

October 26, 2021

VIA EMAIL

Research, Education and Economics (REE) Agricultural Research Service U.S. Department of Agriculture 5601 Sunnyside Avenue Stop 5128 Beltsville, MD 20705-5128 REEFOIA@ars.usda.gov

Re: Freedom of Information Act Request

Dear FOIA Officer:

Pursuant to the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA), 5 U.S.C. § 552, and the implementing regulations of the Agricultural Research Service (ARS), 7 C.F.R. Part 510, American Oversight and Union of Concerned Scientists (UCS) (collectively Requesters) make the following request for records.

Each year the Office of Personnel Management (OPM) administers the Federal Employee Viewpoint Survey (FEVS) to employees across federal government departments and agencies. FEVS collects information about employee views of their workplace and their satisfaction with their jobs. OPM publicly shares survey responses identified by agency (e.g., the U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA)) on its website. In some survey years and for some agencies, the publicly available data on OPM's website provides survey responses that are identified by the employee's sub-agency or work unit. In other survey years and for some respondent agencies, the employee's sub-agency or work unit is not provided in these publicly available data.

UCS requested data with sub-agency and work-unit identifiers from OPM on July 6, 2021, and was instructed to contact specific agency officials to obtain these data (see attached email communications).² Agency officials with whom UCS communicated—specifically those at USDA—instructed UCS to submit a FOIA request to obtain these data.³ In accordance with these instructions, Requesters hereby submit this FOIA request.

Requested Records

Requesters ask that the ARS produce the following records within twenty business days:

¹ Office of Personnel Management, Federal Employee Viewpoint Survey, https://www.opm.gov/fevs/ (last visited Oct. 20, 2021).

² See Exhibit A.

³ See Exhibit B.

All FEVS data, including data reflecting the agency and sub-agency or work unit of respondents,⁴ for any respondent completing the FEVS from 2012–2020 who were identified as working at USDA's Economic Research Service (ERS) or National Institute of Food and Agriculture (NIFA). To the extent ARS maintains these data in aggregated form, such as in a spreadsheet or within a database or other format that can be readily exported to a spreadsheet, Requesters ask for responsive data in such format. Requesters also ask for any corresponding data dictionary, code book, translation files, or other guide to variables or abbreviations of data fields.

Requesters do not object to the redaction of respondent names or other personal identifying information. However, if the agency is unable to perform sufficient redactions to address privacy or confidentiality issues, Requesters will also accept tabulations of all FEVS questions/items and corresponding responses from 2012–2020 for ERS and NIFA respondents at the sub-agency or work-unit level.

Fee Waiver Request

In accordance with 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(A)(iii) and your agency's regulations, American Oversight and UCS request a waiver of fees associated with processing this request for records. The subject of this request concerns the operations of the federal government, and the disclosures will likely contribute to a better understanding of relevant government procedures by the general public in a significant way. Moreover, the request is primarily and fundamentally for non-commercial purposes.

Requesters seek a waiver of fees because disclosure of the requested information is "in the public interest because it is likely to contribute significantly to public understanding of operations or activities of the government." The public has a significant interest in understanding how effective government agencies and scientists are at carrying out their mission and duties. The requested data will shed light on how satisfied and effective USDA researchers and employees who support government-funded research have been over the time period under study. Requesters are committed to transparency and will make the responses ARS provides publicly available, and the public's understanding of the government's activities will be enhanced through our analysis and publication of these records.

Specifically, UCS intends to publish a peer-reviewed journal article that will demonstrate changes in the workforce and job satisfaction among ERS and NIFA employees from 2012 to

https://bestplacestowork.org/rankings/detail/?c=AG22 (last visited Oct. 20, 2021); Partnership for Public Service, Economic Research Service,

https://bestplacestowork.org/rankings/detail/?c=AG18 (last visited Oct. 20, 2021). Consequently, we believe responsive records reflecting the data specified exist, and we expect that USDA can fulfill this request.

⁴ Other outside groups, such as the Partnership for Public Service, have access to these data and publish their own tabulations of some FEVS questions using sub-agency or work-unit level identifiers. These data are available on their website. *See* Partnership for Public Service, National Institute of Food and Agriculture,

⁵ 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(A)(iii).

2020. UCS is particularly interested in evaluating trends in ERS and NIFA employee workplace views and job satisfaction over this time because of the changes occurring at both agencies during the prior administration's relocation of them from Kansas City, MO.⁶ UCS's analyses will inform efforts to better protect federal scientists, as well as their agencies' scientific endeavors and priorities, from political attacks in the future. American Oversight will make the data received from ARS available publicly on its website so that the public has full access to them.

This request is primarily and fundamentally for non-commercial purposes. As 501(c)(3) nonprofits, American Oversight and UCS do not have a commercial purpose and the release of the information requested is not in our financial interest.

