B, including, but not limited to, at the specified email addresses.

Column A: USDA Officials	Column B: White House Officials & Others
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Secretary Sonny Perdue, or anyone communicating on his behalf, such as a scheduler or assistant b. Deputy Secretary Stephen Censky c. Chief of Staff to the Secretary Joby Young d. Senior Advisor Rebeckah Adcock e. Anyone serving as White House Liaison or Advisor f. Under Secretary for Marketing and Regulatory Programs Greg Ibach g. Agricultural Marketing Service Administrator Bruce Summers 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Advisor to the President, Ivanka Trump (imt@who.eop.gov, or any emails ending in ijkfamily.com, trumporg.com, ivankatrump.com, or trump.com) b. Any assistants communicating on behalf of Ms. Trump, including but not limited to Julie Radford at julie.t.radford@who.eop.gov c. Executive Director of the White House Opportunity and Revitalization Council, Scott Turner d. Special Advisor to the Center for Faith and Opportunity Initiatives, Paula White, alternatively styled Paula White-Cain

² Rep. Marcia Fudge et al., Letter to Secretary of Agriculture Sonny Perdue, Aug. 14, 2020, https://fudge.house.gov/uploads/Letter%20to%20USDA%20on%20Farmers%20to%20Families%20Food%20Box%20Letter%20Signed%20By%20President%20Trump_08.14.20.pdf.

³ See *id.*

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> e. Anyone communicating from an email address ending in paulawhite.org, paulawhitecain.org, or paulawhitecain.org f. Anyone communicating from an email address ending in @donaldtrump.com, @victory.donaldtrump.com, or @donaldjtrump.com g. Anyone communicating from an email address ending in @gop.com or @rnchq.org
--	---

Please provide all responsive records from June 1, 2020, through August 21, 2020.

Fee Waiver Request

In accordance with 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(A)(iii) and your agency’s regulations, American Oversight and the Union of Concerned Scientists request a waiver of fees associated with processing this request for records. The subject of this request concerns the operations of the federal government, and the disclosures will likely contribute to a better understanding of relevant government procedures by the general public in a significant way. Moreover, the request is primarily and fundamentally for non-commercial purposes.

Requesters seek a waiver of fees because disclosure of the requested information is “in the public interest because it is likely to contribute significantly to public understanding of operations or activities of the government.”⁴ The public has a significant interest in the federal government’s response to the coronavirus pandemic. Records with the potential to shed light on this matter would contribute significantly to public understanding of operations of the federal government, including whether and to what extent political motives may be influencing the administration of a federal food assistance program or incurring additional costs to taxpayers.⁵ Requesters are committed to transparency and make the responses agencies provide to FOIA requests publicly available, and the public’s understanding of the government’s activities would be enhanced through our analysis and publication of these records.

This request is primarily and fundamentally for non-commercial purposes.⁶ As 501(c)(3) nonprofits, American Oversight and the Union of Concerned Scientists do not have commercial purposes and the release of the information requested is not in either organization’s financial interest.

⁴ 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(A)(iii).

⁵ See Fudge et al., *supra* note 2.

⁶ See 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(A)(iii).

American Oversight’s mission is to promote transparency in government, to educate the public about government activities, and to ensure the accountability of government officials. American Oversight uses the information gathered, and its analysis of it, to educate the public through reports, press releases, or other media. American Oversight also makes materials it gathers available on its public website and promotes their availability on social media platforms, such as Facebook and Twitter.⁷

American Oversight has also demonstrated its commitment to the public disclosure of documents and creation of editorial content through numerous substantive analyses posted to its website.⁸ Examples reflecting this commitment to the public disclosure of documents and the creation of editorial content include the posting of records related to an ethics waiver received by a senior Department of Justice attorney and an analysis of what those records demonstrated regarding the Department’s process for issuing such waivers;⁹ posting records received as part of American Oversight’s “Audit the Wall” project to gather and analyze information related to the administration’s proposed construction of a barrier along the U.S.-Mexico border, and analyses of what those records reveal;¹⁰ posting records regarding potential self-dealing at the Department of Housing & Urban Development and related analysis;¹¹ posting records and analysis relating to the federal government’s efforts to sell nuclear technology to Saudi Arabia;¹² and posting records and analysis regarding the Department of Justice’s decision in response to demands from Congress to direct a U.S. Attorney to undertake a wide-ranging review and make recommendations regarding criminal investigations relating to the President’s political

⁷ American Oversight currently has approximately 15,600 page likes on Facebook and 104,700 followers on Twitter. American Oversight, Facebook, <https://www.facebook.com/weareoversight/> (last visited Sep. 1, 2020); American Oversight (@weareoversight), Twitter, <https://twitter.com/weareoversight> (last visited Sep. 1, 2020).

