



April 21, 2022

**VIA EMAIL**

Joseph R. Schaaf  
Chief Counsel  
Executive Office for Immigration Review  
Department of Justice  
5107 Leesburg Pike, Suite 2150  
Falls Church, VA 22041  
[EOIR.FOIARequests@usdoj.gov](mailto:EOIR.FOIARequests@usdoj.gov)

Elizabeth A. Wood  
FOIA Office, Civil Division  
Department of Justice  
110 L Street NW, Room 8314  
Washington, D.C. 20530  
[Civil.routing.FOIA@usdoj.gov](mailto:Civil.routing.FOIA@usdoj.gov)

**Re: Freedom of Information Act Request**

Dear FOIA Officer:

Pursuant to the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA), 5 U.S.C. § 552, and the implementing regulations of your agency, 28 C.F.R. Part 16, American Oversight makes the following request for records.

In August 2021, the San Francisco Immigration Court reportedly created dockets of hearings for immigrants whose mail was being returned as undeliverable, mailing notices using the incorrect addresses on file and potentially causing many immigrants to miss their hearings and be ordered deported in their absence.<sup>1</sup>

American Oversight seeks records with the potential to shed light on whether and to what extent “returned notice” hearing dockets are used in immigration courts across the country and agency leadership’s role in this practice.

---

<sup>1</sup> Tal Kopan & Deepa Fernandes, *S.F. Immigration Court Fast-Tracking Cases in What Critics Call a Deportation Conveyor Belt*, San Francisco Chronicle (updated Nov. 1, 2021, 4:18 PM), <https://www.sfchronicle.com/sf/article/San-Francisco-Immigration-Court-fast-tracks-16576102.php>; see also Tal Kopan, *Immigration Court Officials Cheered Results of Fast-Tracked Deportations Orders, Emails Reveal*, San Francisco Chronicle (updated Jan. 23, 2022, 5:15 PM), <https://www.sfchronicle.com/politics/article/Immigration-court-officials-cheered-results-of-16791798.php>.



## **Requested Records**

American Oversight requests that your agency produce the following records within twenty business days:

1. All records reflecting any formal or informal directive, order, advisory, memorandum, guidance, or final analysis regarding the issue or practice of creating immigration court dockets based upon returned or undeliverable notices or other mail.<sup>2</sup>
2. All email communications (including emails, complete email chains, calendar invitations, and attachments thereto) sent by the following officials, or anyone communicating on their behalf such as a scheduler or assistant, containing any of the following key terms:

### **Key Terms:**

- i. “In absentia docket”
- ii. “Dead letter”
- iii. “Returned notice docket”
- iv. “Returned notice hearing”
- v. “Returned notice hearings”

### **Officials:**

#### **Executive Office for Immigration Review:**

- a. Chief Appellate Immigration Judge David Wetmore
- b. Chief Immigration Judge Tracy Short
- c. Director, Executive Office for Immigration Review (EOIR), David Neal
- d. Acting Deputy Director, EOIR, Charles Adkins-Blanch
- e. Assistant Director of the Office of Policy, EOIR, Lauren Alder Reid
- f. Anyone acting as Assistant Director of the Office of Administration, EOIR, including, but not limited to, Michael Porter
- g. Assistant Chief Immigration Judge Elizabeth L. Young
- h. Assistant Chief Immigration Judge Matthew Kaufman
- i. Assistant Chief Immigration Judge Daren Margolin
- j. Deputy Court Administrator Scott McDaniel
- k. Regional Deputy Chief Immigration Judge Daniel Daugherty
- l. EOIR Spokesperson Kathryn Mattingly
- m. Principal Deputy Chief Immigration Judge Daniel Weiss
- n. Regional Deputy Chief Immigration Judge Mary Cheng
- o. Regional Deputy Chief Immigration Judge Shelia McNulty

---

<sup>2</sup> If further identifying information is needed, please see Kopan & Fernandes, *supra* note 1.

**Civil Division:**

- a. Principal Deputy Assistant Attorney General, Civil Division, Brian Boynton
- b. Special Counsel to the Assistant Attorney General, Civil Division, August Flentje

In an effort to accommodate your office and reduce the number of potentially responsive records to be processed and produced, American Oversight has limited request item 2 to emails sent by the listed officials. To be clear, however, American Oversight still requests that complete email chains be produced, displaying both sent and received messages.

For both items 1 and 2, please provide all responsive records from January 1, 2021, through the date the search is conducted.

**Fee Waiver Request**

In accordance with 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(A)(iii) and your agency’s regulations, American Oversight requests a waiver of fees associated with processing this request for records. The subject of this request concerns the operations of the federal government, and the disclosures will likely contribute to a better understanding of relevant government procedures by the general public in a significant way. Moreover, the request is primarily and fundamentally for non-commercial purposes.