American Oversight's mission is to promote transparency in government, to educate the public about government activities, and to ensure the accountability of government officials. American Oversight uses the information gathered, and its analysis of it, to educate the public through reports, press releases, or other media. American Oversight also makes materials it gathers available on its public website and promotes their availability on social media platforms, such as Facebook and Twitter.⁸

American Oversight has also demonstrated its commitment to the public disclosure of documents and creation of editorial content through regular substantive analyses posted to its website. Examples reflecting this commitment to the public disclosure of documents and the creation of editorial content include the posting of records related to the Trump Administration's contacts with Ukraine and analyses of those contacts; 10 posting records and editorial content about the federal government's response to the Coronavirus pandemic; 11 posting records received as part of American Oversight's "Audit the Wall" project to gather and analyze information related to the administration's proposed construction of a barrier along the U.S.-Mexico border, and analyses of what those records reveal; 12 the posting of

⁶ Brian Lowry & Steve Vockrodt, *More Than a Year After USDA Move to Kansas City, Hundreds of Positions Remain Vacant*, Kan. City Star, Apr. 25, 2021, https://www.kansascity.com/news/politics-government/article250889644.html.

⁷ See 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(A)(iii).

⁸ American Oversight currently has approximately 15,600 page likes on Facebook and 108,800 followers on Twitter. American Oversight, Facebook,

https://www.facebook.com/weareoversight/ (last visited Oct. 18, 2021); American Oversight (@weareoversight), Twitter, https://twitter.com/weareoversight (last visited Oct. 18, 2021).

⁹ See generally News, American Oversight, https://www.americanoversight.org/blog.

¹⁰ Trump Administration's Contacts with Ukraine, American Oversight, https://www.americanoversight.org/investigation/the-trump-administrations-contacts-with-ukraine.

¹¹ See generally The Trump Administration's Response to Coronavirus, American Oversight, https://www.americanoversight.org/investigation/the-trump-administrations-response-to-coronavirus; see, e.g., CDC Calendars from 2018 and 2019: Pandemic-Related Briefings and Meetings, American Oversight, https://www.americanoversight.org/cdc-calendars-from-2018-and-2019-pandemic-related-briefings-and-meetings.

¹² See generally Audit the Wall, American Oversight, https://www.americanoversight.org/investigation/audit-the-wall; see, e.g., Border Wall

records related to an ethics waiver received by a senior Department of Justice attorney and an analysis of what those records demonstrated regarding the Department's process for issuing such waivers;¹³ and posting records and analysis of federal officials' use of taxpayer dollars to charter private aircraft or use government planes for unofficial business.¹⁴

UCS is a science-based public interest organization with more than 500,000 supporters—including parents, businesspeople, scientists, teachers, and students—throughout the United States. To help further its work of using sound scientific analysis—not political calculations or corporate hype—to create a healthy, safe, and sustainable future, as well as promote scientific integrity in government science, UCS seeks to provide its members and activists, as well as the general public, up-to-date information, news, and commentary on various aspects of science policy.

UCS consistently publishes in-depth reports on topics of critical interest.¹⁵ It also publishes newsletters, fact sheets, blogs, and other publications in print and electronic form. Moreover, UCS serves as a resource for the media and testifies before Congress, including on issues related to scientific integrity.

UCS maintains a public website, www.ucsusa.org, with science-policy related information and news. Its website is visited an average of 15,000 times each day. In May 2021, UCS had nearly one million unique visitors to its website. Visitors to the website include scientists, teachers, businesspeople, federal and state officials, and other concerned citizens. Moreover, information posted on UCS's website is often linked to websites of other organizations. UCS also has a blog, available at blog.ucsusa.org, and is active on Facebook and Twitter.

UCS has a long history of successfully working with the news media to hold government officials and agencies accountable. For example, UCS staff possess detailed knowledge of political interference in science at the EPA.¹⁶ Its work in this area has been the subject of major

Investigation Report: No Plans, No Funding, No Timeline, No Wall, American Oversight, https://www.americanoversight.org/border-wall-investigation-report-no-plans-no-funding-no-timeline-no-wall.

¹³ DOJ Records Relating to Solicitor General Noel Francisco's Recusal, American Oversight, https://www.americanoversight.org/document/doj-civil-division-response-noel-francisco-compliance; Francisco & the Travel Ban: What We Learned from the DOJ Documents, American Oversight, https://www.americanoversight.org/francisco-the-travel-ban-what-we-learned-from-the-doj-documents.

¹⁴ See generally Swamp Airlines: Chartered Jets at Taxpayer Expense, American Oversight, https://www.americanoversight.org/investigation/swamp-airlines-private-jets-taxpayer-expense; see, e.g., New Information on Pompeo's 2017 Trips to His Home State, American Oversight, https://www.americanoversight.org/new-information-on-pompeos-2017-trips-to-his-home-state.

