



March 7, 2022

VIA EMAIL

Office of the Secretary of State
600 West Main Street
Jefferson City, MO 65101
info@sos.mo.gov

Re: Sunshine Law Request

Dear Custodian of Records:

Pursuant to the Missouri Sunshine Law, Mo. Rev. Stat. § 610.010 et seq., American Oversight makes the following request for records.

Immediately following the November 2020 election, officials in the Trump administration and members of the Trump campaign team coordinated attempts to reverse the results of the election, including in individual states.¹ Since that time, public officials and activists have continued to advocate for more restrictive voting laws or partisan “audits” of elections.²

American Oversight seeks records with the potential to shed light on whether or to what extent officials in the Office of the Secretary of State of Missouri have been in contact with individuals or entities seeking to restrict ballot access or conduct a partisan review of elections.

¹ Emma Brown *et al.*, *Election Denier Who Circulated Jan. 6 PowerPoint Says He Met with Meadows at White House*, Wash. Post (Dec. 11, 2021, 12:30 PM), https://www.washingtonpost.com/investigations/phil-waldron-mark-meadows-powerpoint/2021/12/11/4ea67938-59df-11ec-9a18-a506cf3aa31d_story.html.

² See, e.g., Ray Stern, *Arizona Republicans Propose Dozens of Bills to Change Voting and Elections Following Audit, 2020 Election*, AZ Republic (updated Jan. 23, 2022, 7:27 PM), <https://www.azcentral.com/story/news/politics/legislature/2022/01/18/arizona-legislature-dozens-gop-bills-alter-voting-elections/9188425002/>; Patrick Marley, Jason Hancock, *Jay Ashcroft Touts Integrity of Missouri's 2020 Election, But Supports An Audit*, MO Independent (Nov. 22, 2021, 5:55 AM), <https://missouriindependent.com/2021/11/22/jay-ashcroft-touts-integrity-of-missouris-2020-election-but-supports-an-audit/>.



Requested Records

American Oversight requests that your office produce copies of the following records within three business days

All electronic communications (including emails, email attachments, text messages, or messages on messaging platforms, such as Slack, GChat or Google Hangouts, Lync, Skype, or WhatsApp) between (A) the listed officials in the Office of the Secretary of State of Missouri and (B) any of the external individuals or entities listed below, including, but not limited to at the suggested email addresses or domains. In the case of emails and texts, the search should include those sent or received from the specified officials' personal accounts and/or devices if they were used to conduct official business, as well those sent from their official email addresses or government-issued devices.

Please provide all responsive records from November 3, 2020, through the date the search is conducted.

A. Secretary of State Officials:

1. Jay Ashcroft, Secretary of State, or anyone communicating on his behalf, such as a scheduler or assistant
2. Trish Vincent, Executive Deputy Secretary of State and Chief of Staff

B. External Entities:

1. Al Hartman, Jenny Limcher, and/or anyone communicating on behalf of Hartman REIT (@hi-reit.com)
2. Anyone communicating from the email addresses arizonaaudit@gmail.com, arizonaaudit@protonmail.com, azaudit@gmail.com, azauditmedia@gmail.com, azauditpress@gmail.com, and/or info@arizonaaudit.com
3. Boris Epshteyn (bepshteyn@gmail.com)
4. Charles Bundren III (charles@bundrenlaw.net)
5. Chris Witt, Earl Eugene Kern, and/or anyone communicating on behalf of Wake Technology Services, Inc. (@waketsi.com)
6. Christina Bobb, Chanel Rion, Graham Ledger (grahamledger@yahoo.com), and/or anyone communicating on behalf of One America News Network, including anyone communicating from an email address ending in @oann.com
7. Cleta Mitchell (cleta@cletamitchell.com, cmitchell@foley.com, and/or email addresses ending in @bradleyfdn.org)
8. Colonel Phil Waldron (phil@onewarrior.com or anyone communicating from an email address ending in @bonfiresearch.org)
9. Doug Logan, and/or anyone communicating on behalf of Cyber Ninjas (@cyberninjas.com)
10. Emily Newman (enewman@protonmail.com), Todd Sanders (todd@krknsys.com), and/or anyone communicating from an email address ending in @americaproject.com