American Oversight requests a waiver of fees because disclosure of the requested information is “in the public interest because it is likely to contribute significantly to public understanding of operations or activities of the government.”<sup>3</sup> The public has a significant interest in the operations of your office, including any potential policy changes that may increase the number of in absentia removal orders.<sup>4</sup> Records with the potential to shed light on this matter would contribute significantly to public understanding of operations of the federal government, including whether and to what extent agency leadership had a role in shaping the practice of “returned notice” hearings. American Oversight is committed to transparency and makes the responses agencies provide to FOIA requests publicly available, and the public’s understanding of the government’s activities would be enhanced through American Oversight’s analysis and publication of these records.

This request is primarily and fundamentally for non-commercial purposes.<sup>5</sup> As a 501(c)(3) nonprofit, American Oversight does not have a commercial purpose and the release of the information requested is not in American Oversight’s financial interest. American Oversight’s mission is to promote transparency in government, to educate the public about government activities, and to ensure the accountability of government officials. American Oversight uses the information gathered, and its analysis of it, to educate the public through reports, press releases, or other media. American Oversight

---

<sup>3</sup> 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(A)(iii).

<sup>4</sup> Kopan & Fernandes, *supra* note 1.

<sup>5</sup> *See* 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(A)(iii).

also makes materials it gathers available on its public website and promotes their availability on social media platforms, such as Facebook and Twitter.<sup>6</sup>

American Oversight has also demonstrated its commitment to the public disclosure of documents and creation of editorial content through regular substantive analyses posted to its website.<sup>7</sup> Examples reflecting this commitment to the public disclosure of documents and the creation of editorial content include the posting of records related to the Trump Administration's contacts with Ukraine and analyses of those contacts;<sup>8</sup> posting records and editorial content about the federal government's response to the Coronavirus pandemic;<sup>9</sup> posting records received as part of American Oversight's "Audit the Wall" project to gather and analyze information related to the administration's proposed construction of a barrier along the U.S.-Mexico border, and analyses of what those records reveal;<sup>10</sup> the posting of records related to an ethics waiver received by a senior Department of Justice attorney and an analysis of what those records demonstrated regarding the Department's process for issuing such waivers;<sup>11</sup> and posting records and analysis of federal officials' use of taxpayer dollars to charter private aircraft or use government planes for unofficial business.<sup>12</sup>

---

<sup>6</sup> American Oversight currently has approximately 15,700 page likes on Facebook and 118,100 followers on Twitter. American Oversight, Facebook, <https://www.facebook.com/weareoversight/> (last visited Apr. 18, 2022); American Oversight (@weareoversight), Twitter, <https://twitter.com/weareoversight> (last visited Apr. 18, 2022).

<sup>7</sup> See generally *News*, American Oversight, <https://www.americanoversight.org/blog>.

<sup>8</sup> *Trump Administration's Contacts with Ukraine*, American Oversight, <https://www.americanoversight.org/investigation/the-trump-administrations-contacts-with-ukraine>.

<sup>9</sup> See generally *The Trump Administration's Response to Coronavirus*, American Oversight, <https://www.americanoversight.org/investigation/the-trump-administrations-response-to-coronavirus>; see, e.g., *CDC Calendars from 2018 and 2019: Pandemic-Related Briefings and Meetings*, American Oversight, <https://www.americanoversight.org/cdc-calendars-from-2018-and-2019-pandemic-related-briefings-and-meetings>.

<sup>10</sup> See generally *Audit the Wall*, American Oversight, <https://www.americanoversight.org/investigation/audit-the-wall>; see, e.g., *Border Wall Investigation Report: No Plans, No Funding, No Timeline, No Wall*, American Oversight, <https://www.americanoversight.org/border-wall-investigation-report-no-plans-no-funding-no-timeline-no-wall>.

<sup>11</sup> *DOJ Records Relating to Solicitor General Noel Francisco's Recusal*, American Oversight, <https://www.americanoversight.org/document/doj-civil-division-response-noel-francisco-compliance>; *Francisco & the Travel Ban: What We Learned from the DOJ Documents*, American Oversight, <https://www.americanoversight.org/francisco-the-travel-ban-what-we-learned-from-the-doj-documents>.

<sup>12</sup> See generally *Swamp Airlines: Chartered Jets at Taxpayer Expense*, American Oversight, <https://www.americanoversight.org/investigation/swamp-airlines-private-jets-taxpayer-expense>; see, e.g., *New Information on Pompeo's 2017 Trips to His Home State*, American Oversight, <https://www.americanoversight.org/new-information-on-pompeos-2017-trips-to-his-home-state>.

Accordingly, American Oversight qualifies for a fee waiver.