¹⁵ Visit <u>www.ucsusa.org</u> for numerous examples of reports published on a variety of different topics.

¹⁶ See generally Interference at the EPA: Science and Politics at the Environmental Protection Agency, Union of Concerned Scientists, http://www.ucsusa.org/our-work/center-science-and-democracy/promoting-scientific-integrity/interference-at-the-epa.html for more information.

congressional hearings¹⁷ and news coverage¹⁸.

Accordingly, American Oversight and UCS qualify for a fee waiver.

Guidance Regarding the Search & Processing of Requested Records

In connection with its request for records, Requesters provide the following guidance regarding the scope of the records sought and the search and processing of records:

- Please search all locations and systems likely to have responsive records, regardless of format, medium, or physical characteristics.
- In conducting your search, please understand the terms "record," "document," and "information" in their broadest sense, to include any written, typed, recorded, graphic, printed, or audio material of any kind. We seek records of any kind, including electronic records, audiotapes, videotapes, and photographs, as well as letters, emails, facsimiles, telephone messages, voice mail messages and transcripts, notes, or minutes of any meetings, telephone conversations or discussions.
- Please search all relevant records or systems containing records regarding agency business. Do not exclude records regarding agency business contained in files, email accounts, or devices in the personal custody of your officials, such as personal email accounts or text messages. Records of official business conducted using unofficial systems or stored outside of official files are subject to the Federal Records Act and FOIA.¹⁹ It is not adequate to rely on policies and procedures that require officials to move such information to official systems within a certain period of time; Requesters have a right to records contained in those files even if material has not yet been moved to official systems or if officials have, by intent or through negligence, failed to meet their obligations.²⁰
- Please use all tools available to your agency to conduct a complete and efficient search
 for potentially responsive records. Agencies are subject to government-wide
 requirements to manage agency information electronically,²¹ and many agencies have

¹⁷ See Allegations of Political Interference with the Work of Government Climate Change Scientists: Hearing Before the H. Comm. on Oversight & Gov. Reform, 110th Cong. (2007), http://www.gpo.gov/fdsys/pkg/CHRG-110hhrg34913/html/CHRG-110hhrg34913.htm.

18 See, e.g., Dina Cappillo, Groups to EPA: Stop Muzzling Science Advisers, San Diego Union-Tribune (Aug. 23, 2014, 10:44 AM), https://www.sandiegouniontribune.com/sdut-groups-accuse-epa-of-muzzling-outside-advisers-2014aug12-story.html; Christopher Lee, Scientists Report Political Interference, Wash. Post, Apr. 24, 2008, http://www.washingtonpost.com/wp-dyn/content/article/2008/04/23/AR2008042303074.html.

¹⁹ See Competitive Enter. Inst. v. Office of Sci. & Tech. Policy, 827 F.3d 145, 149–50 (D.C. Cir. 2016); cf. Judicial Watch, Inc. v. Kerry, 844 F.3d 952, 955–56 (D.C. Cir. 2016).
²⁰ See Competitive Enter. Inst. v. Office of Sci. & Tech. Policy, No. 14-cv-765, slip op. at 8 (D.D.C. Dec. 12, 2016).

²¹ Presidential Memorandum—Managing Government Records, 76 Fed. Reg. 75,423 (Nov. 28, 2011), https://obamawhitehouse.archives.gov/the-press-office/2011/11/28/presidential-

adopted the National Archives and Records Administration (NARA) Capstone program, or similar policies. These systems provide options for searching emails and other electronic records in a manner that is reasonably likely to be more complete than just searching individual custodian files. For example, a custodian may have deleted a responsive email from his or her email program, but your agency's archiving tools may capture that email under Capstone. At the same time, custodian searches are still necessary; agencies may not have direct access to files stored in .PST files, outside of network drives, in paper format, or in personal email accounts.

- In the event some portions of the requested records are properly exempt from disclosure, please disclose any reasonably segregable non-exempt portions of the requested records. If a request is denied in whole, please state specifically why it is not reasonable to segregate portions of the record for release.
- Please take appropriate steps to ensure that records responsive to this request are not deleted by the agency before the completion of processing for this request. If records potentially responsive to this request are likely to be located on systems where they are subject to potential deletion, including on a scheduled basis, please take steps to prevent that deletion, including, as appropriate, by instituting a litigation hold on those records.

Conclusion

If you have any questions regarding how to construe this request for records or believe that further discussions regarding search and processing would facilitate a more efficient production of records of interest to Requesters, please do not hesitate to contact us to discuss this request. We welcome an opportunity to discuss its request with you before you undertake your search or incur search or duplication costs. By working together at the outset, American Oversight, UCS, and your agency can decrease the likelihood of costly and time-consuming litigation in the future.