⁸ News, American Oversight, <https://www.americanoversight.org/blog>.

⁹ *DOJ Records Relating to Solicitor General Noel Francisco’s Recusal*, American Oversight, <https://www.americanoversight.org/document/doj-civil-division-response-noel-francisco-compliance>; *Francisco & the Travel Ban: What We Learned from the DOJ Documents*, American Oversight, <https://www.americanoversight.org/francisco-the-travel-ban-what-we-learned-from-the-doj-documents>.

¹⁰ See generally *Audit the Wall*, American Oversight, <https://www.americanoversight.org/investigation/audit-the-wall>; see, e.g., *Border Wall Investigation Report: No Plans, No Funding, No Timeline, No Wall*, American Oversight, <https://www.americanoversight.org/border-wall-investigation-report-no-plans-no-funding-no-timeline-no-wall>.

¹¹ *Documents Reveal Ben Carson Jr.’s Attempts to Use His Influence at HUD to Help His Business*, American Oversight, <https://www.americanoversight.org/documents-reveal-ben-carson-jr-s-attempts-to-use-his-influence-at-hud-to-help-his-business>.

¹² *Investigating the Trump Administration’s Efforts to Sell Nuclear Technology to Saudi Arabia*, American Oversight, <https://www.americanoversight.org/investigating-the-trump-administrations-efforts-to-sell-nuclear-technology-to-saudi-arabia>.

opponents and allegations of misconduct by the Department of Justice itself and the Federal Bureau of Investigation.¹³

The Union of Concerned Scientists (UCS) is a science-based public interest organization with more than 500,000 supporters—including parents, businesspeople, scientists, teachers, and students—throughout the United States. To help further its work of using sound scientific analysis—not political calculations or corporate hype—to create a healthy, safe, and sustainable future, as well as promote scientific integrity in government science, UCS seeks to provide its members and activists, as well as the general public, up-to-date information, news, and commentary on various aspects of science policy.

UCS consistently publishes in-depth reports on topics of critical interest.¹⁴ It also publishes newsletters, fact sheets, blogs and other publications in print and electronic form. Moreover, UCS serves as a resource for the media and testifies before Congress, including on issues related to scientific integrity.

UCS maintains a public website, www.ucsusa.org, with science-policy related information and news. Its website is visited an average of 15,000 times each day. In March of 2015, UCS had over one million unique visitors to its website. Visitors to the website include scientists, teachers, businesspeople, federal and state officials, and other concerned citizens. Moreover, information posted on UCS's website is often linked to websites of other organizations. UCS also has a blog, available at blog.ucsusa.org, and is active on Facebook and Twitter.

UCS has a long history of successfully working with the news media to hold government officials and agencies accountable. For example, UCS staff possess detailed knowledge of political interference in science at the EPA.¹⁵ Its work in this area has been the subject of major congressional hearings¹⁶ and news coverage.¹⁷

¹³ *Sessions' Letter Shows DOJ Acted on Trump's Authoritarian Demand to Investigate Clinton*, American Oversight, <https://www.americanoversight.org/sessions-letter>.

¹⁴ Visit www.ucsusa.org for numerous examples of reports published on a variety of topics.

¹⁵ See <http://www.ucsusa.org/our-work/center-science-and-democracy/promoting-scientific-integrity/interference-at-the-epa.html> for more information.

¹⁶ See *Allegations of Political Interference with the Work of Government Climate Change Scientists: Hearing Before the H. Comm. on Oversight & Gov. Reform*, 110th Cong. (2007), <http://www.gpo.gov/fdsys/pkg/CHRG-110hhrg34913/html/CHRG-110hhrg34913.htm>.

¹⁷ See, e.g., Dina Cappillo, *Groups to EPA: Stop Muzzling Science Advisers*, San Diego Union-Tribune (Aug. 23, 2014, 10:44 AM), <https://www.sandiegouniontribune.com/sdut-groups-accuse-epa-of-muzzling-outside-advisers-2014aug12-story.html>; Christopher Lee, *Scientists Report Political Interference*, Wash. Post, Apr. 24, 2008, <http://www.washingtonpost.com/wp-dyn/content/article/2008/04/23/AR2008042303074.html>.