### **Guidance Regarding the Search & Processing of Requested Records**

In connection with its request for records, American Oversight provides the following guidance regarding the scope of the records sought and the search and processing of records:

- Please search all locations and systems likely to have responsive records, regardless of format, medium, or physical characteristics. For instance, if the request seeks “communications,” please search all locations likely to contain communications, including relevant hard-copy files, correspondence files, appropriate locations on hard drives and shared drives, emails, text messages or other direct messaging systems (such as iMessage, WhatsApp, Signal, or Twitter direct messages), voicemail messages, instant messaging systems such as Lync or ICQ, and shared messages systems such as Slack.
- In conducting your search, please understand the terms “record,” “document,” and “information” in their broadest sense, to include any written, typed, recorded, graphic, printed, or audio material of any kind. We seek records of any kind, including electronic records, audiotapes, videotapes, and photographs, as well as letters, emails, facsimiles, telephone messages, voice mail messages, and transcripts, notes, or minutes of any meetings, telephone conversations, or discussions.
- Our request for records includes any attachments to those records or other materials enclosed with those records when they were previously transmitted. To the extent that an email is responsive to our request, our request includes all prior messages sent or received in that email chain, as well as any attachments to the email.
- Please search all relevant records or systems containing records regarding agency business. Do not exclude records regarding agency business contained in files, email accounts, or devices in the personal custody of your officials, such as personal email accounts or text messages. Records of official business conducted using unofficial systems or stored outside of official files are subject to the Federal Records Act and FOIA.<sup>13</sup> It is not adequate to rely on policies and procedures that require officials to move such information to official systems within a certain period of time; American Oversight has a right to records contained in those files even if material has not yet been moved to official systems or if officials have, by intent or through negligence, failed to meet their obligations.<sup>14</sup>

---

<sup>13</sup> See *Competitive Enter. Inst. v. Office of Sci. & Tech. Policy*, 827 F.3d 145, 149–50 (D.C. Cir. 2016); cf. *Judicial Watch, Inc. v. Kerry*, 844 F.3d 952, 955–56 (D.C. Cir. 2016).

<sup>14</sup> See *Competitive Enter. Inst. v. Office of Sci. & Tech. Policy*, No. 14-cv-765, slip op. at 8 (D.D.C. Dec. 12, 2016).

- Please use all tools available to your agency to conduct a complete and efficient search for potentially responsive records. Agencies are subject to government-wide requirements to manage agency information electronically,<sup>15</sup> and many agencies have adopted the National Archives and Records Administration (NARA) Capstone program, or similar policies. These systems provide options for searching emails and other electronic records in a manner that is reasonably likely to be more complete than just searching individual custodian files. For example, a custodian may have deleted a responsive email from his or her email program, but your agency's archiving tools may capture that email under Capstone. At the same time, custodian searches are still necessary; agencies may not have direct access to files stored in .PST files, outside of network drives, in paper format, or in personal email accounts.
- In the event some portions of the requested records are properly exempt from disclosure, please disclose any reasonably segregable non-exempt portions of the requested records. If a request is denied in whole, please state specifically why it is not reasonable to segregate portions of the record for release.
- Please take appropriate steps to ensure that records responsive to this request are not deleted by the agency before the completion of processing for this request. If records potentially responsive to this request are likely to be located on systems where they are subject to potential deletion, including on a scheduled basis, please take steps to prevent that deletion, including, as appropriate, by instituting a litigation hold on those records.

## **Conclusion**

If you have any questions regarding how to construe this request for records or believe that further discussions regarding search and processing would facilitate a more efficient production of records of interest to American Oversight, please do not hesitate to contact American Oversight to discuss this request. American Oversight welcomes an opportunity to discuss its request with you before you undertake your search or incur search or duplication costs. By working together at the outset, American Oversight and your agency can decrease the likelihood of costly and time-consuming litigation in the future.

Where possible, please provide responsive material in an electronic format by email. Alternatively, please provide responsive material in native format or in PDF format on a USB drive. Please send any responsive material being sent by mail to American Oversight, 1030 15th Street NW, Suite B255, Washington, DC 20005. If it will

---

<sup>15</sup> Presidential Memorandum—Managing Government Records, 76 Fed. Reg. 75,423 (Nov. 28, 2011), <https://obamawhitehouse.archives.gov/the-press-office/2011/11/28/presidential-memorandum-managing-government-records>; Office of Mgmt. & Budget, Exec. Office of the President, Memorandum for the Heads of Executive Departments & Independent Agencies, “Managing Government Records Directive,” M-12-18 (Aug. 24, 2012), <https://www.archives.gov/files/records-mgmt/m-12-18.pdf>.

accelerate release of responsive records to American Oversight, please also provide responsive material on a rolling basis.

We share a common mission to promote transparency in government. American Oversight looks forward to working with your agency on this request. If you do not understand any part of this request, please contact Mehreen Rasheed at [foia@americanoversight.org](mailto:foia@americanoversight.org) or (202) 848-1320. Also, if American Oversight's request for a fee waiver is not granted in full, please contact us immediately upon making such a determination.

Sincerely,

/s/ Mehreen Rasheed  
Mehreen Rasheed  
on behalf of  
American Oversight