Where possible, please provide responsive material in an electronic format by email. Alternatively, please provide responsive material in native format or in PDF format on a USB drive. Please send any responsive material being sent by mail to American Oversight, 1030 15th Street NW, Suite B255, Washington, DC 20005. If it will accelerate release of responsive records to Requesters, please also provide responsive material on a rolling basis.

We share a common mission to promote transparency in government. We look forward to working with your agency on this request. If you do not understand any part of this request, please contact Rachel Baron at foia@americanoversight.org or 202.897.2465. Also, if American

memorandum-managing-government-records; Office of Mgmt. & Budget, Exec. Office of the President, Memorandum for the Heads of Executive Departments & Independent Agencies, "Managing Government Records Directive," M-12-18 (Aug. 24, 2012), https://www.archives.gov/files/records-mgmt/m-12-18.pdf.

Oversight and UCS's request for a fee waiver is not granted in full, please contact us immediately upon making such a determination.

Sincerely,

Austin R. Evers Executive Director

American Oversight

Ricardo J. Salvador

Ricardo J. Saluda

Director and Senior Scientist

Food and Environment Program

Union of Concerned Scientists

EXHIBIT A

From: EVS Internet EVS.Internet@opm.gov Subject: RE: Question about FEVS sub-agency data

Date: August 3, 2021 at 1:00 PM

To: Rebecca Boehm RBoehm@ucsusa.org

Cc: Charlotte Kirk Baer CBaer@ucsusa.org, Marcia DeLonge MDeLonge@ucsusa.org

Hello,

That is correct. You would have to reach out to USDA's FEVS point of contact and request a copy of their reports.

Maureen Gardner

Maureen.Gardner@usda.gov

Karen.Comfort

Karen.Comfort@usda.gov

Thanks,

The OPM FEVS Team

From: Rebecca Boehm < RBoehm@ucsusa.org>

Sent: Friday, July 30, 2021 10:12 AM **To:** EVS Internet <EVS.Internet@opm.gov>

Cc: Charlotte Kirk Baer < CBaer@ucsusa.org>; Marcia DeLonge

<MDeLonge@ucsusa.org>

Subject: RE: Question about FEVS sub-agency data

Hi there.

Thanks so much for your reply! I understand the data disclosure issues now, thank you.

Just to verify, you're saying that if we wanted some tabulations for USDA's Economic Research Service and National Institute of Food and Agriculture, for all the years for which data are available, we'd have to ask their HR office/CHCO? There I no way you can give us a special tabulation for these two sub-agencies within USDA? What I'm asking for is basically what Partnership for Public Service has on their website, but for many years and for all the questions in the survey.

Thanks, Rebecca

From: EVS Internet < <u>EVS.Internet@opm.gov</u>>

Sent: Thursday, July 22, 2021 4:48 PM

To: Rebecca Boehm < RBoehm@ucsusa.org>

Subject: RE: Question about FEVS sub-agency data

Hello,

The difference is that the Public Release Data File contains raw data (individual participant responses) whereas the Partnership for Public Service received summarized results (percentages and counts). The risk of a data disclosure and reidentification with summarized results is much, much less than with actual survey records. If there's a request for survey results for USDA, our first recommendation is to talk to their HR office/CHCO and ask for the results.

For the raw data, protecting privacy means cutting identifying information where there are

small subgroups that are at particular risk for having their survey responses disclosed. The particulars vary year-to-year; for 2020 we included additional demographics which mean we had to cut out lower level work units and increase the size threshold from 300 to 750. There's always a tug-of-war between demographic and work unit information because those two pieces of info are all you need to identify someone. One thing that typically isn't mentioned with data disclosure is that there's a risk that someone could assume that an individual record they're looking it is one person when it's actually someone else who has the same combination of work unit and demographics – it's possible the person they think they're looking at didn't even participate! Part of protecting participant privacy is to make sure that the combination of work unit and demographics sums to a total number (a "cell") of at least 10 respondents so that there's no way to know who is who. To hit that threshold of 10 means we have to mask data by setting it to missing. We might mask a person's minority status or sex or age or some combination of the demographics that we include in the public data. After we do all this masking, we go back and look at work units where a lot of demographic data is stripped out and make a subjective decision whether or not to keep the work unit identifier; if we ditch the work unit it typically means we can keep more demographic information. That's the tug-of-war we face.

Thanks,

The OPM FEVS Team

From: Rebecca Boehm < RBoehm@ucsusa.org>

Sent: Friday, July 9, 2021 12:50 PM

To: EVS Internet < <u>EVS.Internet@opm.gov</u>>

Subject: RE: Question about FEVS sub-agency data

Hi again,

Just wanted to see if you were able to track down the reason that PPS had these data but they aren't publicly available otherwise? Would really love an answer and/or be able to access the data for specific USDA sub-agencies.