11. Former National Security Advisor Michael Flynn (@generalflynn.com), Joseph Flynn, Charles Flynn, Michael Flynn Jr., and/or anyone communicating on behalf of America's Future (@americasfuture.net)
12. Ivan Raiklin (ivan.raiklin@gmail.com, socialmediaraiklin@gmail.com)
13. Jacqueline Timmer, Mary Coran, and/or anyone communicating on behalf of the American Voter's Alliance (@got-freedom.org)
14. Jenna Ellis (jenna.ellis.esq@gmail.com), and/or anyone communicating on behalf of Liberty University's Falkirk Center (falkirkcenter.com) or the American Greatness Fund (@americangreatnessfund.com)
15. John Eastman (jeastman@claremont.org) and/or anyone communicating on behalf of Constitutional Counsel Group (@ccg1776.com)
16. Jovan Hutton Pulitzer (jovanhuttonpulitzer@gmail.com)
17. Julie Fisher (aztrumplican@gmail.com, azwinos@gmail.com, and/or julie.fisher@az51.org)
18. Katherine Friess (kfriess@protonmail.com)
19. Ken Bennett (kbennettaz7@gmail.com, kbazos@gmail.com, and/or kjbennettaz@gmail.com)
20. Kurt Olsen (kurtols@protonmail.com)
21. Matt Braynard, and/or anyone communicating on behalf of Look Ahead America (@lookaheadamerica.org)
22. Matthew DePerno (matthew@deper nolaw.com)
23. Mike Lindell (mike@mypillow.com)
24. Mike Roman (mikeroman@protonmail.com)
25. Phill Kline (phillklineva@gmail.com), Luis Cornelio (lcornel001@citymail.cuny.edu) and/or anyone communicating on behalf of the Thomas More Society (@thomasmoresociety.org) or The Amistad Project
26. Rob Natelson (rob.natelson1@gmail.com)
27. Russell Ramsland (yrku9sqs@protonmail.com), J. Keet Lewis (keet@jkeetlewis.com), and/or anyone communicating on behalf of Allied Security Operations Group (@alliedspecialops.us)
28. Shiva Ayyadurai (vashiva@vashiva.com), and/or anyone communicating from an email address ending in @echomail.com
29. Sidney Powell (sp@seeking-justice.org, sidney@federalappeals.com)
30. Steve Montenegro (stevemontenegro@gmail.com, stevemontenegro@protonmail.com, steve@coronamconsulting.com)
31. William Olson (williamolson@lawandfreedom.com, wjo@mindspring.com)

Please note that American Oversight does not seek, and that this request specifically excludes, the initial mailing of news clips or other mass-distribution emails. However, subsequent communications forwarding such emails are responsive to this request. In other words, for example, if one of the listed officials received a mass-distribution news clip email from One America News Network, that initial email would not be responsive to this request. However, if they forwarded that email to another individual with their own

commentary, that subsequent message would be responsive to this request and should be produced.

Please provide all responsive records from November 3, 2020, through the date the search is conducted.

Fee Waiver Request

In accordance with Mo. Rev. Stat. § 610.026.1(1), American Oversight requests a waiver of fees associated with processing this request for records. Disclosure of the requested records is in the public interest because it will likely contribute to a better understanding of relevant government procedures by the general public in a significant way. Moreover, the request is primarily and fundamentally for non-commercial purposes.

American Oversight requests a waiver of fees because disclosure of the requested information “is likely to contribute significantly to public understanding of the operations or activities of [a] public governmental body.”³ The public has a significant interest in the administration of elections in Missouri.⁴ Records with the potential to shed light on this matter would contribute significantly to public understanding of operations of the government, including whether elections officials have been in contact with individuals or entities seeking to undermine public confidence in elections. American Oversight is committed to transparency and makes the responses agencies provide to public records requests publicly available, and the public’s understanding of the government’s activities would be enhanced through American Oversight’s analysis and publication of these records.

This request is primarily and fundamentally for non-commercial purposes.⁵ As a 501(c)(3) nonprofit, American Oversight does not have a commercial purpose and the release of the information requested is not in American Oversight’s financial interest. American Oversight’s mission is to promote transparency in government, to educate the public about government activities, and to ensure the accountability of government officials. American Oversight uses the information gathered, and its analysis of it, to educate the public through reports, press releases, or other media. American Oversight also makes materials it gathers available on its public website and promotes their availability on social media platforms, such as Facebook and Twitter.⁶

American Oversight has also demonstrated its commitment to the public disclosure of documents and creation of editorial content through regular substantive analyses

³ Mo. Rev. Stat. § 610.026.1(1).

⁴ *See supra*, note 2.

⁵ Mo. Rev. Stat. § 610.026.1(1).

⁶ American Oversight currently has approximately 15,720 page likes on Facebook and 117,800 followers on Twitter. American Oversight, Facebook, <https://www.facebook.com/weareoversight/> (last visited Feb. 28, 2022); American Oversight (@weareoversight), Twitter, <https://twitter.com/weareoversight> (last visited Feb. 28, 2022).

posted to its website.⁷ Examples reflecting this commitment to the public disclosure of documents and the creation of editorial content include the posting of records and analysis related to the organization’s investigations into misconduct and corruption in state governments;⁸ posting records and analysis of federal and state governments’ responses to the Coronavirus pandemic;⁹ posting records received as part of American Oversight’s “Audit the Wall” project to gather and analyze information related to the Trump administration’s proposed construction of a barrier along the U.S.-Mexico border, and analyses of what those records reveal;¹⁰ and the posting of records related to an ethics waiver received by a senior Department of Justice attorney and an analysis of what those records demonstrated regarding the Department’s process for issuing such waivers.¹¹

Accordingly, American Oversight qualifies for a fee waiver.

