December 17, 2021

VIA EMAIL

Texas Secretary of State
Office of the General Counsel
Capitol Bldg. 1E.8
Austin, TX 78701
generalcounsel@sos.texas.gov

Re: Public Information Request

Dear Public Information Officer:

Pursuant to the Texas Public Information Act, as codified at Tex. Code ch. 552, American Oversight makes the following request for public records.

On September 23, 2021, the Texas Secretary of State’s office announced that it had begun a “full forensic audit” of the 2020 general election in Dallas, Harris, Tarrant, and Collin counties. The announcement came hours after former President Trump asked that an election audit bill be added to the agenda for Texas’ special legislative session.¹

American Oversight seeks records with the potential to shed light on the Secretary of State’s election audit.

Requested Records

American Oversight requests that Texas Secretary of State promptly produce the following:

1. All email communications (including emails, email attachments, complete email chains, calendar invitations, and calendar invitation attachments) between (a) the Texas Secretary of State officials listed below, and (b) the external individuals listed below (including, but not limited to, at the listed email addresses).

   Texas Secretary of State Officials:
   i. Anyone serving as Secretary of State, including in an acting or interim capacity, or otherwise carrying out the duties of the position
   ii. Jose A. Esparza, Deputy Secretary of State
   iii. Adam Bitter, General Counsel

iv. Teresa Farfan, Senior Advisor
v. Keith Ingram, Elections Division Director
vi. Kristi Hart, Elections Administration & Voter Registration
vii. Christina Adkins, General Law – Elections Division
viii. Kim Bergmann, Executive Assistant and Scheduler

External Individuals:
i. Steve Davis (sdavis@digitaldiscoveryesi.com)
ii. Charles Bundren (charles@bundrenlaw.net)
iii. Jill Foote
iv. Randy Price (randy.price@stewardpartners.com)
v. Jay Meadows (jay@jalwest.com)
vi. John Graves (john@johngravespc.com)
vii. Joe Arlinghaus (jarlinghaus@valoramerica.org)
viii. Rex Nichols (rex@nicholsgroup.com)
ix. Jenny Limcher (jlimcher@hi-reit.com)
x. Al Hartman
xi. Sean Themea (sean.themea@yaliberty.org)

xii. Ivan Raiklin (ivan.raiklin@gmail.com or socialmediaraiklin@gmail.com)
xiii. Mark Finchem (mfinchem@azleg.gov or markfinchem@me.com)
xiv. Sonny Borrelli (sborrelli@azleg.gov, sonny4ld5@hotmail.com, or sonnyborrelli@hotmail.com)
xv. Doug Mastriano (dmastriano@pasen.gov)
xvi. Matthew DePerno (matthew@depernolaw.com)
xvii. Christina Bobb (christina.bobb@oann.com)
xviii. Chanel Rion (chanel.rion@oann.com)
xix. Ben Cotton (ben.cotton@cyfir.com)
x. Shiva Ayyadurai (vashiva@vashiva.com)
xx. Thomas Datwyler (tcdatwyler@gmail.com)
xxi. Phil Waldron (phil@onewarrior.com or p@bonfireresearch.org)
xxii. Russ Ramsland (ryuks9sq@alliedspecialops.us)
xxiii. James Keet Lewis III (keet@jkeetlewis.com)
xxiv. Larry Marso (lmarso@gmail.com)
xxv. Jeff Lenberg
xxvi. Jovan Hutton Pulitzer (jovanhuttonpulitzer@gmail.com)
xxvii. Doug Logan (dlogan@cyberninjas.net)
xxviii. Mike Flynn (schedule@generallfynn.com)

xxix. Kenneth Blackwell (kennethblackwell693@gmail.com)
xxx. Jackson Lane (jacksonlane@americasfuture.net)
xxx. Lin Wood (lwood@fightback.law)
xxx. Mark Bowman (mbowman@alecaction.org)
xxx. Patrick Byrne, or anyone communicating from an email address ending in @americaproject.com
xxx. Hogan Gidley (hogan@americafirstpolicy.com)
xxx. Catherine Engelbrecht (catherine@truehevote.org)
xxx. Phill Kline (phillklineva@gmail.com)
xxxvii. John Eastman (jeastman@claremont.org)
xxxix. Reince Priebus (rp@michaelbest.com)
  xli. Jeff DeWit
  xlii. Tommy Hicks (tommy@hsrxgroup.com or tommyhicksrnc@gmail.com)
  xliii. Ed Corrigan
  xliv. Jason Yates
  xlv. Tony Perkins (arp@frc.org), or anyone communicating from an email address ending in @frc.org
  xlvi. Michael Farris, or anyone communicating from an email address ending in @adflegal.org
  xlvii. Lori Gallagher
  xlviii. Don Garner

2. All text message conversations or message chains on messaging applications similar in form to text messages (such as Signal, WhatsApp, Facebook Messenger, Twitter DMs, etc.) between the Texas Secretary of State officials listed above and the external individuals listed above.

3. All records reflecting communications (including emails, email attachments, text messages, messages on messaging platforms (such as Slack, GChat, Google Hangouts, Lync, Skype, or WhatsApp), telephone call logs, calendar invitations, calendar entries, meeting agendas, talking points, any handwritten or electronic notes taken during any oral communications, summaries of any oral communications, or other materials) between (a) the Texas Secretary of State officials listed above, and (b) Former President Donald Trump, Rudolph Giuliani, Mark Meadows, Jenna Ellis, Cleta Mitchell, or any person communicating on their behalf.