Thanks, Rebecca

From: Rebecca Boehm

Sent: Tuesday, July 6, 2021 4:50 PM

To: EVS Internet < <u>EVS.Internet@opm.gov</u>>

Subject: RE: Question about FEVS sub-agency data

Hi there.

Thanks for your fast reply. So you do have some sub-agency data at USDA, but not all the agencies. Can you clarify about the privacy/confidentiality issues? And if that is the case, how did Partnership for Public Service get these data? FOIA request?

Thanks, Rebecca From: EVS Internet < EVS.Internet@opm.gov>

Sent: Tuesday, July 6, 2021 4:49 PM

To: Rebecca Boehm < RBoehm@ucsusa.org>

Subject: RE: Question about FEVS sub-agency data

Hello.

You are correct. For privacy and confidentiality considerations, we do not release subagency information.

Please let us know if you have further questions.

The OPM FEVS Team

From: Rebecca Boehm < RBoehm@ucsusa.org>

Sent: Tuesday, July 6, 2021 1:46 PM

To: EVS Internet < <u>EVS.Internet@opm.gov</u>> **Subject:** Question about FEVS sub-agency data

Hi there.

I have just downloaded all the data you have on your website here: https://www.opm.gov/fevs/public-data-file/. However, I noticed that in the data files some sub-agencies are missing, even though I see their data reported here: https://bestplacestowork.org/rankings/detail/?c=AG18. For example, I'm trying to find data for employees in the Economic Research Service. Unfortunately I don't see those data available in the public data file page, but again I see them on the bestplacestowork.org page. Is some of the sub-agency data not publicly available for certain agencies? Or am I looking in the wrong place for the data?

Thanks for your help!

Rebecca Boehm, PhD Economist She/Her

Union of Concerned Scientists | 1825 K Street NW, Suite 800 | Washington, DC 20006

Phone: 202-331-5446 | Email: rboehm@ucsusa.org

The Union of Concerned Scientists puts rigorous, independent science to work to solve our planet's most pressing problems. Joining with people across the country, we combine technical analysis and effective advocacy to create innovative, practical solutions for a healthy, safe, and sustainable future.

<u>www.ucsusa.org</u> | Join our <u>action network</u> or <u>expert network</u> | <u>Support our work</u>. Join the conversation on our blogs: <u>The Equation</u> and <u>All Things Nuclear</u>; or follow UCS on <u>Facebook</u>, <u>Twitter</u>, and <u>Instagram</u>.

EXHIBIT B

From: Hanson, Katina - NIFA, Kansas City, MO katina.hanson@usda.gov &

Subject: RE: [External Email]FW: Question about FEVS sub-agency data

Date: September 2, 2021 at 6:14 PM

To: Rebecca Boehm RBoehm@ucsusa.org, Gardner, Maureen - DA-OHRM, Washington, DC Maureen.Gardner@usda.gov,

Comfort, Karen - AMS Karen.Comfort@usda.gov

Cc: Charlotte Kirk Baer CBaer@ucsusa.org, Marcia DeLonge MDeLonge@ucsusa.org

Hi Rebecca,

After reviewing your request and discussing internal, FOIA is the best route for you to request the data you are seeking due to the scope and potential Personal Identification Information involved. Information about submitting a FOIA request is available at https://nifa.usda.gov/foia. Thank you for your patience as we reviewed your request.

Take Care, Katina

Katina Dove Hanson
Senior Operations and Policy Officer
Office of the Director
National Institute of Food and Agriculture
U.S. Department of Agriculture
805 Pennsylvania Avenue
Kansas City, MO 64105
(816) 372-3840



From: Rebecca Boehm <RBoehm@ucsusa.org> Sent: Thursday, September 2, 2021 3:20 PM

To: Hanson, Katina - NIFA, Kansas City, MO <katina.hanson@usda.gov>; Gardner, Maureen - DA-OHRM, Washington, DC <Maureen.Gardner@usda.gov>; Comfort, Karen - AMS <Karen.Comfort@usda.gov>

Cc: Charlotte Kirk Baer < CBaer@ucsusa.org>; Marcia DeLonge

<MDeLonge@ucsusa.org>

Subject: Re: [External Email]FW: Question about FEVS sub-agency data

Hi Katina and all,

Just bumping this email back up. We are really excited to get FEVS data for both ERS and NIFA.

Thanks.