⁷ See generally *News*, American Oversight, <https://www.americanoversight.org/blog>.

⁸ See generally *State Investigations*, American Oversight, <https://www.americanoversight.org/states>; see, e.g., *State Government Contacts with Voting-Restriction Activists*, American Oversight, <https://www.americanoversight.org/investigation/state-government-contacts-with-voting-restriction-activists>; *Georgia’s Voting Machines and Election Security*, American Oversight, <https://www.americanoversight.org/investigation/georgias-voting-machines-and-election-security>.

⁹ See, e.g., *The Trump Administration’s Response to Coronavirus*, American Oversight, <https://www.americanoversight.org/investigation/the-trump-administrations-response-to-coronavirus>; *Tulsa Health Officials Initially Estimated Trump Rally Would Directly Lead to 2 to 9 Deaths*, American Oversight, <https://www.americanoversight.org/tulsa-health-officials-initially-estimated-trump-rally-would-directly-lead-to-2-to-9-deaths>; *Wisconsin Documents Offer Window into Early Uncertainty over COVID-19*, American Oversight, <https://www.americanoversight.org/wisconsin-documents-offer-window-into-early-uncertainty-over-covid-19>; *In the Documents: Florida Health Department Efforts to Suppress Release of Coronavirus Records*, American Oversight, <https://www.americanoversight.org/in-the-documents-florida-health-department-efforts-to-suppress-release-of-coronavirus-records>.

¹⁰ See generally *Audit the Wall*, American Oversight, <https://www.americanoversight.org/investigation/audit-the-wall>; see, e.g., *Border Wall Investigation Report: No Plans, No Funding, No Timeline, No Wall*, American Oversight, <https://www.americanoversight.org/border-wall-investigation-report-no-plans-no-funding-no-timeline-no-wall>.

¹¹ *DOJ Records Relating to Solicitor General Noel Francisco’s Recusal*, American Oversight, <https://www.americanoversight.org/document/doj-civil-division-response-noel-francisco-compliance>; *Francisco & the Travel Ban: What We Learned from the DOJ Documents*, American Oversight, <https://www.americanoversight.org/francisco-the-travel-ban-what-we-learned-from-the-doj-documents>.

Guidance Regarding the Search & Processing of Requested Records

In connection with its request for records, American Oversight provides the following guidance regarding the scope of the records sought and the search and processing of records:

- Our request for records includes any attachments to those records or other materials enclosed with those records when they were previously transmitted. To the extent that an email is responsive to our request, our request includes all prior messages sent or received in that email chain, as well as any attachments to the email.
- Please search all relevant records or systems containing records regarding agency business. Do not exclude records regarding agency business contained in files, email accounts, or devices in the personal custody of your officials, such as personal email accounts or text messages.
- In the event some portions of the requested records are properly exempt from disclosure, please disclose any reasonably segregable non-exempt portions of the requested records.¹² If a request is denied in whole, please state specifically why it is not reasonable to segregate portions of the record for release.
- Please take appropriate steps to ensure that records responsive to this request are not deleted by the agency before the completion of processing for this request. If records potentially responsive to this request are likely to be located on systems where they are subject to potential deletion, including on a scheduled basis, please take steps to prevent that deletion, including, as appropriate, by instituting a litigation hold on those records.

Conclusion

If you have any questions regarding how to construe this request for records or believe that further discussions regarding search and processing would facilitate a more efficient production of records of interest to American Oversight, please do not hesitate to contact American Oversight to discuss this request. American Oversight welcomes an opportunity to discuss its request with you before you undertake your search or incur search or duplication costs. By working together at the outset, American Oversight and your agency can decrease the likelihood of costly and time-consuming litigation in the future.

Where possible, please provide responsive material in an electronic format by email. Alternatively, please provide responsive material in native format or in PDF format on a USB drive. Please send any responsive material being sent by mail to American Oversight, 1030 15th Street NW, Suite B255, Washington, DC 20005. If it will

¹² Mo. Rev. Stat. § 610.024.1.

accelerate release of responsive records to American Oversight, please also provide responsive material on a rolling basis.

We share a common mission to promote transparency in government. American Oversight looks forward to working with your agency on this request. If you do not understand any part of this request, please contact Mehreen Rasheed at records@americanoversight.org or (202) 848-1320. Also, if American Oversight's request for a fee waiver is not granted in full, please contact us immediately upon making such a determination.

Sincerely,

/s/ Mehreen Rasheed
Mehreen Rasheed
on behalf of
American Oversight