Please provide all responsive records from October 5, 2021, through the date the search is conducted.

American Oversight insists that your office use the most up-to-date technologies to search for responsive information and take steps to ensure that the most complete repositories of information are searched. American Oversight is available to work with you to craft appropriate search terms. However, custodian searches are still required; governmental authorities may not have direct access to files stored in .PST files, outside of network drives, in paper format, or in personal email accounts.

Please search all records regarding official business. You may not exclude searches of files or emails in the personal custody of your officials, such as personal email accounts. Emails conducting government business sent or received on the personal account of a governmental authority’s officer or employee constitutes a record for purposes of the Texas Public Information Act.²

In the event some portions of the requested records are properly exempt from disclosure, please disclose any reasonably segregable non-exempt portions of the requested records. If it is your position that a document contains non-exempt segments, but that those non-exempt segments are so dispersed throughout the document as to make segregation impossible, please state what portion of the document is non-exempt, and how the material is dispersed throughout the document. If a request is denied in whole, please state specifically that it is not reasonable to segregate portions of the record for release.

Please take appropriate steps to ensure that records responsive to this request are not deleted by your office before the completion of processing for this request. If records potentially responsive to this request are likely to be located on systems where they are subject to potential deletion, including on a scheduled basis, please take steps to prevent that deletion, including, as appropriate, by instituting a litigation hold on those records.

To ensure that this request is properly construed, that searches are conducted in an adequate but efficient manner, and that extraneous costs are not incurred, American Oversight welcomes an opportunity to discuss its request with you before you undertake your search or incur search or duplication costs. By working together at the outset, American Oversight and your office can decrease the likelihood of costly and time-consuming litigation in the future.

Where possible, please provide responsive material in electronic format by email or in PDF or TIF format on a USB drive. Please send any responsive material being sent by mail to American Oversight, 1030 15th Street NW, Suite B255, Washington, DC 20005. If it will accelerate release of responsive records to American Oversight, please also provide responsive material on a rolling basis.

**Fee Waiver Request**

In accordance with Tex. Code § 552.267(a), American Oversight requests a waiver of fees associated with processing this request for records, because such a waiver “is in the public interest because providing the copy of the information primarily benefits the general public.” The requested records are directly related to the work of public officials, with the potential to shed light on the Texas Secretary of State’s efforts to audit the 2020 general election results in four counties. This matter is a subject of substantial public interest in Texas. Accordingly, release of the requested records may help the public understand the operations and activities of public officials and is in the public interest.

Release of the requested records will primarily benefit the public. As a 501(c)(3) nonprofit, American Oversight does not have a commercial purpose and the release of the requested records is not in American Oversight’s financial interest, but is rather in

---


4 Tex. Code § 552.267(a).
the public interest. American Oversight is committed to transparency and makes the responses governmental authorities provide to public records requests publicly available. As noted, the subject of this request is a matter of public interest, and the public would benefit from an enhanced understanding of the government’s activities through American Oversight’s analysis and publication of these records. American Oversight’s mission is to promote transparency in government, to educate the public about government activities, and to ensure the accountability of government officials. American Oversight uses the information gathered, and its analysis of it, to educate the public through reports, press releases, or other media. American Oversight also makes materials it gathers available on its public website and promotes their availability on social media platforms, such as Facebook and Twitter.5

American Oversight has also demonstrated its commitment to the public disclosure of documents and creation of editorial content through numerous substantive analyses posted to its website.6 Examples reflecting this commitment to the public disclosure of documents and the creation of editorial content include the posting of records related to the organization’s investigations into misconduct and corruption in state governments;7 the posting of records related to the Trump Administration’s contacts with Ukraine and analyses of those contacts;8 posting records and editorial content about the federal government’s response to the Coronavirus pandemic;9 posting records received as part of American Oversight’s “Audit the Wall” project to gather and analyze information related to the administration’s proposed construction of a barrier along the U.S.-Mexico border, and analyses of what those records reveal;10 the posting of records related to an ethics waiver received by a senior Department of Justice attorney and an analysis of what those records demonstrated regarding the Department’s process for

5 American Oversight currently has approximately 16,200 page followers on Facebook and 109,100 followers on Twitter. American Oversight, Facebook, https://www.facebook.com/weareoversight/ (last visited Nov. 29, 2021); American Oversight (@weareoversight), Twitter, https://twitter.com/weareoversight (last visited Nov. 29, 2021).
issuing such waivers;\(^{11}\) and posting records and analysis of federal officials’ use of taxpayer dollars to charter private aircraft or use government planes for unofficial business.\(^{12}\)

Accordingly, American Oversight qualifies for a fee waiver. If your office denies our request for a fee waiver, please notify American Oversight of any anticipated fees or costs in excess of $40 prior to incurring such costs or fees.

**Conclusion**

We share a common mission to promote transparency in government. American Oversight looks forward to working with your office on this request. If you do not understand any part of this request, have any questions, or foresee any problems in fully releasing the requested records, please contact Emma Lewis at records@americanoversight.org or (202) 919-6303. Also, if American Oversight’s request for a fee waiver is not granted in full, please contact us immediately upon making such a determination.

Sincerely,

/s/ Emma Lewis
Emma Lewis
on behalf of
American Oversight