Rebecca Boehm, PhD

Senior Economist, Food and Environment

Union of Concerned Scientists

1825 K Street NW, Suite 800

Washington, DC 20006

202-331-5446

rboehm@ucsusa.org

<u>www.ucsusa.org</u> | Join our <u>action network</u> or <u>expert network</u> | <u>Support our work</u>. Join the conversation on the UCS blog

From: Rebecca Boehm

Sent: Wednesday, August 11, 2021 5:17 PM

To: Hanson, Katina - NIFA, Kansas City, MO < <u>katina.hanson@usda.gov</u>>; Gardner, Maureen - DA-OHRM, Washington, DC < <u>Maureen.Gardner@usda.gov</u>>; Comfort, Karen

- AMS < Karen.Comfort@usda.gov >

Cc: Charlotte Kirk Baer < CBaer@ucsusa.org>; Marcia DeLonge

<MDeLonge@ucsusa.org>

Subject: RE: [External Email]FW: Question about FEVS sub-agency data

Hi Katina,

If possible, we would like tabulations for all data fields from the survey, for all available years, for NIFA and ERS. I presume I'll need to reach out to someone else for ERS data?

Thanks and apologies if I was meant to have provided this information earlier!

Thanks, Rebecca

Rebecca Boehm, PhD Senior Economist She/Her

Union of Concerned Scientists | 1825 K Street NW, Suite 800 | Washington, DC 20006 Phone: 202-331-5446 | Email: rboehm@ucsusa.org

The Union of Concerned Scientists puts rigorous, independent science to work to solve our planet's most pressing problems. Joining with people across the country, we combine technical analysis and effective advocacy to create innovative, practical solutions for a healthy, safe, and sustainable future.

<u>www.ucsusa.org</u> | Join our <u>action network</u> or <u>expert network</u> | <u>Support our work</u>.

Join the conversation on our blogs: <u>The Equation</u> and <u>All Things Nuclear</u>; or follow UCS on <u>Facebook</u>, <u>Twitter</u>, and <u>Instagram</u>.

From: Hanson, Katina - NIFA, Kansas City, MO < katina.hanson@usda.gov>

Sent: Wednesday, August 11, 2021 5:09 PM

To: Rebecca Boehm < RBoehm@ucsusa.org >; Gardner, Maureen - DA-OHRM,

Washington, DC < Maureen.Gardner@usda.gov >; Comfort, Karen - AMS

< Karen. Comfort@usda.gov >

Cc: Charlotte Kirk Baer < CBaer@ucsusa.org>; Marcia DeLonge

<<pre><MDeLonge@ucsusa.org>

Subject: RE: [External Email]FW: Question about FEVS sub-agency data

Hi Rebecca,

Sorry if this is a repeat ask. Can you please tell me exactly what data fields you are requesting and years, and I will see what we have and can provide?

Thanks, Katina

Katina Dove Hanson
Senior Operations and Policy Officer
Office of the Director
National Institute of Food and Agriculture
U.S. Department of Agriculture
805 Pennsylvania Avenue
Kansas City, MO 64105
(816) 372-3840



From: Rebecca Boehm < RBoehm@ucsusa.org > Sent: Wednesday, August 11, 2021 3:31 PM

To: Gardner, Maureen - DA-OHRM, Washington, DC < Maureen. Gardner@usda.gov>;

Comfort, Karen - AMS < Karen.Comfort@usda.gov >

Cc: Charlotte Kirk Baer < CBaer@ucsusa.org>; Marcia DeLonge < MDeLonge@ucsusa.org>; Hanson, Katina - NIFA, Kansas City, MO

<katina.hanson@usda.gov>

Subject: RE: [External Email]FW: Question about FEVS sub-agency data

Hi Katina,

Just looping back on this request. Thank you!

Ract

Rebecca

Rebecca Boehm, PhD Senior Economist She/Her

Union of Concerned Scientists | 1825 K Street NW, Suite 800 | Washington, DC 20006

Phone: 202-331-5446 | Email: rboehm@ucsusa.org

The Union of Concerned Scientists puts rigorous, independent science to work to solve our planet's most pressing problems. Joining with people across the country, we combine technical analysis and effective advocacy to create innovative, practical solutions for a healthy, safe, and sustainable future.

<u>www.ucsusa.org</u> | Join our <u>action network</u> or <u>expert network</u> | <u>Support our work</u>.

Join the conversation on our blogs: <u>The Equation</u> and <u>All Things Nuclear</u>; or follow UCS on <u>Facebook</u>, Twitter, and Instagram.

From: Rebecca Boehm < RBoehm@ucsusa.org>

Sent: Wednesday, August 4, 2021 12:46 PM

To: Gardner, Maureen - DA-OHRM, Washington, DC < Maureen. Gardner@usda.gov>;

Comfort, Karen - AMS < Karen. Comfort@usda.gov>

Cc: Charlotte Kirk Baer < CBaer@ucsusa.org>; Marcia DeLonge

<MDeLonge@ucsusa.org>; Hanson, Katina - NIFA, Kansas City, MO

< katina.hanson@usda.gov>

Subject: Re: [External Email]FW: Question about FEVS sub-agency data

Hi Katina,

Can you please send the list of employees who have access to the OPM site? Thanks!