Accordingly, American Oversight and the Union of Concerned Scientists qualify for a fee waiver.

Guidance Regarding the Search & Processing of Requested Records

In connection with its request for records, Requesters provides the following guidance regarding the scope of the records sought and the search and processing of records:

- Our request for records includes any attachments to those records or other materials enclosed with those records when they were previously transmitted. To the extent that an email is responsive to our request, our request includes all prior messages sent or received in that email chain, as well as any attachments to the email.
- Please search all relevant records or systems containing records regarding agency business. Do not exclude records regarding agency business contained in files, email accounts, or devices in the personal custody of your officials, such as personal email accounts. Records of official business conducted using unofficial systems or stored outside of official files are subject to the Federal Records Act and FOIA.¹⁸ It is not adequate to rely on policies and procedures that require officials to move such information to official systems within a certain period of time; American Oversight and the Union of Concerned Scientists have a right to records contained in those files even if material has not yet been moved to official systems or if officials have, by intent or through negligence, failed to meet their obligations.¹⁹
- Please use all tools available to your agency to conduct a complete and efficient search for potentially responsive records. Agencies are subject to government-wide requirements to manage agency information electronically,²⁰ and many agencies have adopted the National Archives and Records Administration (NARA) Capstone program, or similar policies. These systems provide options for searching emails and other electronic records in a manner that is reasonably likely to be more complete than just searching individual custodian files. For example, a custodian may have deleted a responsive email from his or her email program, but

¹⁸ See *Competitive Enter. Inst. v. Office of Sci. & Tech. Policy*, 827 F.3d 145, 149–50 (D.C. Cir. 2016); cf. *Judicial Watch, Inc. v. Kerry*, 844 F.3d 952, 955–56 (D.C. Cir. 2016).

¹⁹ See *Competitive Enter. Inst. v. Office of Sci. & Tech. Policy*, No. 14-cv-765, slip op. at 8 (D.D.C. Dec. 12, 2016).

²⁰ Presidential Memorandum—Managing Government Records, 76 Fed. Reg. 75,423 (Nov. 28, 2011), <https://obamawhitehouse.archives.gov/the-press-office/2011/11/28/presidential-memorandum-managing-government-records>; Office of Mgmt. & Budget, Exec. Office of the President, Memorandum for the Heads of Executive Departments & Independent Agencies, “Managing Government Records Directive,” M-12-18 (Aug. 24, 2012), <https://www.archives.gov/files/records-mgmt/m-12-18.pdf>.

your agency's archiving tools may capture that email under Capstone. At the same time, custodian searches are still necessary; agencies may not have direct access to files stored in .PST files, outside of network drives, in paper format, or in personal email accounts.

- In the event some portions of the requested records are properly exempt from disclosure, please disclose any reasonably segregable non-exempt portions of the requested records. If a request is denied in whole, please state specifically why it is not reasonable to segregate portions of the record for release.
- Please take appropriate steps to ensure that records responsive to this request are not deleted by the agency before the completion of processing for this request. If records potentially responsive to this request are likely to be located on systems where they are subject to potential deletion, including on a scheduled basis, please take steps to prevent that deletion, including, as appropriate, by instituting a litigation hold on those records.

Conclusion

If you have any questions regarding how to construe this request for records or believe that further discussions regarding search and processing would facilitate a more efficient production of records of interest to Requesters, please do not hesitate to contact us to discuss this request. We welcome an opportunity to discuss its request with you before you undertake your search or incur search or duplication costs. By working together at the outset, American Oversight, the Union of Concerned Scientists, and your agency can decrease the likelihood of costly and time-consuming litigation in the future.

Where possible, please provide responsive material in an electronic format by email. Alternatively, please provide responsive material in native format or in PDF format on a USB drive. Please send any responsive material being sent by mail to American Oversight, 1030 15th Street NW, Suite B255, Washington, DC 20005. If it will accelerate release of responsive records to American Oversight, please also provide responsive material on a rolling basis.

We share a common mission to promote transparency in government. We look forward to working with your agency on this request. If you do not understand any part of this request, please contact Emma Lewis at foia@americanoversight.org or 202.919.6303. Also, if American Oversight and the Union of Concerned Scientists' request for a fee

waiver is not granted in full, please contact us immediately upon making such a determination.

Sincerely,



Austin R. Evers
Executive Director
American Oversight



Ricardo J. Salvador
Director and Senior Scientist
Food and Environment Program
Union of Concerned Scientists