Rebecca Boehm, PhD

Economist, Food and Environment

Union of Concerned Scientists

1825 K Street NW, Suite 800

Washington, DC 20006

202-331-5446

rboehm@ucsusa.org

<u>www.ucsusa.org</u> | Join our <u>action network</u> or <u>expert network</u> | <u>Support our work</u>. Join the conversation on the UCS blog

From: Gardner, Maureen - DA-OHRM, Washington, DC < Maureen. Gardner@usda.gov >

Sent: Wednesday, August 4, 2021 8:36 AM

To: Rebecca Boehm < RBoehm@ucsusa.org >; Comfort, Karen - AMS

< Karen. Comfort@usda.gov>

Cc: Charlotte Kirk Baer < CBaer@ucsusa.org>; Marcia DeLonge

<MDeLonge@ucsusa.org>; Hanson, Katina - NIFA, Kansas City, MO

< katina.hanson@usda.gov>

Subject: RE: [External Email]FW: Question about FEVS sub-agency data

(+Katina Hanson, NIFA POC who oversaw the Executive Council's access request for the OPM site)

Good morning,

Thank you for reaching out. A handful of ERS and NIFA employees have access to OPM Data portal and can pull agency-specific reports going back to 2012. I hope that helps.

Katina- looping you in since you have previously requested access for NIFA's leadership. If it is helpful, I can send the full roster of NIFA and ERS employees who have access to the OPM site.

Thanks,



Maureen Gardner

Office of Human Resources Management United States Department of Agriculture Cell: 202-924-0197 From: Rebecca

Boehm

<RBoehm@ucsusa.org>

Sent: Tuesday, August 3, 2021 5:41 PM

To: Gardner, Maureen - DA-OHRM, Washington, DC < <u>Maureen.Gardner@usda.gov</u>>;

Comfort, Karen - AMS < Karen. Comfort@usda.gov>

Cc: Charlotte Kirk Baer < CBaer@ucsusa.org>; Marcia DeLonge

<MDeLonge@ucsusa.org>

Subject: [External Email]FW: Question about FEVS sub-agency data

[External Email]

If this message comes from an unexpected sender or references a vague/unexpected topic;

Use caution before clicking links or opening attachments.

Please send any concerns or suspicious messages to: Spam.Abuse@usda.gov

Dear Maureen and Karen,

I was directed to you by the OPM FEVS team, per below email chain. We are seeking the FEVS tabulations for USDA's ERS and NIFA agencies, again request described in the below chain. We are hoping to get all years data for all questions in the FEVS. Let us know the process for obtaining these data.

Thanks so much, Rebecca

Rebecca Boehm, PhD Economist She/Her

Union of Concerned Scientists | 1825 K Street NW, Suite 800 | Washington, DC 20006

Phone: 202-331-5446 | Email: rboehm@ucsusa.org

The Union of Concerned Scientists puts rigorous, independent science to work to solve our planet's most pressing problems. Joining with people across the country, we combine technical analysis and effective advocacy to create innovative, practical solutions for a healthy, safe, and sustainable future.

<u>www.ucsusa.org</u> | Join our <u>action network</u> or <u>expert network</u> | <u>Support our work</u>. Join the conversation on our blogs: <u>The Equation</u> and <u>All Things Nuclear</u>; or follow UCS on <u>Facebook</u>, <u>Twitter</u>, and <u>Instagram</u>.

From: EVS Internet < EVS.Internet@opm.gov >

Sent: Tuesday, August 3, 2021 1:00 PM

To: Rebecca Boehm < RBoehm@ucsusa.org>

Cc: Charlotte Kirk Baer < CBaer@ucsusa.org>; Marcia DeLonge

<MDeLonge@ucsusa.org

Subject: RE: Question about FEVS sub-agency data

Hello.

That is correct. You would have to reach out to USDA's FEVS point of contact and request a copy of their reports.

Maureen Gardner <u>Maureen.Gardner@usda.gov</u>
Karen Comfort <u>Karen.Comfort@usda.gov</u>

Thanks,

The OPM FEVS Team

From: Rebecca Boehm < RBoehm@ucsusa.org>

Sent: Friday, July 30, 2021 10:12 AM

To: EVS Internet < EVS.Internet@opm.gov>

Cc: Charlotte Kirk Baer < CBaer@ucsusa.org>: Marcia DeLonge

<<u>MDeLonge@ucsusa.org</u>>

Subject: RE: Question about FEVS sub-agency data

Hi there,

Thanks so much for your reply! I understand the data disclosure issues now, thank you.

lust to varify you're saving that if we wanted some tabulations for LISDA's Economic

Research Service and National Institute of Food and Agriculture, for all the years for which data are available, we'd have to ask their HR office/CHCO? There I no way you can give us a special tabulation for these two sub-agencies within USDA? What I'm asking for is basically what Partnership for Public Service has on their website, but for many years and for all the questions in the survey.

Thanks, Rebecca

From: EVS Internet < EVS.Internet@opm.gov>

Sent: Thursday, July 22, 2021 4:48 PM

To: Rebecca Boehm < RBoehm@ucsusa.org>

Subject: RE: Question about FEVS sub-agency data

Hello.

The difference is that the Public Release Data File contains raw data (individual participant responses) whereas the Partnership for Public Service received summarized results (percentages and counts). The risk of a data disclosure and reidentification with summarized results is much, much less than with actual survey records. If there's a request for survey results for USDA, our first recommendation is to talk to their HR office/CHCO and ask for the results.

For the raw data, protecting privacy means cutting identifying information where there are small subgroups that are at particular risk for having their survey responses disclosed. The particulars vary year-to-year; for 2020 we included additional demographics which mean we had to cut out lower level work units and increase the size threshold from 300 to 750. There's always a tug-of-war between demographic and work unit information because those two pieces of info are all you need to identify someone. One thing that typically isn't mentioned with data disclosure is that there's a risk that someone could assume that an individual record they're looking it is one person when it's actually someone else who has the same combination of work unit and demographics – it's possible the person they think they're looking at didn't even participate! Part of protecting participant privacy is to make sure that the combination of work unit and demographics sums to a total number (a "cell") of at least 10 respondents so that there's no way to know who is who. To hit that threshold of 10 means we have to mask data by setting it to missing. We might mask a person's minority status or sex or age or some combination of the demographics that we include in the public data. After we do all this masking, we go back and look at work units where a lot of demographic data is stripped out and make a subjective decision whether or not to keep the work unit identifier; if we ditch the work unit it typically means we can keep more demographic information. That's the tug-of-war we face.

Thanks,

The OPM FEVS Team

From: Rebecca Boehm < RBoehm@ucsusa.org>

Sent: Friday, July 9, 2021 12:50 PM

To: EVS Internet < EVS.Internet@opm.gov>

Subject: RE: Question about FEVS sub-agency data

_ __ _

Hi again,

Just wanted to see if you were able to track down the reason that PPS had these data but they aren't publicly available otherwise? Would really love an answer and/or be able to access the data for specific USDA sub-agencies.

Thanks, Rebecca

From: Rebecca Boehm

Sent: Tuesday, July 6, 2021 4:50 PM

To: EVS Internet < EVS.Internet@opm.gov >

Subject: RE: Question about FEVS sub-agency data

Hi there,

Thanks for your fast reply. So you do have some sub-agency data at USDA, but not all the agencies. Can you clarify about the privacy/confidentiality issues? And if that is the case, how did Partnership for Public Service get these data? FOIA request?

Thanks, Rebecca

From: EVS Internet < EVS.Internet@opm.gov>

Sent: Tuesday, July 6, 2021 4:49 PM

To: Rebecca Boehm < RBoehm@ucsusa.org>

Subject: RE: Question about FEVS sub-agency data

Hello,

You are correct. For privacy and confidentiality considerations, we do not release subagency information.

Please let us know if you have further questions.

The OPM FEVS Team

From: Rebecca Boehm < RBoehm@ucsusa.org>

Sent: Tuesday, July 6, 2021 1:46 PM

To: EVS Internet < <u>EVS.Internet@opm.gov</u>> **Subject:** Question about FEVS sub-agency data

Hi there,

I have just downloaded all the data you have on your website here: https://www.opm.gov/fevs/public-data-file/. However, I noticed that in the data files some sub-agencies are missing, even though I see their data reported here: https://bestplacestowork.org/rankings/detail/?c=AG18. For example, I'm trying to find data for employees in the Economic Research Service. Unfortunately I don't see those data available in the public data file page, but again I see them on the bestplacestowork.org page. Is some of the sub-agency data not publicly available for certain agencies? Or am I looking in the wrong place for the data?

Thanks for your help!

Rebecca Boehm, PhD Economist She/Her

Union of Concerned Scientists | 1825 K Street NW, Suite 800 | Washington, DC 20006 Phone: 202-331-5446 | Email: rboehm@ucsusa.org

The Union of Concerned Scientists puts rigorous, independent science to work to solve our planet's most pressing problems. Joining with people across the country, we combine technical analysis and effective advocacy to create innovative, practical solutions for a healthy, safe, and sustainable future.

<u>www.ucsusa.org</u> | Join our <u>action network</u> or <u>expert network</u> | <u>Support our work</u>. Join the conversation on our blogs: <u>The Equation</u> and <u>All Things Nuclear</u>; or follow UCS on <u>Facebook</u>, <u>Twitter</u>, and <u>Instagram</u>.

This electronic message contains information generated by the USDA solely for the intended recipients. Any unauthorized interception of this message or the use or disclosure of the information it contains may violate the law and subject the violator to civil or criminal penalties. If you believe you have received this message in error, please notify the sender and delete the email immediately.